Page 1 of 2

FAX MESSAGE

ADVANCED MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.

1020 London Road, Cleveland, OH 44110 Telephone: (216) 466-4671 FAX: (216) 466-0186

TO: MR. CHARLES NORELIUS
USNRC-REGION III
GLEN ELLYN, IL

FROM: SHERRY J. STEIN, DIR. OF REG. AFFAIRS

FAX NO.: (708) 790-5655

DATE: MARCH 14, 1990

We were extremely disappointed that we did not receive either your promised itinerary or the list of topics which had been promised in February, 1990 concerning our January, 1990 inspection.

Had Messrs. Slawinski and Madera agreed to remain on Friday, January 26, 1990 to discuss the areas of "minor concern" with Attorney Aldrich and myself as requested, this matter could have been resolved at that time. As you know, AMS attorneys had a previously-scheduled appearance in the U.S. Federal District Court in the Matter of AMS vs. USNRC during the exact time that the NRC inspectors chose to conduct their exit interview. It was obvious, due to Mr. Slawinski's and other members of your staff's numerous telephone calls, that the inspection was not completed during their visit and, therefore, further time with AMS technical staff and attorneys was necessary and would have been beneficial.

Despite numerous attempts by AMS to clarify any areas of concern, the NRC unilaterally escalated the inspectors' findings to multiple license violations. Even though the inspectors themselves admitted license violations. Even though the inspectors themselves admitted that AMS' renewed license was confusing and unusually voluminous that AMS' renewed license was confusing and unusually voluminous spanning several volumes instead of the normal few pages and agreed with AMS' attorneys that streamlining was necessary, Region III has refused to agree to assist in the necessary clarification of this document.

Further, despite the fact that AMS has met each and every demand set forth by Region III in order to secure a meeting on March 27, 1990, a date requested by Region III, the promised necessary documentation was never provided to AMS. Since this documentation which was promised in February, 1990 could not be provided in midwhich was promised in February, 1990 could not be provided in midwhich, we question the desire of the NRC to meet at all. It march, we question the desire of the NRC to meet at all. It appears that the NRC is only willing to meet with AMS if it is not required to provide documentation of the alleged violations, contrary to your own mandatory regulations.

9003220093 900314 RE43 LIC30 PDC

Mr. Charles Norelius March 13, 1990 Page 2 of 2

Despite the numerous problems caused by your unwillingness to provide us with the requested documentation, we remain willing to meet with you some time in the near future.

Please notify us when the documentation will be ready so that we may reschedule the meeting.

SJS/mz