

NUCLEAR H ATORY COMMISSION

_1y 16, 1..31

293

MEMORANDUM FOR: Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Licensing

FROM:

Mark H. Williams, Project Manager Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Licensing

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF MEETING WITH THE BOSTON EDISON COMPANY REGARDING (BECO.) CORE SPRAY SPARGER CRACKS

DATE AND TIME: July 30, 1981 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: P-110

PURPOSE:

To evaluate the BECo. proposal to operate through Cycle ϵ with cracks in the core spray sparser.

PARTICIPANTS: NRC

× .	K	R.	Wichman	ORAB
	С.	Υ.	Cheng	ORAB
			Frahm .	ORAB
	G.	R.	Mazetis	RSB
	S.	S.	Pawlicki	Mt. EB
	Ν.	S.	Hazelton	Mt. EB
	Joe	Čo	llins	OIE

BECo.

J. Keys H. O'Connor

J. Nicholson

F. Famulari

J. Gossnell

W. Cooper Telepyne

Mark H. Williams, Project Manager Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Licensing

8108130024 810806 PDR ADOCK 05000293 P PDR

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY GENERAL OFFICES BOD BOYLSTON STREET BOSTON, MARBACHURETTE DE199

A. V. MORISI NUCLEAR MANAGER PERATIONS SUPPORT DEPARTMENT

> July 14, 1981 BECo. Ltr. #81-160

. Mr. Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation J.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Ì.

} 🐒

Request For a Meeting

License No. DPR-35 Docket No. 50-293

Since Boston Edison Company first reported linear indications in the core spray sparger at Pilorim Nuclear Power Station, we have been actively involved with General Electric Company in the planning, design work, tooling development and manufacturing efforts necessary to replace the core spray for power operation However, as a parallel effort, Tele yne Engineering Services was contracted to perform independent stress analyses of the sparger indications, and Aptech

Engineering Services, Inc. to perform fracture mechanics studies. As a result of these studies and analyses and with the aid of an improved image enhanced video tape of our 1980 refueling outage inspections, subsequently reviewed by paco, G.E., Teledyne and Aptech personnel, we have determined that there is no need to replace the core spray sparger at this time as adequate assurance can be provided for its operability through Cycle 6.

We would appreciate the opportunity to present in a meeting with your staff, our

findings as concluded above, and outline our planned acceptance criteria for additional inspection and testing to be performed during our upcoming refueling To accommodate the appropriate contractor personnel involved in the different

factis of our presentation, we request a meeting date on either July 30 or 31, 1981. Should one of these dates be acceptable to you and your staff, we would appreciate confirmation at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Withour

ENCI OSUPE 1