

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | P | A | B | V | S | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
8 9 14 15 25 26 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T
0 1 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 9
8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | On 9/21/82, Steam Generator (S/G) 1A Level Protection Channel III
0 3 | signal comparator [LC-FW476A] was declared inoperable due to faulty
0 4 | actuation and trip setpoint drift found during surveillance testing.
0 5 | The protection channel bistable was manually tripped prior to the test
0 6 | and left in this condition as required by Tech Spec. Public health and
0 7 | safety was never jeopardized since reactor protection due to a low-low
0 8 | S/G water level was maintained thru the operability of the remaining
8 9 two protection channels in this loop. 80

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE | IA | 11 | CAUSE CODE | E | 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | E | 13 | COMPONENT CODE | INSTRU | 14 | COMP. SUBCODE | X | 15 | VALVE SUBCODE | Z | 16
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | 8 | 2 | 21 22 | EVENT YEAR | 8 | 2 | 21 22 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | 0 | 3 | 7 | 23 24 25 26 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 | 3 | 27 28 29 | REPORT TYPE | L | 30 31 | REVISION NO. | 0 | 32
18 | ACTION TAKEN | Z | 19 | 33 34 | FUTURE ACTION | Z | 20 | 35 36 | EFFECT ON PLANT | Z | 21 | 37 38 | SHUTDOWN METHOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 39 40 | HOURS | Y | 23 | 41 42 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | N | 24 | 43 44 | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. | N | 25 | 45 46 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | H | 0 | 1 | 5 | 26 | 47 48 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | The incident resulted from the failure of the Hagan comparator. The cause
1 1 | is unknown at this time. On 9/21/82 after replacing the comparator, the
1 2 | channel was returned to service. Due to the past reliability of the Hagan
1 3 | Control Instrumentation, this failure is considered an isolated incident.
1 4 | _____ 80

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS | _____ | 28 | % POWER | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 10 11 12 13 | OTHER STATUS | N/A | 30 | 44 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | B | 31 | 45 46 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | Maintenance Surveillance Procedure | 32 | 80

1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT | Z | 33 | 8 9 | RELEASED OF RELEASE | Z | 34 | 10 11 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | N/A | 35 | 44 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | N/A | 36 | 45 80

1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 8 9 | NUMBER | Z | 38 | 10 11 | TYPE | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 39 | 12 13 80

1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 8 9 | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 41 | 11 12 80

1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | Z | 42 | 8 9 | TYPE | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 43 | 11 12 80

2 0 | PUBLICITY ISSUED | N | 44 | 8 9 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 45 | 11 12 80

8210150208 820927
PDR ADDOCK 05000334
S PDR

NRC USE ONLY

Attachment to LER 82-037/03L
Beaver Valley Power Station
Duquesne Light Company
Docket No. 50-334

No further information is available or needed to satisfy the reporting requirement.