

DISTRIBUTION

RA's Office

Director, DPRP

Docket File

C. Paperiello

J. Miller

F. Maura

→ K. Naidu

Inspector

Inspector's Division

Director

INSPECTION EVALUATION

SECTION I

1. Facility Perry 142

Dates of Inspection 10/11-12/82

Report No. 82-12 ; 82-11

Type (X) Routine _____

Reactive _____

Special X

Inspectors Yin _____

2. Scope of Inspection

*Review of
A QC inspection upgrade, ~~review~~*

Observation of ESW pump rework

3. Evaluation of Licensee Performance

(Include such things as 1) major concerns not represented by the items of noncompliance; 2) positive observations not reflected in the report, or 3) perspective on the significance of the findings.)

No comment

4. Overall Inspector Assessment

Since the last inspection of this type, I believe the licensee's regulatory performance in this area has:

Improved ✓
Stayed the Same _____

Regressed _____
Indeterminate _____

Additional Inspector Comments

Inspector(s) _____

1.4.
(Signature)

10/13/82
(Date)

5. Supervisor's Comments

Supervisor _____

None
W. Hallowell
(Signature)

10/13/82
(Date)

INSPECTION EVALUATION

SECTION II

6. With respect to Identified Concerns, you believe they are:

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
a. Being dealt with effective by licensee	<u>✓</u>	
b. Being dealt with effectively by NRC	<u>✓</u>	

7. If either answer to 4. is "No," provide your recommendations and rationale.

Inspector(s) *L. J. [Signature]* *10/13/82*
(Signature) (Date)

8. Supervisor's Comments

None

Supervisor *L. J. [Signature]* *10/13/82*
(Signature) (Date)