GPU Nuclear Corporation

Post Office Box 388 Route 9 South Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388 609 971-4000 Writer's Direct Dial Number:

April 3, 1990

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Nuclear

Dear Sir:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Docket No. 50-219 Special Report No. 90-02

Enclosed is one copy of Special Report No. 90-02. This report is required by Technical Specification 3.13.H. If there are any questions or comments please contact Mr. Michael Heller, OC Licensing Engineer at (609)971-4680.

Very truly yours,

htm pat

E. E. Fitzpatrick Vice President & Director Oyster Creek

EEF/MH/dmd Attachment

cc: Administrator US NRC 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

> Mr. Alex W. Dromerick US NRC Washington, DC 20555

NRC Resident Inspectors Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

9004160056 900403 PDR ADOCK 05000219 S PDC

1420 I

GPU Nuclear Corporation is a subsidiary of the General Public Utilities Corporation

SPECIAL REPORT 90-02

Report Date:

April 3, 1990

Occurrence Date:

March 9, 1990

Identification of Occurrence:

The stack RAGEMS (Radioactive Gaseous Effluent Monitoring System) High Range Monitor was not repaired within seven (7) days as required by Technical Specification 3.13.H.

Description of Occurrence:

During a routine surveillance of the stack and turbine building RAGEMS High Range Monitor, the RAGEMS printer value for stack RAGEMS was identified as not meeting the acceptance criteria. The stack RAGEMS High Range Monitor was declared inoperable.

Analysis of Occurrence:

The RAGEMS printer value for the stack RAGEMS check source reading does not consistently fall within the acceptance criteria specified. A short form was submitted to investigate and repair the RAGEMS computer.

Corrective Action:

After thoroughly testing the stack RAGEMS High Range Monitor and associated computer system, it was determined that the instrument was responding within its limitations and that the instruments and software were functioning properly. It was concluded that the fault lay in the acceptance criteria of the test procedure. It was decided to establish a sigma value through a series of surveillances done over a 30-day time period to obtain statistically accurate acceptance criteria values. The acceptance criteria for the procedure will then be changed to reflect this result. The High Range Monitor was returned to service on March 20, 1990.