#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Before the Commission

In the Matter of
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,
Unit 1)

Docketed USNRC JUN 29 1983 > 5

Office of the Sac. Of Parameter Sac. Of The Sa

Docket No. 50-322 O.L.

MOTION TO DEFER COMMISSION

ACTION AND FOR COMMISSION TO HEAR VIEWS
OF THE PARTIES BEFORE DECIDING CERTIFIED QUESTION
REGARDING LOW POWER LICENSE FOR SHOREHAM

On April 20, 1983, the Licensing Board certified to the Commission the question whether 10 C.F.R. § 50.47(d) should apply to Shoreham "in circumstances which raise preliminary doubts that emergency preparedness requirements for full power operation can and will be met in the future." Memorandum and Order Referring Denial of Suffolk County's Motion to Terminate to the Appeal Board and Certifying Low-Power License Question to the Commission (through the Appeal Board), LBP-83-21, 17 NRC , slip op. 12 (April 20, 1983) (the "Certification Order"). The Board determined that Section 50.47(d) should not be so applied. The Board found that without a Suffolk County offsite emergency plan and County resource assistance to implement any other plan, there could be no finding at this time of reasonable assurance that "offsite emergency preparedness sufficient to permit issuance of a full-power operating license for Shoreham can and will be developed." Id. at 9. The Board concluded that the Commission should not permit

8307050046 830629 FDR ADDCK 05000322 FDR ADDCK

D503

fuel loading "unless and until the impending factual inquiry [before a Licensing Board] can support . . ." such a finding. Id. at 10 (emphasis added).

The Board certified the question regarding the application of Section 50.47(d) on its own volition. None of the parties requested that the Board at that time address the issue of low power operation for Shoreham or certify the issue to the Commission. More importantly, neither Suffolk County, LILCO, the NRC Staff, nor other parties were given an opportunity to brief the matter and thereby present their views to the Board.

At an Affirmation/ Discussion Session of the Commission held on Tuesday, June 28, 1983, it was indicated that the Commission, by a 3 to 2 vote, may on June 30, 1983, both accept the certified question and resolve it by ruling that under the circumstances in Shoreham, Section 50.47(d) should nevertheless apply.

Suffolk County hereby moves that the Commission should defer action to resolve the certified question until it has heard the views of the parties by the filing of briefs, on the issue of whether 10 C.F.R. § 50.47(d) should be applicable to Shoreham under the extraordinary circumstances there, and especially in light of new developments of which the Commission

The Board stated that LILCO made "passing mention of its view that it could qualify for a low-power operating license notwithstanding the absence of a County emergency plan . . " in a pleading before the Board. Certification Order at 7-8.

may not be aware. The County's motion should be granted for the following reasons:

Other Parties an Opportunity to be Heard on this Issue. As noted above, the question certified to the Commission was not briefed or argued before the Board. Accordingly, unlike the usual situation, in which the Commission has available to it the briefs and pleadings of the parties from Licensing Board proceedings as to questions certified to it, here the Commission has never heard, directly or indirectly, the parties' views on whether or not 10 C.F.R. § 50.47(d) should be applicable to Shoreham.

Elementary fairness requires that the Commission at least give the parties an opportunity to be heard before deciding upon a crucial matter. This is perhaps particularly so where the matter is one of first impression. As the Board stated,

[W]e are about to embark on a first-time litigation of an applicant's offsite emergency plan in substitution of one sponsored by the local government.

Certification Order at 9-10 (emphasis in original).

On June 8, 1983, LILCO filed a motion for a low power license with the Licensing Board, sending a copy to the Commission. Suffolk County filed its opposition to the motion on June 27, 1983, also sending a copy to the Commission.

However, these documents do not address the issue of whether

10 C.F.R. § 50.47(d) should be applied to Shoreham. The County urges that it and the other parties be permitted to file a brief on that issue with the Commission, and that the Commission consider the views of the parties <u>before</u> resolving this critical matter of first impression.

- 2. Recent Developments Indicate that Offsite Emergency
  Preparedness Will Never be Sufficient to Support a Full Power
  Operating License for Shoreham. In addition to receiving and
  considering briefs of the parties before ruling on the certified question, the Commission should also consider the following
  recent developments which demonstrate that there will never be
  adequate offsite emergency preparedness at Shoreham.
- a. On May 26, 1983, LILCO filed with the Licensing Board five alternative offsite emergency plans. The Board ruled on June 10, 1983, that four of these plans -- those which envision the participation of governmental entities -- are not within the scope of the emergency planning proceeding because none of the governmental entities has agreed to participate. Order Limiting Scope of Submissions (June 10, 1983). The only remaining plan, the so-called "LILCO Transition Plan," is to be implemented solely by LILCO, without the participation of any governmental entity.

The County's opposition does discuss recent factual developments which it believes should have a bearing upon any Commission decision. It does not discuss legal matters concerning the application of Section 50.47(d).

b. At the Commission's request, FEMA reviewed the LILCO Transition Plan. On June 23, 1983, FEMA submitted to the Commission its findings on the LILCO Transition Plan, detailing thirty-four (34) "inadequacies" in terms of 3/NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1 (the "FEMA Report").

Some of the inadequacies identified in the FEMA Report appear to be of critical significance to the LILCO Transition Plan. For example, as to the fundamental matter of the legal authority of LILCO's "local emergency response organization" to implement its plan (Sec. 1.4, Attachment 1.4-1 of the LILCO Transition Plan), the FEMA Report's evaluation and comments stated:

Inadequate; first, the legal authority cited in Attachment 1.4.1 to the plan (10 C.F.R. 50.47) does not specifically grant the necessary police powers to a licensee to implement those aspects of an off-site emergency response requiring the exercise of governmental authority. Second, the underlying assumption of both FEMA and NRC off-site emergency preparedness regulations is that the responsibility for responding to a radiological emergency at a commercial nuclear reactor rests cooperatively with State, local, and federal governments. Part I.F. of NUREG 0654/FEMA-Rep-1, Rev. 1, states at p. 22-23 that "NRC and FEMA recognize that plans of licensees, State and local governments should not be developed in a vacuum or in isolation from one another. Should an accident occur, the public can be best protected when the response by all parties is fully integrated." Part I.H. emphasizes at p. 25 that "NRC and FEMA agree that the licensees of nuclear

<sup>3/</sup> The FEMA Report did not consider the further issue of LILCO's inability to implement the LILCO Transition Plan.

facilities have a primary responsibility for planning and implementing emergency measures within their site boundaries" (emphasis in original). In designating an emergency response organization relying exclusively on LILCO employees, this plan contravenes these standards.

FEMA Report at 2-3 (emphasis added).

Again, in the critical area of the organization of LILCO's local emergency response organization (Sec. 2.1, Fig. 2.1.2, Procedure 2.1.1 of the LILCO Transition Plan), the FEMA Report found:

Inadequate; first, the organizational matrix (Fig. 2.1.2) does not include a designation of responsibility for taking protective actions, although Procedure 2.1.1 states that this is the responsibility of the Director of Local Response. The matrix should be changed to reflect this responsibility. Second, responsibility for emergency law enforcement activities is not assigned (Reference A.2.b). No provision is made for the likely need for large numbers of police officers. For example, the assignment of traffic control responsibilities to person are not police officers is inap of the given the necessity of bublic thoroughfares, ordering follow specified routes, and owner entraordinary changes in legal driving patterns.

FEMA Report at 2 (emphasis added).

These and other deficiencies identified by FEMA appear to be fatal to the LILCO Transition Plan.

c. On June 23, 1983, the County filed with the Board a 169-page document entitled "Consolidated Draft Emergency Planning Contentions," containing draft contentions of the County and other Intervenors regarding the LILCO Transition Plan. These draft contentions identify dozens of serious deficiencies, many of which cannot be rectified because LILCO has illegally taken upon itself such governmental functions as declaring an offsite emergency and blockading public streets and highways to control traffic. These contentions demonstrate that offsite emergency preparedness is impossible without the participation of the County.

Accordingly, Suffolk County maintains that in light of these new developments, there can and will be no adequate offsite emergency preparedness for Shoreham. Under these facts, the application of 10 C.F.R. § 50.47(d) to permit the low power operation of Shoreham would be a futile act, entailing enormous costs, with no attendant benefits.

Suffolk County respectfully requests that, for the reasons stated herein, the Commission grant this motion and permit the parties to brief the Commission before it rules upon the certified question as to the application of 10 C.F.R. 50.47(d) to Shoreham.

Respectfully submitted,

David J. Gilmartin
Patricia A. Dempsey
Suffolk County Department of Law
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11788

Herbert H. Brown Lawrence Coe Lampher

Alan Roy Dynner

KIRKPATRICK, LOCKHART, HILL, CHRISTOPHER & PHILLIPS 1900 M Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Attorneys for Suffolk County

June 29, 1983

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# Before the Commission

In the Matter of

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322 (O.L.)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of MOTION TO DEFER COMMISSION ACTION AND FOR COMMISSION TO HEAR VIEWS OF THE PARTIES BEFORE DECIDING CERTIFIED QUESTION REGARDING LOW POWER LICENSE FOR SHOREHAM, dated June 29, 1983, and Letter from Peter F. Cohalan to the NRC Commissioners, dated June 29, 1983, have been served to the following this 29th day of June 1983, by first class mail, except as otherwise noted.

Lawrence J. Brenner, Esq.
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James L. Carpenter Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Edward M. Barrett, Esq.
General Counsel
Long Island Lighting Company
250 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Mr. Brian McCaffrey
Long Island Lighting Company
175 East Old Country Road
Hicksville, New York 11801

Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro 9 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801

\*\* W. Taylor Reveley III, Esq. Hunton & Williams P.O. Box 1535 707 East Main St. Richmond, Virginia 23212

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Stephen B. Latham, Esq. Twomey, Latham & Shea P.O. Box 398 33 West Second Street Riverhead, New York 11901 Marc W. Goldsmith
Energy Research Group, Inc.
400-1 Totten Pond Road
Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

Joel Blau, Esq.
New York Public Service Commission
The Governor Nelson A. Rockefeller
Building
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

David J. Gilmartin, Esq. Suffolk County Attorney H. Lee Dennison Building Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11788

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Bernard M. Bordenick, Esq. David A. Repka, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Stuart Diamond Environment/Energy Writer NEWSDAY Long Island, New York 11747

Daniel F. Brown, Esq.
Atomic Safety and
Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

James B. Dougherty, Esq. 3045 Porter Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20008

\*Chairman Nunzio J. Palladino U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W., Room 1114 Washington, D.C. 20555 Mr. Jeff Smith
Shoreham Nuclear Power Station
P.O. Box 618
North Country Road
Wading River, New York 11792

MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue Suite K San Jose, California 95125

Hon. Peter Cohalan
Suffolk County Executive
H. Lee Dennison
Building
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11788

Ezra I. Bialik, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Bureau
New York State Department of
Law
2 World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Jonathan D. Feinberg, Esq. Staff Counsel, New York State Public Service Comm. 3 Rockefeller Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Stewart M. Glass, Esq.
Regional Counsel
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10278

\*Commissioner Victor Gilinsky U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. 1717 H Street, N.W. Room 1103 Washington, D.C. 20555

\*Commissioner James K. Asselstine U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. 1717 H Street, N.W. Room 1136 Washington, D.C. 20555 \*Commissioner John F. Ahearne U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Room 1156 Washington, D.C. 20555

. . .

\*Commissioner Thomas M. Roberts
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Room 1113
Washington, D.C. 20555

\*Mr. Paul Bollwerk
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

\*Mr. William Reamer
Assistant to Chairman Palladino
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

\*Mr. William Manning
Office of Commissioner Gilinsky
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Alan Roy Dynner

KIRKPATRICK, LOCKHART, HILL, CHRISTOPHER & PHILLIPS

1900 M Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

June 29, 1983

\* Hand Delivery 6/29/83
\*\* Federal Express 6/29/83