

SEP 23 1981

Docket No. 99900348/81-01



NPS Industries, Inc.
ATTN: Mr. L. R. Walker
Plant Manager of Quality Assurance
10420 Metric Boulevard
Austin, Texas 78758

Gentlemen:

This refers to the QA program conducted by Mr. Ross L. Brown, of this office, on August 17-21, 1981, of your facility at Austin Texas, associated with the manufacturer of component supports and to the discussions of our findings with Mr. A. P. Adolff and members of his staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

This inspection was made to confirm that, in the areas inspected, your QA program is being effectively implemented. The inspection effort is not designed to assure that unique quality requirements imposed by a customer are being achieved; nor to assure that a specific product, component, or service provided by you to your customers is of acceptable quality. As you know, the NRC requires each of its licensees to assume full responsibility for the quality of specific products, components, or services procured from others. You should, therefore, not conclude that the NRC's inspection exempts you from inspections by an NRC licensee or his agents, nor from taking effective corrective action in response to their findings.

Areas examined and our findings are discussed in the enclosed report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of an examination of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

During the inspection it was found that the implementation of your QA Program failed to meet certain NRC requirements. The specific findings and references to the pertinent requirements are identified in the enclosures to this letter. Since corrective and preventative actions were taken and verified before the conclusion of this inspection, no further written response is necessary.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you believe to be exempt from disclosure under 10 CFR 9.5(a)(4), it is necessary that you (a) notify this office by telephone within 10 days from the date of this letter of your intention to file a request for withholding; and (b) submit within 25 days from the date of this letter a written application to this office to withhold such information. If your receipt of this letter has been delayed such that less than seven days are available for your review, please

OFFICE	CS <i>RB</i>	VIB <i>UP</i>	IES	DD-RIV	8110090245 810923
SURNAME	RBrown	UPotapovs	JGagliardo	JTB111	PDR QA999 EMVNPS
DATE	9/21/81	9/21/81	9/23/81	9/23/81	99900348 PDR

IE:09
S/L

notify this office promptly so that a new due date may be established. Consistent with Section 2.790(b)(1), any such application must be accompanied by an affidavit executed by the owner of the information which identifies the document or part sought to be withheld, and which contains a full statement of the reasons on the basis which it is claimed that the information should be withheld from public disclosure. This section further requires the statement to address with specificity the considerations listed in 10 CFR 2.790(b)(4). The information sought to be withheld shall be incorporated as far as possible into a separate part of the affidavit. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, the report will be placed in the Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

Uldis Potapovs, Chief
Vendor Inspection Branch

Enclosures:

1. Notice of Nonconformance
2. Inspection Report No. 99900348/81-01
3. Inspection Data Sheets - Pages 1-4

bcc:

IE/V&SPB (Reinmuth)

IE Files

AEOD

NMSS

NRC:PDR

QAB

REG. Directors I, II, III & V

JEGagliardo, RIV

ASME

NBB&PVI

OFFICE

SURNAME

DATE