

SEP 16 1982

Docket No. 50-546

Docket No. 50-547

Public Service of Indiana
ATTN: Mr. S. W. Shields
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Division
Post Office Box 190
New Washington, IN 47162

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Mr. W. J. Key of this office on August 23-26, 1982, of activities at Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, authorized by NRC Construction Permits No. CPPR-170 and No. CPPR-171 and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. W. M. Petro and others of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

No items of noncompliance with NRC requirements were identified during the course of this inspection.


In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you (or your contractors) believe to be exempt from disclosure under 10 CFR 9.5(a)(4), it is necessary that you (a) notify this office by telephone within ten (10) days from the date of this letter of your intention to file a request for withholding; and (b) submit within twenty-five (25) days from the date of this letter a written application to this office to withhold such information. If your receipt of this letter has been delayed such that less than seven (7) days are available for your review, please notify this office promptly so that a new due date may be established. Consistent with Section 2.790(b)(1), any such application must be accompanied by an affidavit executed by the owner of the information which identifies the document or part sought to be withheld, and which contains a full statement of the reasons which are the bases for the claim that the information should be withheld from

SEP 16 1982

public disclosure. This section further requires the statement to address with specificity the considerations listed in 10 CFR 2.790(b)(4). The information sought to be withheld shall be incorporated as far as possible into a separate part of the affidavit. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the Public Document Room.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,



W. S. Little, Chief
Engineering Programs Branch

Enclosure: Inspection
Reports No. 50-546/82-16(DETP)
and No. 50-547/82-16(DETP)

cc w/encl:

W. M. Petro, Vice President
Nuclear Operations
DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)
Resident Inspector, RIII
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae
Dave Martin, Office of Attorney
General
John R. Galloway, Staff Director
Environment, Energy and Natural
Resources Subcommittee
E. P. Martin, Wabash Valley Power
Association
Thomas M. Dattilo, Save the Valley


RLH

Key/jp
9/11/82


RLH

Danielson


RLH

Little

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Report No. 50-546/82-16; 50-547/82-16

Docket No. 50-546; 50-547

License No. CPPR-170; CPPR-171

Licensee: Public Service Company of Indiana
Post Office Box 190
New Washington, IN 47162

Facility Name: Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2

Inspection At: Marble Hill Site, Jefferson County, IN

Inspection Conducted: August 23-26, 1982

Inspector: *W. J. Key*
W. J. Key

9/13/82

Approved By: *D. H. Danielson*
D. H. Danielson, Chief
Materials & Processes Section

9/13/82

Inspection Summary

Inspection on August 23-26, 1982 (Report No. 50-546/82-16(DETP); 50-547/82-16(DETP))

Areas Inspected: Examination of QA implementing procedures, observation of work, review of installation documentation examination of welding procedures, control of welding materials and qualification of welders and quality control inspectors relative to safety related piping activities. The inspection involved a total of 28 on-site inspector-hours by one NRC inspector.

Results: No items of noncompliance or deviations were identified.