RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of)	Docket Nos. 50-	275 O.L.
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY)		323 O.L.
(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. I and 2))	Full Power Proce	eeding

APPLICANT PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S
THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES
TO JOINT INTERVENORS

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §§2.740-2.741, and the Board Order of August 4, 1981, Applicant PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ("Applicant") hereby propounds the following Interrogatories to following Intervenors.

INSTRUCTIONS

- All information is to be divulged which is in the possession of the individual or corporate party, their attorneys, investigators, agents, employees or other representatives of the named party and their attorneys.
- Where an individual Interrogatory calls for an answer which involves more than one part, each part of the answer should be learly set out so that it is understandable.
- 3. In the event the space provided is not sufficient for your answer to any of the questions, please attach a separate sheet of paper with the additional information.
- 4. These Interrogatories are intended as continuing Interrogatories, requiring you to answer by supplemental answer, setting forth any information within the scope of the Interrogatories as may be acquired by you, your agents, attorneys or representatives following your original answers up to the time of hearing.





 State in detail each and every fact upon which you base your contention that the proper operation of power operated relief valves and associated block valves is essential to mitigate the consequences of accidents at Diablo Canyon.

2. State in retail each and every fact upon which you base your contention that the proper operation of the instruments and controls for power operated relief valves and associated block valves as essential to mitigate the consequences of accidents at Diable Canyon.

3. Describe in detail each and every way in which the failure of power operated relief valves, associated block valves or the instruments and controls for these valves can cause a LOCA at Diablo Canyon.

4. Describe in detail each and every way in which the failure of power operated relief valves, associated block valves or the instruments and controls for these valves can aggravate a LOCA at Diablo Canyon.

5. Is it Joint Intervenors' contention that all power operated relief valves and all associated block valves at Diablo Convocation must be classified as components important to safety?

6. Is it Joint Intervenors' contention that all power operated relief valves and all associated block valves at Diablo Canyon must be required to meet all safety-grade design criteria? 7. If Joint Intervenors' answer to interrogatory number 5 is in the negative, please list with specificity which relief and block valves at Diablo Canyon should be classifi'd as components important to safety. 8. If Joint Intervenors' answer to interrogatory number 6 is in the negative, please list with a ificity which relief and block valves at Diablo Canyon should be required to meet all safety-grade design criteria. 9. Is it Joint Intervenors' position that none of the power operated relief valves or associated block valves at Diablo Canyon have met all safety-grade design criteria? -3-

- 10. For each and every power operated relief valve and/or associated block valve at Diablo Canyon that Joint Intervenor believes has not met all safety-grade design criteria state:

 (a) The location of each such valve.

 (b) The intended purpose of each such valve.

 (c) How each such valve's feilure could cause a LOCA.

 (d) How each such valve's failure could aggravate a LOCA.
 - (e) Each and every fact upon which you base your belief that the valve has not met all safety-grade design criteria.

11. State each and every fact upon which Joint Intervenors base their allegation that the "staff recognizes that pressurizer heaters and associated controls are necessary to maintain natural circulation at hot stand-by conditions."

12. State eac, and every fact upon which Joint Intervenors base their allegation that pressurizer heaters and associated controls should be classified as components important to safety.

- 13. Is it Joint Intervenors' position that the pressurizer heaters and associated controls at Diablo Canyon do not meet any safety-grade design criteria?
- 14. For each applicable safety-grade design criteria that Joint Intervenors allege the pressurizer heaters and associated controls at Diablo Canyon do not meet, state:
 - (a) The specific criteria.
 - (b) All facts upon which you base your allegation that each such criteria is not met.

15. State in detail each and every fact upon which Joint Intervenors base their allegation that the Applicant's proposal to connect two out of four of the heater groups to the present on-site emergency power supplies does not provide an "equivalent or acceptable level of protection."

- 16. Identify each and every document upon which you base any answer to the preceding interrogatories and for each such document:
 - (a) State the name, author, and date of the document.
 - (b) Identify the interrogatory answer to which the document relates.
 - (c) Identify the specific page(s) of the document which relates to the answer.

17. Identify each and every document or exhibit Joint Intervenors may introduce into evidence as respects any pending contentions in these proceedings. Identify each and every witness Joint Intervenors may call or subpoena to the hearing on the matters presently pending. For each such witness, state: The name, occupation, address and telephone number of (a) each such person and whether that person may appear for you as a voluntary witness or as subpoenaed witness. (b) The field or science in which each such person is sufficiently schooled to enable him to express opinion evidence in this matter, if any. Whether such witness will base his opinion: in whole or in part upon facts acquired personally by that person in the course of an investigation or examination as to the facts; or (ii) solely upon information provided that person by others. (d) The qualifications of each such person that would qualify that person, if possible, as an expert witness. If any such witness has made a personal investigation or (e) examination relating to any of the facts or bases set forth in the answers to preceding interrogatories, state the date(s) and nature of each such investigation or examination. (E) Each and every fact, and each and every document, photograph, report, item, or other tangible object supplied or made available to each such person for purposes of formulating his opinions in this matter. Whether each such person has rendered written reports, regarding facts, bases, or opinions as respects your answers to the preceding interrogatories or that person's contemplated testimony. If so, state: (i) the date(s) of each such report; and (ii) the name and address of the custodian of each such report. -7-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of) Docket Nos. 50-275 O.L.	
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY) 50-323 O.L.	
(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units No. 1 and 2))) (Full Power Proceeding)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "APPLICANT PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO TOINT INTERVENORS AND GOVERNOR BROWN", dated October 15, 1981, have been perved on the following by deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, this 15th day of October, 1981:

The Hon. John F. Wolf, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Mail Drop East West 450 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n Washington, D.C. 20555

The Hon. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Drop East West 450
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n
Washington, D.C. 20555

The Hon. Jerry R. Kline Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Mail Drop East West 450 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr: Elizabeth Apfelberg c/o Nancy Culver 182 Luneta Drive San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

David F. Fleischaker, Esq. P. O. Box 1178 Oklahoma City, OK 73101

Mr. Frederick Eissler
Scenic Shoceline Preservation
Conference, Inc.
4623 More Mesa Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Gordon Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Sandra A. Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Harry W. Willis, Esq. W. Andrew Baldwin, Esq. 601 California Street Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94108

John R. Phillips, Esq. Center for Law in the Public Interest 10203 Santa Monica Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90067

Mrs. Raye Fleming 1920 Mattie Road Shell Beach, CA 93449 William J. Olmstead, Esq.
Charles Barth, Esq.
Lucy Swartz, Esq.
Edward G. Ketchen, Esq.
Office of Executive Legal Director
BETH 042
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n Washington, D.C. 20555

Janice E. Kerr, Esq. Lawrence Q. Garcia, Esq. 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94'12 Carl Neiburger P. O. Box 112 San Luis Obispo, CA 93402

J. Anthony Kline, Esq.
Byron S. Georgiou, Esq.
Legal Affairs Secretary
to the Governor
State of California
State Capitol Building
Sacramento, CA 95814

Herbert H. Brown, Esq. Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq. Hill, Christopher & Phillips 1900 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Bruce Norton