

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1)

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | V | A | S | P | S | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5
5 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 31 CAT 58

01 | L | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 9
6 80 REPORT SOURCE 81 DOCKET NUMBER 86 89 EVENT DATE 94 95 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 | With the unit at 100% power, during the performance of PT 18.6B, TV-CC-209B
03 | would not close when manually operated from the control room. This event is
04 | contrary to T.S.3.8.A.1 and is reportable per T.S.6.6.2.b(2). The component
05 | cooling system is a closed system and it's integrity was maintained during the
06 | event; therefore, an operable barrier existed between the containment and the
07 | environment. Public health and safety were not affected.

09 | W | B | 11 | E | 12 | B | 13 | V | A | I | V | O | P | 14 | D | 15 | 7 | 16
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
17 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | L | 0
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
18 | A | 18 | Z | 19 | Z | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y | 23 | N | 24 | A | 25 | H | 0 | 3 | 5 | 26
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 | The cause of the event has been attributed to a sticking pilot valve (pneumatic
11 | operator) that controls air flow from the valve actuator. One minute after
12 | disconnecting the air supply to the pilot valve, TV-CC-209B closed. The air supply
13 | was restored and the valve tested satisfactorily. The failure could not be
14 | duplicated.

15 | E | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | N/A | 30 | R | 31 | Operator Observation | 32

16 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | N/A | 35 | N/A | 36

17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | N/A | 39

18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | N/A | 41

19 | Z | 42 | N/A | 43 | 8209160606 820907
PDR ADOCK 050002B1
S PDR

20 | N | 44 | N/A | 45 | NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARED J. L. Wilson

PHONE (804) 357-3184

ATTACHMENT 1
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO: 50-281
REPORT NO: 82-047/03L-0
EVENT DATE: 08-12-82

TITLE OF THE EVENT: TV-CC-209B will not close

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT:

On 08-12-82, with the unit at 100% power, the reactor operator was performing PT-18.6B, Quarterly Testing of Miscellaneous Containment Trip Valves, when trip valve, TV-CC-209B (component cooling to residual heat removal) failed to close on demand from the control room. Inoperability of an automatic containment isolation valve is contrary to Technical Specification 3.8.A.1 and is reportable per Technical Specification 6.6.2.b(2).

2. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES and STATUS of REDUNDANT EQUIPMENT:

The Design Basis for the containment isolation system is that during accident conditions, at least two barriers exist between the atmosphere outside the containment structure and

- a) The atmosphere inside the containment structure
- b) The reactor coolant and connecting systems.

Failure of one valve or barrier will not prevent isolation of the containment. Component cooling water piping is separated from the reactor coolant system, or a connecting system, and the atmosphere, by a membrane barrier.

Since the integrity of the membrane barrier, the component cooling water piping inside containment, was maintained, an isolation barrier between the inside of the containment structure and the environment was maintained during this event. Therefore, the health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. CAUSE:

The cause of this event was attributed to a sticking pilot valve which controls air flow to the diaphragm actuator of the component cooling trip valve.

4. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The immediate corrective action was to dispatch an operator to take administrative control of the manual isolation valve.

5. SUBSEQUENT CORRECTIVE ACTION:

As part of the investigation, the Instrument Air Supply was disconnected from the pilot valve. After about a minute, air bled from the pilot valve and TV-CC-209B closed. The air line to the pilot valve was reconnected and the valve tested satisfactorily. The failure could not be duplicated, the valve was subsequently returned to service.

6. ACTIONS TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

Necessary actions to prevent recurrence have been specified in Engineering Study no. 82-48 and are pending implementation.

7. GENERIC IMPLICATIONS:

A similar failure has been experienced on TV-CC-209B, during the previous periodic test 18.6B.