

SNUPPS

Standardized Nuclear Unit Power Plant System

5 Choke Cherry Road Rockville, Maryland 20850 (301: 869-8010 Nicholas A. Petrick Executive Director

September 16, 1981

SLNRC 81- 104 FILE: 0541 SUBJ: MEB Review

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director⁷ Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Docket Nos. STN 50-482, STN 50-483, and STN 50-486

Reference: SLNRC 81-95, dated September 9, 1981, NRC Request for Information - Mechanical Engineering

Dear Mr. Denton:

The referenced letter provided information that was requested by the NRC's Mechanical Engineering Branch. In discussions with Dr. Gordon Edison it was determined that changes to that information were required. The attached FSAR page 3.6-10 replaces the same page included with the reference. This page will be included in the next FSAR revision.

Very, truly yours, PANIX Nicholas A. Petrick

RLS/mtk

Č

Enclosure

c:	J.	Κ.	Bryan	UE
	D.	F.	Schnell	UE
	G.	L.	Koester	KGE
	D.	Τ.	McPhee	KCPL
	Τ.	Ε.	Vande1	NRC/WC
	W.	A.	Hansen	NRC/CA

B001 1/1

09220372 81 R ADOCK 050

in order to verify the design basis break locations in the reactor coolant loop noted therein.

At all postulated circumferential break locations, the maximum loop piping displacements, as determined by the dynamic RCS analysis or the location of pipe restraints, are such that the separation results in a limited flow area.

Separation results in a limited flow area. For all postulated circumferential breaks, hydraulic forcing functions associated with full double-ended breaks are as used in the RCS structural analysis except for the reactor vessel inlet and outlet nozzle breaks. At these locations the break area is limited to approximately one square foot. This reduced break area is justified based on the configuration of the plant. Specifically, reactor coolant piping restraints located in the shield wall annulus (as described in Section 5.4.14) Fimit the movement of the reactor coolant pipe such that a full double-ended break could not develop.

When performing other plant analyses such is the RCS piping jet impingement analyses and containment mass and energy release calculations, limited break areas are assumed at all other postulated circumferential break locations in the RCS. The application of limited break areas is based on RCS piping restraint design, primary component support design, and maximum calculated RCS displacements. The Westinghouse-designed RCS restraints and primary component supports physically limit RCS displacement following a postulated pipe break. Generic analyses performed by Westinghouse were used to determine conservative upper bound values of maximum break opening areas at each postulated break location in the Westinghouse RCS. These limited break areas were used in the SNIIPPS jet impingement and containment mass and energy release analyses. Longitudinal breaks area

assumed to have an opening area equal to one flow area of the pipe.

- Pipe breaks are postulated to occur in the following locations in Class 1 piping runs or branch runs outside the primary reactor coolant loops and pressurizer surge line as follows:
 - (a) The terminal ends of the piping or branch run.
 - (b) Any intermediate locations between the terminal ends where stresses, calculated using equations (12) and (13) of the ASME B&PV Code, Section III, Subsection NB, exceed 2.4 Sm, where Sm is the design stress intensity, as given in the ASME B&PV Code, and the stress range calculated, using equation (10) of the ASME B&PV code, exceeds 2.4 Sm.
 - (c) Any intermediate locations between terminal ends where the cumulative usage factor, derived from the piping fatigue analysis, under the loadings associated with the OBE and operational plant conditions, exceeds 0.1.

Rev. 7 9/81