

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUN 1 0 1980

Robert E. Alexander, Chief Occupational Health Standards Branch U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Bob:

The enclosed Table I lists the results of occupational MPC a computations made for us some time ago by ORNL, and which we had provided to you and other members of our Interagency Committee. The specific radionuclides listed in this Table are those which your staff had informed us were of specific interest to NRC. We may be asking ORNL to compute the MPC for a few additional radionuclides. Please let me know which other ones are also of special interest to NRC at this time. Thanks.

Sincerely,

Luis F. Garcia
General Radiation Standards Branch
Criteria & Standards Division (ANR-460)
Office of Radiation Programs

Enclosure

ORNL TRIAL RUNS

- 1. Calculate maximum air concentrations for standard annual occupational conditions (MPC $_{\rm a}$) using new dosimetric and metabolic models employed for ICRP-30.
- 2. Run four cases, involving two basic approachs, as follows:

Case A. Critical Organ Approach (using current RPG's)

Calculate MPCa based on dose to the single limiting organ, using the current RPG's, namely:

whole body, red bone marrow, gonads,
or lens of eye 5 rems/y
thyroid, bone surfaces,
skin(whole body) 30 rems/y
other organs 15 rems/y

Case B. Additive Risk Approach (using 3 modified ICRP-26 schemes).

Use the general constraints

 $\sum_{i} w_{i}H_{i} \leq 5 \text{ rems/y}, \text{ and } H_{j} \leq L_{j},$

where gonads and lens of eye are not included in the sum, and the values of w_i and L_j are as follows:

Organ i	¥1
Breast	0.20
Red Bone Marrow	0.16
Lung	0.15
Thyroid	0.04
Bone Surfaces	0.04
Skin	0.01
Other Organs*	0.40

^{*}Applies only to the 5 maximum-dosed other organs, each being given a weighting factor of 0.08.

The limits Ly are to be applied as three separate cases:

	Organ j	Fi
Case B1	gonads, lens of eye all others	5 rems/y 50 rems/y
Case B2.	gonads, lens of eye all others	5 rems/y 30 rems/y
Case B3.	Use Case A RPG's for Lj.	

3. Run the above cases for as many as possible of the following radionuclides:

P-32 Sr-90	Mn-54	Mn-56	Co-58	Co-60	Sr-89
I-131	Zr-95 I-133	Nb-95 Cs-137	Mo-99 Th-228	I-125 Th-232	1-129
U-235	U-238	Pu-238	Pu-239	Am-241.	U-23#

4. Tabulation of Results

Tabulate results for above 4 cases, together with corresponding MPCa's in ICRP-2 (or 10 CFR 20) and ICRP-30, for comparison purposes.

Table 1. HPC, (uC1/cc) Values From ORM. Trial Runs

		Me would fill someth		Additive Risk Approach ²			
Nuc11de	1CRP-30 ⁴	Critical Organ	4 50	32 ,5	93 515 .	ICRP-2	
-32 0	4(-7)8	9(-8) R. Harrow	3(-7)	A 3(-7)	# 9(-8) R. Harrow	7(-2) 30me	
	2(-/)	6(-8) Lungs	1(-7)	R 1(-7)	+ 1(-8) Jungs	8(-8) Lungs -	
n-54 D	4(-7)	4(-7) R. Marrow	4(-7)	5 4(-7)	4(-7) 2 Harrow	2" -7) Liver	
	2(-7)	1(-7) Lungs .	3(-7)	₹ 3(-7)	3(-7) Lungs .	4(-9) Lungs	
n-56 0	6(-6)	4(-6) Lungs	5(-6)	R 5(-6)	+ 4(-6; Lungs	9(-7) LLI	
	9(-6)	3(-6) Lungs	5(-6)	N 5(-6)	- 3(-6) Lungs	5(-7) 11.	
0-58 W	5(-7)	2(-7) Lungs	3(-7)	L 3(-7)	- 2(-7) Lungs	81 -71 LLI	
D-10 *	3(-7)	1(-7) Lungs	2(-7)	R 21 -7)	× 1(-7) Lunns	51 -3) Lungs	
- 40 V	7(-8)	5(-8) Lungs	5(-8)	L 5(-8)	5(-8) Lungs	3(-7) 141	
0-60 W	1(-8)	5(-9) Lungs	8(-9)	L 8(-9)	-5(-9) Lunas	9(-91 Lunas	
	4(-7)	1(-7) R. Harrow	3(-7)	A 3(-7)	-1(-7) R. Marrow	3(-8) 3one	
r-89 D	6(-8)	2(-8) Lungs	4(-8)	5 4(-8)	-2(-8) Lunns	4(-9) Lunas	
	8(-9) B. Surf.	2(-9) R. Marrow	6(-9)	45(-9) 8. Surf.	-2(-9) R. Harrow	3(-10) 3qne	
r-90 G	2(-9)	6(-10) Lungs	1(-9)	L1(-9) Lungs	-5(-10) Lungs	5(-9) Lungs	
		3(-8) 8. Surf.	5(-0) 8. Surf.	L 3(-8) 8. Surf.	3(-A) B. Surf.	1(-7) T. Body	
r-95 0	5(-8) 8. Surf.	9(-8) Lungs	1(-7)	1(-7)	+9(-8) Lungs		
	2(-7)	4(-8) Lungs	7(-8)	R 7(-8)	-4(-8) Lungs	3(-8) Lungs	
	1(7)	3(-7) Lungs	4(-7)	L 4(-7)	-3(-7) Lunas'	5(-7) T. Body	
b-95 ¥	5(-7)		3(-2)	R 4(-7)	- 2(-7) Lungs	1: -7) Lungs	
	5(-7)	2(-7) Lungs	8(-7)	2 3(-7)	3(-/)	7(-7) Kidney-	
10-99 0	1(-6)	9(-7) Liver	4(-7)	2 4(-7)	-3(-7) LLI	2(-7) LLL	
,	6(-7)	3(-7) LLI	3(-A) Thyroid	R 2(-8) Thyrold	Z(-A) Thurnid	5 (- 2) Thirti.	
(-125 0	(-8) Thyroid	2(-8) Thyroid	4(-9) Thyrold	5'2(-9) Thyroid	2(-9) Thyrota	21 - Thyrmid	
1-129 0	(-9) Thyrold	2(-9) Thyrnid	2(-8) Thyroid	21(-4) Thyroid	1(-8) Thuroid	31 -31 Thyroid	
1-131 0	2' -8) Thyroid	1(-8) Thyroid	1(-7) Thyroid	27(-A) Thyrotd	7(-A) Thurntd	31 -81 Thyrold	
-133 0	1(-7) Thyroid	7(-8) Thyroid		5 4(-4) Gonads	44 -81 Ganada	1/ -H) T. Redy	
Cs-134 0	4(-8)	4(-8) Gonads	4(-8) Gonads	5 6(-A) Gonads	6(-9) Gunads	5(-3) T. Tody	
Cs-137 0	6(-8)	6(-8) Gonadt	6(-8) Ganeds	- 8(-9)	271 -91 Liver	1(-8) Liver	
Ce-144 W	1(-8)	7(-9) Liver	8(-9)		-2(-3) Lunas	6(-0) Lungs	
*	6(-9)	2(-9) Lungs	4(-9)	4(-9) Lungs	-1(-10) Lungs	3(-11) Lungs	
Ra-226 W	3(-10)	1(-10) Lungs	2(-10)	2(-10)	43 544 4 4114	9(-12) Sone	
Th-228 W	4(-12) 8. Surf.	. 2(-12) B. Surf.	4(-12) 3. Surf		-	6(-12) Lungs	
*	7(+12)	2(-12) Lungs	5(-12)	L 5(-12)	- 2(-12) Lungs	•2(-12) Bone	
Th-232 W	5(-13) 8. Surf.	. 1(-13) 3. Surf.	5(-13) B. Surf			1(-11) Lungs	
*	1(-12) 8. Surf.	. 7(-13) 3. Surf.	1(-12) B. Surf			6(-i0) 3one	
U-234 0	5(-10) B. Surf.	. 3(-10) 3. Surf.	5(-10) B. Surf			91-10/ 2004	
¥	3(-10)	1(-10) Lungs	2(-10)	2(-10)	- 1(-10) Lunas	1(-10) Lungs	
*	2(-11)	6(-12) Lungs	1(-11)	-1(-17) Lungs	-6(-12) Lungs	5(-10) Klaney	
U-235 0	6(-10) 8. Surf	. 3(-10) 3. Surf.	6(-10) 8. Surf		77.74	3(+19) Cidnay	
	3(-10)	1(-10) Lungs	2(-10)	2(-10)	1(-10) Lunas	17 101 1	
,	2(-11)	6(-12) Lungs	1(-11)	1(-11) Lungs	5(-12) Lungs	1(-10) Lungs	
U-238 0	6(-10) 8. Surf	. 4(-10) 3. Surf.	6(-10) 3. Surf	4(-10) 3. Surf		7(-11) cidney	
	3(-10)	1(-10) Lungs	2(-10)	2(-10)	1(-10) Lungs	16 -101 1	
Y	2(-11)	5(-12) Lungs	1(-11)	-1(-11) Lungs	≠6(-12) Lungs	1(-10) Lungs	
Pu-238 W	3(-12) 8. Surf	. 2(-12) 3. Surf.	3(-12) 8. Surf			and have a sound	
, , ,	7(-12) 8. Surf		5(-12)	-4(-12) 8. Surf			
Pu-239 W	2(-12) 3. Surf		2(-12) B. Sur	1(-12) 8. Surf		2(-12) 5.00	
y	61 -12) 8. Surf		5(-12)	-4(-12) 8. Surf		CONTRACT AND INC.	
Am-241 W			2(-12) 8. Sur	r1(-12) 3. Surf	. 1(-12) 9. Surf.	5(-12) 3one	

Diff no organ is noted the MPC value is based on stochassic considerations.

From Mais 1. Paised 21 Levere

to total

6-29-29	R. Frisk to All tissue. - D. L. I (r. r., q.)	1 = 1 = 1 = 2 (f. 1. 9.)	If Ry is set equal to.	exposure to 5 rems, I becomes the ALE:	whole-ball	f = 13k heter for gene to effects,	ALI: L. D(F. 1. Q. 1. F.) . 91)	DAC = 41.T	
E	(77)	H	H	٦.	I	I	I	J	1
	De pesities	u-	~¹	7,	~*	4,	~~	f	4/6
_,\%	(100 /m2)	4	٦,		۵,	9.	7	P 1	erty lak
80	Kansuas (۸,	~	3	*	*	- 4	3	= duar
to Me	Rick Fr. Co.	1. 9.	4.4.	6.7	7. 3,	u* 3;	- w 52	22	— Ч —
CALCULATION	Trume	the fourth) first two generations succeeding yearenthess	ter him traffers and taked connect	Intal comer	" hatel Camer" " horn batel Camer"	th, and, betal cancer	Second, hatel Come or	it ther times combined head conner	

.

	ν	
200		
	77	
	· · ·	
4, 6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
- 10	- 	
9	7 7	
X X	£13.34	
(ALF)	t. 1	
In Coy a. (pressunt lose tim. t	f. D. for each ALE to	
the same of the sa	+ - + -	
- the things	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
2 2 2		
7. cm3	alculate he lowest	
	. 0.3	,

Red Bone Marton (non-tatal cancer) Bone (non-fatal cancer) Thyroid (non-fatal cancer) Thyroid (non-fatal cancer) Breast (non-tatal cancer) 111 other Tissues Combined (non-fatal cancers)	. 6 6 6 6	1 8 M 6 - 1 M			
Whole-body	-				_

MAILING LIST

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL GUIDANCE FOR OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURES TO IONIZING RADIATION

- Dr. William W. Burr, Jr., M.D., Acting Director
 Office of Health & Environmental Research
 U.S. Department of Energy
 Room E-201
 Washington, D.C. 20545
- 2. Mr. Tommy F. McCraw
 Division of Operational & Environmental Safety
 U.S. Department of Energy
 Room E-201
 Washington, D.C. 20555
- 3. Mr. Edward J. Vallario
 U.S. Department of Energy
 Division fo Operational and Environmental Safety
 Washington, D.C. 20545
 (301)353-5640
- Mr. Robert E.Alexander, Chief
 Occupational Health Standards Branch
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rm. G-140
 Washington, D.C. 20555
 (301)443-5975
 - 5. Dr. John V. Nehemias
 Radiological Assessment Branch
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Rm. P-214
 Washington, D.C. 20555
 (301)492-7955
 - 6. Dr. Jake Kastner
 NMSS-U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, D.C. 20555 (301)427-4240
 - 7. Col. Darrell W. McIndoe, USAF, MC, Director
 Armed Forces Radiobiological Research Institute
 Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (202)295-1210
 - 8. Mr. Les Slaback Armed Forces Radiobiological Research Institute Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (202)295-1285
 - 9. Lt. Col. Edwin Still, USAF-VC
 Headquarters, Defense Nuclear Agency (IRHE)
 6801 Telegraph Road
 Washington, D.C. 20305

10. Dr. Aurel Goodwin, Chief
Health Division - MSHA
Department of Labor
Boston Tower #3, Rm. 732
4015 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, Virigina 22203

(703) 235-8307

11. Dr. Lewis V. Spencer
Radiation Physics Division
Bldg. 245 - Rm. C-313
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

(301)921-2685

- 12. Dr. Randall S. Caswell, Chief
 Nuclear Radiation Division
 Building 245 Room D-111 Phone (301) 921-2625
 Washington, D.C. 20234
- 13. Mr. Leven B. Gray, Chief
 Health & Safety Engineering Office
 Goddard Space Flight Center (Code 205)
 NASA
 Phone (301) 344-6295
 Greenbelt, Maryland 20771
- 14. Dr. B. Shleien
 Bureau of Radiological Health (HFX-4)
 Food & Drug Administration, DHEW
 5600 Fishers Lane Phone(301) 443-6220
 Rockville, Maryland 20857
- 15. Dr. John P. O'Neill, Director
 Office of Physical Agents Standards
 Occupational Safety & Health Administration, DOL
 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Rm. N-3663 Phone(202) 523-7151
 Washington, D.C. 20210
- 17. Mr. E. Gene Proctor, Chief
 Environmental Health Branch
 Office of Safety & Environmental Health
 NASA Headquarters (NPG-34)
 600 Independence Avenue, S.W. Phone (202)755-2077
 Washington, D.C. 20546
- 18. Dr. Richard F. Boggs
 National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health, DHEW
 Parklawn Building, Room 8A-55 Phone (301) 443-3680
 5600 Fishers Lane
 Rockville, Maryland 20857

19. Mr. Richard Rawl
Office of Hazardous Materials Operations, MTB-22
Department of Transportation Phone (202) 426-2311
Washington, D.C. 20590

20. Observer For Conference Of Radiation Control Program Directors:

Mr. Robert E. Corcoran, Chief
Division of Radiation Control
Department of Health and Mental Hygieng
O'Conor Office Building Phone(301) 383-2744 or FTS:8(932)-2744
201 West Preston St.
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Committee Chairman:

Mr. Luis F. Garcia
Environmental Protection Agency (ANR-460)
401 M Street S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Phone - (703) 557-8224



PRELIMINARY DRAFT OCCUPATIONAL GUIDANCE

It is recommended that:

- 1. There should be no individual occuptional exposure or activity resulting in such exposure if it is not expected to result in a benefit which is judged to exceed the radiation detriment.
- 2. Positive efforts should be made to assure that the collective dose from any such justified activity is maintained at a level as low as is reasonably achievable.
- 3. The radiation dose to individuals should not exceed the Radiation Protection Guides specified below, and every effort should be made to encourage the maintenance of such doses as far below these Guides as is reasonably achievable.
- 4. The following annual Radiation Protection Guides (RPG's) and minimum radiation protection requirements for their application, together with the basic principles stated above, should be adopted as the basic system of dose limitation for occupational radiation exposures:
 - a. Radiation Protection Guides

Dose Equivalent (rem) in a year

Whole Body	5	to the second
Specific Organs(c): Gonads Lens of Eye Skin Other Organs(i)	5 15 30 15(25)	- int amply with the CHECK CHIT

Doses to more than any single organ should be subject to the following additional.limitations:

(NOTE: For the purpose of this draft, two alternatives are presented below.)

Alternative "A"

 $(1/3)H_1 \le RPG_{wb}$, and $H_c \le RPG_c$, where H is the dose equivalent, and the subscripts wb, c, and i refer to whole-body and organ types c and i, respectively; and the summation over H_1 is limited to the three organs of type i receiving the largest doses.

ROUGH DRAFT

2

Alternative "B"

 $H_E = \sum_i (R_i/R_{wb})H_i \leq RPG_{wb}$, provided $H_{i,c} \leq RPG_{i,c}$, where H is the dose equivalent, R is the risk of (lethal) cancer induction for exposure over an occupational lifetime, and the subscripts wb, c, and i refer to whole-body and organs c and i, respectively.

b. Minimum radiation protection requirements should be established and maintained at the user level. These requirements should be classified in ranges of dose appropriate to the individual occupational situations involving radiation exposure of workers. The following ranges and requirements are recommended:

Range A (0 - 0.1 RPG):

- (1) Exposures justified and as low as in reasonably achievable.
- (2) Individual and area monitoring as required to assure doses are within the range and are as low as is reasonably achievable.
- (3) Basic knowledge of the actual and potential radiation levels and hazards specific to the job and the applicable radiation protection practices.

Range B (0.1 - 0.3 RPG):

Requirements (1) - (3), plus:

- (4) Professional radiation protection supervision as required to assure individual and collective exposures are as low as is reasonably achievable.
- (5) Mandatory individual monitoring and annual recordkeeping.
- (6) Informed consent of women of reproductive capacity.

Range C (0.3 - 1.0 RPG):

Requirements (1) - (6), plus:

(7) Prior justification of need and provision of professional radiation protection supervision on a task basis to assure individual and collective exposures are as low as is reasonably achievable.

The Proper ...

would have to a server at less thank and a server a server and a server a server and a server a server and a server a server and a server a server and a server and a server and a server and a server a

ROUGH DRAFT

3

(8) Supplementary individual monitoring on a task basis, and maintenance of a record of accumulated doses from occupational exposures since age 18.

hant to obtain

- (9) Formal consideration of individual's age and accumulated lifetime dose. Although no specific lifetime (age 18 to 65) accumulated dose limit is recommended, every effort should be made to maintain accumulated lifetime doses as low as is reasonably achievable, with the objective of maintaining them below 100 rems.
- 5. The term "Radioactivity Intake Factor" (RIF) should be adopted for Federal use in providing radiation protection for exposure due to intake of radionuclides. This quantity is defined as the intake of any specific radionuclide for which the committed dose intake of any specific radionuclide for which the committed dose equivalent satisfies the Guides and limitations specified in recommendation 4(a). Its use should be subject to the operational recommendation requirements specified in recommendation 4(b) for the Radiation Protection Guides.
- 6. In keeping with past practice, occupational exposure of minors should be limited to Range A.
- 7. Women of reproductive capacity should be encouraged to maintain their exposures within Range A, and exposures in Range B or C should be permitted only under the condition of informed consent. Receptance regarding increased risks to the fetus.
- 8. The Federal agencies should apply these Radiation Protection Guides with judgment and discretion, in order that reasonable assurance is provided in the attainment of the desired goal of protecting workers from the deleterious effects of radiation. The Guides may be exceeded only after the Federal agency having jurisdiction over the matter has carefully considered the specific reasons for doing so in light of these recommendations.

Preside

The following notes provide additional clarification of the above recommendations:

(1) The term "occupational radiation exposure" refers to exposure of an individual as a consequence of gainful employment, as either an employee or self-employed person, to ionizing radiation from sources other than (a) normal natural background and (b) purposeful exposure as a patient of practitioners of the healing arts. These recommendations apply to all occupational exposures during normal peacetime activities, with one exception: occupational exposure of individuals to radon daughter products in mining operations continue to be subject to separate Federal radiation protection guidance (36 F.R. 12921).

ADUSII DRAFT

- (2) The term "Radiation Protection Guide" is defined as the upper limit of the range of limiting occupational doses for epocific exposure situations, starting from zare, which may be received by an individual, or committed by uptake of radionuclides by an individual, in any year.
- (3) Planned emergency exposures are outside the scope of this guidance; for such situations agencies should continue their present practices.
- (4) Overexposures are not addressed by this guidance. The equitable handling of such cases is the responsibility of users and the Federal agencies operating within the general radiation protection principles provided above.
- (5) Limits for periods less or greater than one year are not provided by these recommendations. Such limits may, however, be derived for operational use by the Federal agencies when necessary and appropriate. Such limits should be designed to preserve the flexibility for maintaining as low as is reasonably achievable exposure provided by these recommendations.
- (6) In cases where both external and internal doses are involved, the requirements of recommendations 4 and 5 may be satisfied by the assumption that the RIF is equivalent to the whole body RPG and a requirement that the sum of external and internal exposures satisfy the whole body RPG.

www. white

planned person res

Shirald be Shiralarkis Shirala