October 8, 1982

In the Matter of
Long Island Lighting Company
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)
Docket No. 50-322 (OL)

NOTE TO ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

Enclosed is a copy of a letter dated September 27, 1982, from NRC Region I (T. Martin) to Applicant (M. Pollock). The subject of the letter is: Inspection Nos. 50-322/82-10; 50-322/82-11. Attached to the enclosure is a copy of Applicant's letters dated July 1, 1982 and July 19, 1982 which letters are referenced in the enclosed NRC letter.

Sincerely,

Bernard M. Bordenick Counsel for NRC Staff

Enclosure: As Stated

Distribution:
Bordenick/Dewey/Repka
Black/Perlis
Reis/Lessy
Murray
Christenbury/Scinto
Chron (2)

J. Norris-AR-5008 A. Schwencer-116C

F. Weinkam/R. Gilbert-330

J. Higgins

OELD Formal Files (2) Docket Files/PDR/LPDR

DS07

OFC	:OELD BONS	:OELD	:				:
NAME	:BBordenick	:EReis/dkw	P	:	:	:	:
DATE	:10/8 /82	:10/8/82	:	:	:		:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,
Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322 (OL)

Lawrence Brenner, Esq.
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James L. Carpenter
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Matthew J. Kelly, Esq. Staff Counsel New York Public Service Commission 3 Rockefeller Plaza Albany, NY 12223 Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro 9 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, NY 11861

W. Taylor Reveley III, Esq. Hunton & Williams P.O. Box 1535 Richmond, VA 23212

Cherif Sedkey, Esq.
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Johnson
& Hutchison
1500 Oliver Building
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Stephen B. Latham, Esq. John F. Shea, III, Esq. Twomey, Latham & Shea Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 398
33 West Second Street Riverhead, NY 11901

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Herbert H. Brown, Esq.
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Karla J. Letsche, Esq.
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill,
Christopher & Phillips
1900 M Street, N.W.
8th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Daniel F. Brown, Esq.
Attorney, Atomic Safety and
Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

COURTESY COPY LIST

Edward M. Barrett, Esq. General Counsel Long Island Lighting Company 250 Old County Road Mineola, NY 11501

Mr. Brian McCaffrey Long Island Lighting Company 175 East Old Country Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Marc W. Goldsmith Energy Research Group, Inc. 400-1 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02154

David H. Gilmartin, Esq.
Suffolk County Attorney
County Executive/Legislative Bldg.
Veteran's Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

Mr. Jeff Smith Shoreham Nuclear Power Station P.O. Box 618 North Country Road Wading River, NY 11792

MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue Suite K San Jose, CA 95125

Hon. Peter Cohalan Suffolk County Executive County Executive/Legislative Bldg. Veteran's Memorial Highway Hauppauge, NY 11788

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223 Docket No. 50-322

Long Island Lighting Company
ATTN: Mr. M. S. Pollock
Vice President - Nuclear
175 East Old Country Road
Hicksville, New York 11801

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection Nos. 50-322/82-10; 50-322/82-11

This refers to your letter dated July 1, 1982, in response to our letter dated May 24, 1982, and to your letter dated July 19, 1982, in response to our letter dated June 18, 1982.

The Local Leakage Rate Test flow measuring and test equipment (rotometers) calibrations which were the subject of the violation in inspection Report 50-322/82-10 are used to satisfy the regulatory requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(o) and Appendix J to Part 50. The rotometers are test instruments used in an activity affecting quality and as such should have been controlled in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XII. This violation stands.

In your response to the violation resulting from Inspection Report 50-322/82-11, you state that periodic maintenance and testing of three batteries is provided for, using written and approved interim Operating Instructions. You further state that the batteries will be subject to service testing in accordance with the Plant Staff surveillance program commencing prior to initial fuel load. This testing program appears satisfactory. The violation is withdrawn.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letters. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By: 1st Martin

Thomas T. Martin, Director Division of Engineering and Technical Programs

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

8210040258 820927 PDR ADDCK 05000322 ste!

cc: J. Rivello, Plant Manager J. L. Smith, Manager of Special Projects Director, Power Division Edward M. Barrett, Esq. Jeffrey L. Futter, Esq. T. F. Gerecke, Manager, QA Department Public Document Room (PDR) Local Public Document Room (LPDR) Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC) NRC Resident Inspector State of New York

Region I Docket Room (with concurrences) L. Narrow, Region I Chief, Operational Support Section

Pullani/lp

Nicholas

RI : DETP RI: DETP Ebneter

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

175 EAST OLD COUNTRY ROAD . HICKSVILLE. NEW YORK 11801

MILLARD S. POLLOCK

SNRC-724 July 1, 1982

Mr. Thomas T. Martin, Director
Division of Engineering and Technical Programs
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

NRC Inspection No. 82-10 Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1 Docket No. 50-322

Dear Mr. Martin:

This letter responds to your letter of May 24, 1982, which forwarded the report of the routine inspection of activities authorized by NRC License No. CPPR-95, conducted by Mr. Pullani of your office on April 26-29, 1982. Your letter stated that it appeared that one of our activities was not conducted in full compliance with the NRC requirements. The apparent noncompliance and our response follows:

Apparent Noncompliance with 10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion XII, and the LILCO Startup Manual, Section 4.4.3

Contrary to the above, on April 28, 1982, Flow Measuring and Test Equipment Panel 42-4, used for the Local Leak Rate Testing of Primary Containment Isolation Valve MOV-031C, was not properly calibrated, in that:

- Original records of calibration either by the licensee, the manufacturer, or an approved testing laboratory were not available at the site as of the date of the test.
- Instead of the required calibration, an "accuracy check" was performed periodically using a procedure which was not approved as of the date of the test.

Mr. Thomas T. Martin, Director July 1, 1982 Page Two

3. PT. 654.003, Revision 1, Primary Containment Leak Rate
Test - Type C, the procedure used for the testing of MOV031C, requires in Steps 3.3 and 8.6 that certain corrections
be applied to the test results if the temperature or pressure
of air used for the test differs from the temperature or
pressure of air used for the calibration. The "accuracy
check" had no provisions for recording the calibration
temperature.

It was further determined that all other Flow Measuring and Test Equipment Panels used for Local Leak Rate Tests performed prior to April 28, 1982, were not properly calibrated.

LILCO Position

LILCO does not concur with the classification of Severity Level V Violation that was assigned to this finding. LILCO maintains that proper calibration of the local leak rate test (LLRT) Flow Measuring and Test Equipment (hereafter referred to as LLRT rotometer) had been performed as specified for this type of equipment. This equipment is not classified QA Category I and also is not part of the Shoreham Measuring and Test and also is not part of the Shoreham Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE) program as assumed in the Notice of Violation of the Inspection No. 50-322/82-10 report.

The apparent violation is described in the report in three parts so the LILCO explanations and actions are presented below in the same order:

1. Calibration Records

Explanation

The LLRT rotometers are specific to the Primary Containment Integrated Leak Rate Test System. Therefore, a process called calibration verification had been performed per Startup Manual Section 7.6.4, Test Performance, and CG.000.004, Instrument or Control Component Checkout and Calibration, instead of per Startup Manual Section 4.4.3, Measuring and Test Equipment, and Station Procedure 41.003.01, Control of I&C Measuring and Test Equipment.

CG.000.004 Section 7.3.3 states for nonsafety-related equipment "when specific procedures or a vendor manual are not available for basic instruments ... the Test Engineer may direct the Control Technician to perform the checkout/calibration in accordance with standard calibration methods

Mr. Thomas F. Martin, Director July 1, 1982 Page Three

and good work practices". Written direction was provided by the Test Engineer, as required above, for the calibration verification of the LLRT rotometers using approved data sheets per CG.000.004. Records of the Local Leak Rate Test (LLRT) rotometer calibration verifications have been on file with the Test Engineer. These calibration records will become part of the LLRT results data in PT.654.002 Primary Containment Leak Rate Test Type B and PT.654.003 Primary Containment Leak Rate Test Type C.

Current Action and Results

It is LILCO's intention to insure calibration via our own calibration program using standards and methods traceable to the National Bureau of Standards (NBS), or the use of an offsite calibration facility. Currently, LLRT rotometers are being calibrated by an offsite calibration facility with standards traceable to NBS.

Steps Taken to Prevent Recurrence

See "Steps Taken" paragraph for item 3 regarding Station Procedure 46.050.44.

2. "Accuracy Check"

Explanation

The "accuracy check" referred to was in fact the calibration verification described above. CG.000.004 permits the Test Engineer to provide necessary instructions and, in this case, written direction was available.

Current Action and Results

Currently, LLRT rotometers are being calibrated by an offsite calibration facility to standards traceable to NBS.

Steps Taken to Prevent Recurrence

See "Steps Taken" paragraph for item 3 regarding Station Procedure 46.050.44.

Calibration Temperature

Explanation

LILCO agrees that during this test there were no provisions

Mr. Thomas F. Martin, Director July 1, 1982 Page Four

for measuring calibration facility air supply temperature. However, a check was performed with results as indicated below:

Current Action and Results

The onsite calibration facility instrument air supply temperature was verified to be no higher than 73°F. A conservative value of 75°F was therefore used in the temperature correction of the indicated leak rates. This conservative correction was applied to all valves that were tested with LLRT rotometers for which temperatures were not recorded during calibration verification. The results of this correction have proved to be less than 0.5% of the indicated results. If applied to the total maximum allowable leak rate, the error would be less than 1 SCFH.

Currently, LLRT rotometers are being calibrated by an offsite facility to standards traceable to the NBS and with temperature data being recorded for each recalibration.

Steps Taken to Prevent Recurrence

Shoreham Station Procedure 46.050.44, Leak Rate Test Panel Rotometer Calibration, has been drafted and will be approved by the Review of Operations Committee by July 1, 1982. This procedure is written to insure continuity between the Startup preoperational test program and the Plant Staff surveillance program and requires recording calibration temperature during each LLRT rotometer calibration verification. All future LLRT indicated leak rates will be corrected for the rotometer calibration air temperatures. This does not invalidate leak rate testing or rotometer calibration performed under CG.000.004.

Date Action will be Completed

Station Procedure will be in effect by July 1, 1982.

Very truly yours,

M. S. Pollock

Vice President-Nuclear

cc: Mr. J. Higgins All Parties STATE OF NEW YORK) : ss.:
COUNTY OF NASSAU)

MILLARD S. POLLOCK, being duly sworn, deposes and says that I am a Vice President of Long Island Lighting Company, the owner of the facility described in the caption above. I have read the Notice of Violation dated May 24, 1982, and also the response thereto prepared under my direction dated July 1, 1982. The facts set forth in said response are based upon reports and information provided to me by the employees, agents, and representatives of Long Island Lighting Company responsible for the activities described in said Notice of Violation and in said response. I believe the facts set forth in said response are true.

MILLARD S. POLLOCK

Sowrn to before me this jot day of July, 1982.

ROSA LEE CLIVEROS
Potary Public, Cruto of New York
No. 20-4702268

Qualified in Rescau County 84
Commission expires Mar. 30, 19

VALCED LONGING

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

175 EAST OLD COUNTRY ROAD . HICKSVILLE. NEW YORK 11801

MILLARD S POLLOCK

SNRC-730 July 19, 1982

Mr. Thomas T. Martin
Division of Engineering and
Technical Programs
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

NRC Inspection No. 82-11 Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1 Docket No. 50-322

Dear Mr. Martin:

This letter responds to your letter of June 18, 1982, which forwarded the report of the routine inspection of activities authorized by NRC License No. CPPR-95, conducted by Mr. H. Nicholas of your office on May 11-14, 17-20, 1982. Your letter stated that it appeared that one of our activities was not conducted in full compliance with the NRC requirements. The apparent noncompliance and our response follow.

Apparent Noncompliance with 10CFR50 Appendix B Criterion XI, 10CFR50 Appendix A Criterion 18, and SNPS FSAR Section 8.3.2.1

10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion XI, requires a test program with written test procedures to demonstrate that systems and components will perform satisfactorily in service, incorporating the requirements and acceptance limits contained in applicable documents.

10CFR50, Appendix A, Criterion 18, requires that electrical power systems important to safety shall be designed to be tested periodically for operability and functional performance. SNPS FSAR Section 8.3.2.1 states that a battery performance test will be performed every five years and that a battery service test will be performed every eighteen months.

8210040361 B20927 PDR ADDCK 05000322 G PDR Mr. Thomas T. Martin July 19, 1982 Page Two

Contrary to the above, as of May 20, 1982, service testing had not been performed on three batteries due to be tested by August 1981, August 1981 and March 1982, respectively.

LILCO Position

LILCO does not agree that the finding cited above violates the requirements of: 1) 10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion XI; 2) 10CFR50, Appendix A, Criterion 18; and 3) SNPS FSAR Section 8.3.2.1. The reasons for this position are as follows:

- 1) LILCO conducted the initial performance and service tests in 1980, as stated in the report, using approved written test procedures. During the subsequent preoperational testing phase, LILCO has provided for periodic maintenance and testing (such as greasing of terminals, checking for corrosion, (such as greasing of terminals, checking for corrosion, testing specific gravity, etc.) specifically to prevent deterioration of the batteries or to detect deterioration should it occur. Written and approved Interim Operating Instructions are used for this function. Therefore, LILCO has satisfied the conditions of 10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion XI.
- The cited batteries have been designed to permit appropriate periodic testing as required by 10CFR50, Appendix A, Criterion 18.
- 3) SNPS FSAR Section 8.3.2.1 states that a battery service test will be performed during each refueling outage or at some other outage with intervals between tests not to exceed 18 months. This periodicity was intended to apply during the operations phase not the preoperations phase.

Actions to be Taken to Avoid Future Violations

The batteries will be subject to service testing in accordance with the Plant Staff surveillance program which commences prior to entering into the initial fuel load plant condition per Technical Specifications. When the surveillance program is initiated, scope and frequency of battery service will satisfy the Technical Specification capacity test requirements.

Mr. Thomas T. Martin July 19, 1982 Page Three

When Action Will Be Completed

Action will be completed at the time the surveillance program is initiated which is prior to entering into an initial fuel load plant condition.

Very truly yours,

M. S. Pollock

M. S. Pollock

Vice President-Nuclear

cc: Mr. J. Higgins All Parties STATE OF NEW YORK)
: ss.:
COUNTY OF NASSAU)

MILLARD S. POLLOCK, being duly sworn, deposes and says that I am a Vice President of Long Island Lighting Company, the owner of the facility described in the caption above. I have read the Notice of Violation dated June 18, 1982, and also the response thereto prepared under my direction dated July 19, 1982. The facts set forth in said response are based upon reports and information provided to me by the employees, agents, and representatives of Long Island Lighting Company responsible for the activities described in said Notice of Violation and in said response. I believe the facts set forth in said response are true.

MILLARD S. POLLOCK

Sworn to before me this /9 day of July , 1982.

Raca Lee Olivera

ROSA LEE OLIVEROS
Potary Public, State of New York
No. 30-4708268
Qualified in Nassau County
Commission expires Mar. 30, 198.4