

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Washington Public Power Supply System
P. O. Box 1223
Elma, Washington 98541

Docket No. 50-508
Construction Permit No. CPPR-154

As a result of the inspection conducted on December 13-17, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, 47 FR 9887 (March 9, 1982), the following violation was identified:

10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion V states, in part, that: "Activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by...procedures...and shall be accomplished in accordance with these...procedures." Criterion XVII of Appendix B states, in part, that: "Sufficient records shall be maintained to furnish evidence of activities affecting quality."

Paragraph 17.1.5 of the Quality Assurance Program documented in approved PSAR Deviation No. 26-WP, states, in part, that "Contractors and vendors, including Ebasco...are required to have written...procedures...which govern their quality related activities...."

Paragraph 17.1.17 of the Quality Assurance Program documented in approved PSAR Deviation No. 26-WP, states, in part, that, "Quality Assurance records are utilized to verify that activities affecting quality have occurred, and that they have been performed in compliance with specified requirements."

The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, 1977 Edition including addenda through Summer-1978, Figure NC-4427-1 requires the presence of a 1/16-inch gap in socket-welded connections before welding.

Contrary to the above, Peter Kiewit Sons Company is presently installing safety-related socket-welded pipe fittings without a procedure which describes the methods to assure that the required 1/16-inch gap exists before welding. Records are not established to provide objective evidence that the required 1/16-inch gap is present in socket welded connections.

This is a Severity Level V Violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Washington Public Power Supply System is hereby required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including:

- (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved;
- (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further items of noncompliance;
- and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

JAN 17 1983

Date

J. Elin For
R. T. Dodds, Chief
Reactor Projects Section 1