Arkansas Power and Light Company Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2 Dockets: 50-313/81-18 50-368/81-16

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

How BCS Processing Quiginal document motentitled & NHC. Certific Ply: J. Carson

Based on the results of an NRC inspection conducted during the period of May 22 - June 21, 1981, and in accordance with the Interim Enforcement Policy 45 FR 66754 (October 7, 1980), the following violations were identified:

 Unit 1 Technical Specification 4.4.1.2.2 requires that local leakage rate tests (LLRT's) be performed at a pressure of 59 psig (calculated peak containment internal pressure related to design base accident, P<sub>a</sub>).

Unit 2 Technical Specification 4.6.1.2.d requires that Type 8 and C tests be performed at the calculated peak containment internal pressure related to design base accident, P. Section III.C.2 of this appendix requires that Type C tests be performed at a pressure of  $P_a$  (54 psig).

Contrary to the above, during 1980 and 1981, the licensee performed LLRT's of containment building penetrations at less than 59 psig (Unit 1) and at less than 54 psig (Unit 2) under Job Orders 2384, 6786, 6547 and 3060.

This constitutes a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I.D.) (313/8118-01; 368/8116-01).

 Unit 2 Technical Specification Table 3.3-6 requires that the alarm/trip setpoint for the control room ventilation intake duct monitor be set at less than or equal to two times background.

Contrary to the above, the alarm/trip setpoint for this monitor (2 RITS-8750-1) was set at 5000 counts per minute from January 1981 through June 11, 1981, during which period of time the control rocm ventilation intake duct area background averaged less than 1000 counts per minute.

This constitutes a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I.D.) (368/8116-02).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Arkansas Power and Light Company is hereby required to submit to this office within 30 days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (3), the date when full compliance will be achieved. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

The responses directed by this Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

1/2/81 Date

Madsen, Chief

Reactor Projects Branch