


# United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

August 28, 1981

Congressional Liaison  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20505



Dear Congressional Liaison:

A constituent has written me concerning a matter which falls within the jurisdiction of your agency.

I refer this matter to your office for a preliminary examination. I would appreciate receiving your comments, in duplicate, together with the return of the correspondence.

Your attention to this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

*John C. Danforth*  
John C. Danforth

Enclosure

DS03  
S  
1/1

4042 Charlette<sup>26</sup>  
Kansas City, Mo. 64110  
August 17, 1981

1981 AUG 9 PM 3:24  
Hon. John Danforth  
Republican Senate Office Building  
Washington, D. C. 20510



Dear Senator Danforth:

As a longtime resident of the state of Missouri who happens to own a few shares of stock in General Public Utilities, owner of notorious Three Mile Island, I have turned to you for some information.

Everyone is aware of the damaged nuclear power facility, but little attention is paid to the fact that this is only half the story. Little is said about the other undamaged nuclear power plant there that has been held out of production for more than two years.

Inasmuch as this facility is similar to other nuclear power plants that have been allowed to continue operations I am concerned about the role of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in this specific instance.

I directed a letter of inquiry to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission but I was not shown even the courtesy of a form letter in acknowledgement.

It appears that the government's objective is to destroy the General Public Utilities Company and people like myself will have lost not only vital income from dividends but a good sized chunk of our Capital as well. We will have lost that part of our retirement program that Social Security will never provide.

I am asking you for a logical explanation of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's prolonged and destructive stance in this particular instance. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

John T. Hengeler