

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.
Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1

Docket No. 50-313
Operating License No. DPR-51

During an NRC inspection conducted October 17 through December 7, 1990, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1990) (Enforcement Policy), the violation is listed below:

Failure to perform an Adequate Safety Review.

Unit 1 Technical Specification 6.5.1.6.d requires that the Plant Safety Committee shall be responsible for "review of all proposed changes or modifications to plant systems or equipment that affect nuclear safety."

Contrary to the above, an inadequate review of the safety analysis for conducting resin transfer cask dewatering activities was performed. The review of the dewatering process did not evaluate the consequences of performing the activity in the nonradiologically controlled area (train bay) in which it was performed.

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement I) (313/9030-02)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc., is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, and, if applicable, a copy to the NRC Resident inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas,
this *20th* day of *December* 1990

9012270171 901220
PDR ADOCK 05000313
G PDR