

P.O. BOX 270 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06141-0270 (203) 666-6911

November 5, 1982

Docket No. 50-423 AEC-MP3-295 A02810

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mr. Richard W. Starostecki, Director Division of Project and Resident Programs Region I Office of Inspection and Enforcement 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

Reference:

(1) R. W. Starostecki letter to W. G. Counsil, IE Inspection 50-423/82-11, dated October 6, 1982.

Gentlemen:

Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 IE Inspection No. 50-423/82-11

On August 2-31 and September 1-10, 1982, your Mr. J. C. Mattia conducted a routine safety inspection at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3. As a result of that inspection, Reference (1) was transmitted to us which contains essentially two parts: Appendix A, Notice of Violation, for which a response is required, and IE Inspection Report No. 50-423/82-11.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO) is hereby submitting a response to Appendix A, Notice of Violation.

## Violation, Item A

On August 24, 1982 the inspector noted that there were eight electrical cable reels in storage with their cable ends not sealed to exclude moisture.

### Corrective Action

The inspector's finding were immediately corrected.

#### Preventive Action

A training session was held for the Field QC Inspectors on the cable storage requirements.

The above corrective action was verified by the inspector, who informed the licensee that a written response to the violation is not required. (See IE Inspection Report 82-11, Item 5).

# Violation, Appendix A, Item B

On August 24, 1982, the inspector noted that several black on yellow prints were being used by electricians for the erection of vertical raceways in the Auxiliary Building with no evidence that the foreman had verified the drawings to be the correct revisions prior to start of work. One of these black on yellow prints in use did not reflect the current revision and was obsolete.

## Corrective Action

The above black on yellow prints, which are identified in section 6a of the NRC report, were immediately verified to be the current revision and have since been acknowledged upon daily use by the electrical foreman in the area. The obsolete print, EE-34DW-Rev 2D was replaced by the correct revision 2E. Revision 2E was received by site document control on July 30, 1982 and was distributed to the drawing station.

## Preventive Action

Electrical foremen were retrained in the use of yellow drawings. Training sessions were held on August 31, September 1, and 3, 1982 by the site training group. The training emphasized on the requirement of CMP11.1, that black on yellow prints used for fabrication/erection, shall be verified by the craft foreman/supervisor, to be the correct revision. The foreman/supervisor is required to document this verification by initiating and dating the drawing daily. Proper use of black on yellow drawings is monitored by FQC on a weekly basis. A review shall be performed to assure that all craft foreman/supervisors have been trained in the use of black on yellow drawings and those that have not, shall receive the training.

We trust the above information satisfactorily responds to the violations cited by your inspector.

Very truly yours,

W. G. Counsil

Senior Vice President

cc: R. C. Haynes Region I

Office of Inspection and Enforcement U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

631 Park Avenue

King of Prussia, PA 19406