

GPU Nuclear Corporation

Post Office Box 388
Route 9 South
Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388
609 971-4000
Writer's Direct Dial Number:

harch 18, 1991

CC21-91-2074

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Tesk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Docket No. 50-219 Inspection Report 91-01 Reply to a Notice of Violation

In accordance with 10 CFR 2. 201, the enclosed provides GPU Nuclear's response to violation B identified in NRC's Inspection Report 50-219/91-01.

As discussed with your staff on Friday March 15, an extension for responding to violation A was requested and granted. Our response to violation A will be forwarded to you by March 30, 1991.

If further information is required, please contact Brenda DeMerchant, OC Licensing Engineer at (609)971-4642.

Very truly yours

Director, Oyster Creek

JJB/BDeM/jc

00:

Administrator, Region I Senior NRC Resident Inspector Oyster Creek NRC Project Manager

9103270134 910318 FDR ADOCK 05000219 R PDR

IEOI

C321-91-2074 Page 2 Violation: B. Technical Specification 6.11 requires that procedures for personnel radiation protection shall be adhered to for all operations involving personnel radiation exposure. Procedure 9300-ADM-4000.11, Revision O, "Rules for Conduct of Radiological Work," Section 7.2, required that all personnel who enter the radiological control area shall obey the posted, oral and written radiological controls instructions, procedures and radiation work permits. Contrary to the above, on January 10, 1991, procedures for personnel radiation protection were not obeyed in that a worker disassembled equipment in a contaminated area without wearing the protective clothing specified by Radiation Work Permit No. 91-008. This violation is severity level V (Supplement IV). Response: 1. GPUN concurs with the violation as stated. 2. The violation occurred due to one worker's willful violation of the radiological controls procedures and instructions of a Radiological Controls Technician. 3. Immediate corrective action was taken to halt the work. Subsequent corrective action included disciplinary actions directed at the employee who failed to follow the radiological requirements of the job.

To avoid future violations, the Plant Mai enance Director has emphasized to all subord lates the requirements to follow Radiological Controls rules. Future tillful violators will be subject to disciplinary action.

Full compliance was achieved on March 6, 1991.