TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA. TENNESSEE 37401

400 Chestnut Street Tower II

November 9, 1982

-> NON 12

All :

00

BLRD-50-438/82-62 BLRD-50-439/82-55

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Attn: Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

BELLEFONTE NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 - QA TEST DOCUMENTATION ON RADIATION MONITORING EQUIPMENT BY GENERAL ATOMIC COMPANY - BLRD-50-438/82-62, BLRD-50-439/82-55 - SECOND INTERIM REPORT

The subject deficiency was initially reported to NRC-OIE Inspector F. Cantrell on August 24, 1982 in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55(e) as Audit 82V-29, Deficiencies 3 and 4. This was followed by our first interim report dated September 22, 1982. Enclosed is our second interim report. We expect to submit our next report by January 11, 1983.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please get in toucn with R. H. Shell at FTS 858-2688.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

L. M. Mills, Manager Nuclear Licensing

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Richard C. DeYoung, Director (Enclosure) Office of Inspection and Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

8211220264 821109 PDR ADDCK 05000438 PDR

ENCLOSURE

BELLEFONTE NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 QA TEST DOCUMENTATION ON RADIATION MONITORING EQUIPMENT BY GENERAL ATOMIC COMPANY AUDIT 82V-29, DEFICIENCIES 3 AND 4 BLRD-50-438/82-62, BLRD-50-439/22 10 CFR 50.55(e) SECOND INTERIM REPORT

Description of Deficiencies

General Atomic Company, San Diego, California, supplies radiation monitoring equipment for TVA nuclear plants. During the TVA audit of General Atomic Company, the following deficiencies were noted in the implementation of their previously accepted QA program for TVA contracts:

- Deficiency 3 Contrary to section 11, paragraph 5.3, of General Atomic's QA manual, a test operator did not completely record the test results for a radiation monitor already shipped to Bellefonte.
- Deficiency 4 Contrary to ANSI N45.2, section 18, which requires results of inspections to be identifiable and retrievable from records storage, QA records from General Atomic's Cimex facility do not provide for the required identification and retrievability of inspection results.

Interim Progress

TVA is still in the process of evaluating the subject deficiencies. A response from General Atomic on this audit has not yet been received. Upon receipt of the response, TVA will determine if General Atomic's corrective action is adequate or if additional action will be necessary.