




CITIZENS FOR FAIR UTILITY REGULATION  
1668-B Carter Drive  
Arlington, Texas 76010  
August 7, 1981

Administrative Judge Marshall E. Miller  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Richard Cole, Member  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Forrest J. Remick, Member  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
305 E. Hamilton Haven e  
State College PA 16801



In the Matter of  
Texas Utilities Generating Company, et al.  
(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2)  
Packet Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

Dear Administrative Judges:

Pursuant to the Board's order of July 23, 1981 and pursuant to telephone conversation with Marshall Miller on August 4, 1981, CFUR would like to make the following report and requests.

On Monday August 3, CFUR contacted Homer Schmidt, Nuclear Services Manager, Texas Utilities Services, Inc., and arranged a meeting between CFUR and the applicant to be held on Tuesday, August 11 in the Dallas Office of Texas Utilities to discuss those interrogatories contained in Applicant's Answers to CFUR's Fifth and Sixth Set of Interrogatories still in controversy. In a telephone conversation with Mr. Schmidt on August 6, it was agreed that other interrogatories still in controversy from previous CFUR requests for information will be discussed in future meetings.

It is CFUR's understanding that discovery and requests for information concerning Board questions is still open for discussion between parties until such time as the Board issues a ruling.

CFUR further wishes to notify the Board of its opposition to Applicant's July 29 Motion for Protective Order with respect to interrogatories which the Applicant objects to in CFUR's 6th Set of Interrogatories and wishes to retain the right to file a formal objection following any break-down in discussions between CFUR and the Applicant regarding any interrogatories remaining in question listed in Applicant's July 29 motion.

CFUR also wishes to retain its right to file motions to compel answers from Applicant if there are still certain other interrogatories remaining in controversy following discussions between CFUR and the Applicant.

CFUR hopes that any further motions to compel or objections to protective orders will not be necessary and CFUR will make every effort to reduce that necessity in the interest of expediting these proceedings.

DS03  
5  
1/0

8108140278 810807  
PDR ADDCK 05000445  
G PDR

Sincerely,  
*Richard L. Fouke*  
Richard L. Fouke

cc: All parties