

BEST COPY

THE BASCOCK & WILCOX COMPANY
POWER GENERATION GROUP

To J. H. MacMillan, Vice President
Nuclear Power Generation Division

From R. E. Kosiba, Manager
Customer Service Department, NPGD (3469)

BDS 443.3

Cust. File No.
or Ref.

Subj. TMI-2 Top Ten Lessons Learned Date June 11, 1979

This letter is for your information and is not to be used for any other purpose.

1. Our total product (hardware, software and services) was not adequate to prevent a long-duration loss of control of the plant and most severe damage to the core. We need to make changes in our total product — hardware, service, instructional, and software in order to compete with those who have not had a comparable accident. *Order 100, 1000, 10000*
2. The ^(not a 2nd - 1st - 2nd - 3rd - 4th - 5th - 6th - 7th - 8th - 9th - 10th) training of operators was not sufficient to assure proper management of the plant.
3. Our support to the operators during the incident was not sufficiently effective to converge to controlled conditions. Corrective action needed in communication and organization. Note - Our telephone off hours set-up was an irritant and contributed delay/confusion. *Wing 100 to 1000, 10000, 100000*
4. The education and training of our people (site and home office) was not sufficient and needs to be upgraded to manage real time incident support. *(Not by party opening) include personnel (moving people)*
5. The design of the control room demonstrated its inadequacy by contributing to the confusion of the operators. By design I include having the needed instruments, not having excess unneeded instruments to distract operators, having proper contrast of instruments important to safety of the plant, allowing for communication, etc.
6. The design of the plant was not adequately communicated to operations. (Operations includes BSW people, customer and even NRC). We need a current and comprehensive document that communicates how the plant responds to upsets and casualties for use by plant operators, service personnel of BSW and also to assure consistent understanding among design engineer disciplines — safety/controls analysis, systems engineers (I&C/Fluid) and components.
7. Our knowledge and control/influence of BOP systems was not adequate to provide for achieving the reliable/predictable performance of our NSS needed to be competitive.

Ex GPU 213 For ID
Dett's 5-18-81 J.R. Danyo

8307080582 790611
PDR ADOCK 05000289
P HOL

G 103 613

0005 103 613

J. H. MacMillan

-2-

June 11, 1979

8. Our use of operating experience was not adequate as demonstrated by the failure to perceive the warnings implicit in September 1977 Davis-Besse depressurization (and earlier SMUD) and take strong actions promptly to prevent occurrence of more severe incidents. *Failure*
9. Availability of design data for operating plant (MSS and BOP) is not sufficiently accessible, assured current and usable to facilitate real time support. *FS&M (good way)*
10. The systems engineer function (fluid and I&C) was erratic in developing procedures in response to TMI-2. On successive shifts people filled this function from varied organizational units - IPH, Systems & Equipment, CSD, RAD - with the result that procedures prepared varied or were inconsistent in format, content, standard. *any RT to be a simple*

REKraiba

REK:vp