81	n	61	1	13-1			
- 14	U	¥.	1	4	198	11	

Docket Nos.: 50-327/328

Dear Mr. Parris:

Mr. H. G. Parris Manager of Power Tennessee Valley Authority 500A Chestnut Street, Tower II Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NSIC LB#4 r/f TIC DEisenhut TERA EAdensam ACRS (16) CStahle MDuncan RTedesco SHanauer RVollmer TMUPLEY J. MRAMER RMattson RHartfield, MPA OELD OIE (3) bcc: L/PDR NRC/PDR

1956 1920

Subject: Request for Information on Sequoyah Fire Protection

Enclosed are requests for additional information on fire protection that are based on our review of your October 1, 1981, report. This information was also discussed in our October 27, 1981, meeting concerning differences in the Sequoyah plant design and the requirements of certain sections in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix R.

Your responses are requested by December 15, 1981.

Sincerely,

Elinor G. Adensam, Chief Licensing Branch No. 4 Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

PDR ADOCK 05000 PDF	40263 050003	DR
---------------------	--------------	----

OFFICE SURNAME	DL:L8#4 CStanle:eb 11/10/81	LA:DL:LB#4 MDuncan 11/ /81	DL/LB#4 EAdensam 11/10/81	AD:1001 RIedesco 11/0./81		
NRC FORM 318	(10-80) NRCM 0240		OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY	USGPO: 1981-335-960

SEQUOYAH

· · · ·

Mr. H. G. Parris Manager of Power Tonnessee Valley Authority 500A Chestnut Street, Tower II Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

cc: Herbert S. Sanger, Jr., Esq. General Counsel Tennessee Valley Authority 400 Commerce Avenue E 11B 33 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

> Mr. H. N. Culver Tennessee Valley Authority 400 Commerce Avenue, 249A HBB Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Bob Faas Westinghouse Electric Corp. P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Mr. Mark Burzynski Tennessee Valley Authority 400 Chestnut Street, Tower II Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

Mr. J. F. Cox Tennessee Valley Authority 400 Commerce Avenue, W10C131C Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Resident Inspector/Sequoyah NPS c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2600 Igou Ferry Road Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT 1 & 2 SAFE SHUTDOWN IN THE EVENT OF FIRE AUXILIARY SYSTEMS BRANCH

. . . .

- 1. The safe shutdown logic diagram (Figure 1-1) of the Sequoyah safe shutdown submittal dated October 1, 1981 indicates that credit is taken for the use of automatic control functions to achieve and maintain hot shutdown conditions. During the meeting 10/27/81, the applicant indicates that all automatic control logic may be lost in the event of a fire in the auxiliary instrument room. Please provide a discussion of how hot shutdown will be achieved and maintained in the event of a fire in the auxiliary instrument room, or any other area in which automatic functions can be rendered inoperable. This discussion should address the use of alternate instrumentation and controls methods, and all systems to which automatic function or control will be disabled.
- 2. Provide a discussion of the auxiliary control room (ACR) with respect to electrical isolation from the main control room (MCR), dedicated instrumentation which will monitor required system parameters (i.e., pressure, temperature, tank levels), and manual actions required to transfer control from the MCR to the ACR.
- 3. Provide a list of system parameters which must be monitored to assure proper plant conditions during hot shutdown and cold shutdown operation. Provide a list of instrumentation/alarms which will monitor these system parameters and component functions in the event of fire (e.g., pressurizer pressure indicator, cold leg/hot leg temperature, steam generator pressure and level, pump running indicators, condensate storage tank level). Verify that the applicable indicators are available in the MCR, ACR and other remote shutdown boards.

- 4. In many instances, one control/actuation signal will cause the actuation of several functional components. Verify that the depressurization of the primary side via interfacing system will not occur due to the actuation of system components resulting from the fire induced generation of spurious signals from associated circuitry. Your discussion should include the means of preventing RHR isolation valve actuation, uncontrolled letdown, pressurizer PORV actuation, or operation of any valve or component which would prevent the system(s) from performing its functional objectives.
- 5. Verify that procedures which describe tasks that are to be performed to effect the shutdown method have been developed and are available to appropriate plant personnel. Also, demonstrate that the manpower required to perform the shutdown functions in accordance with your procedures, as well as to provide fire brigade members to fight the fire is available as required by the fire brigade technical specifications.

- 2 -