



SMUD

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT ☐ 6201 S Street, Box 15830, Sacramento, California 95813; (916) 452-3211

July 17, 1981

DIRECTOR OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
ATTENTION DARRELL G EISENHUT DIRECTOR
DIVISION OF LICENSING
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D C 20555



DOCKET 50-312
RANCHO SECO NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION
UNIT NO 1
AUXILIARY FEEDWATER SYSTEM SEISMIC QUALIFICATION

Please refer to our response dated July 13, 1981, to your February 10, 1981 Generic Letter No. 81-14. Our July 13, 1981 response was not notarized. This letter repeats our earlier response and is properly notarized in accordance with the requirements of the Generic Letter.

The Sacramento Municipal Utility District has reviewed your letter of February 10, 1981 (Generic Letter No. 81-14), requesting information on the seismic qualification of the Rancho Seco Unit 1 auxiliary feedwater system.

The entire Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System at Rancho Seco was designed and constructed to Seismic Category 1 requirements. The analytical techniques, testing, evaluation methods and acceptance criteria used in the design of the AFW System are consistent with the methods used for other safety-grade systems at Rancho Seco Unit 1. The AFW System has been included within the scope of seismic related Bulletins 79-02, 79-04, 79-14, 80-11, and IE Information Notice 80-21.

The analysis methodology for Seismic Class 1 systems such as the AFW System uses the "response spectrum" approach. The response spectrum approach uses the natural period, mode, shape and dampening factors that are characteristic of the system and equipment, to determine the dynamic loads that would result from a seismic event. General primary stresses, including those resulting from gravity loads, operating loads

A048
2
1/0

8107210207 810717
PDR ADOCK 05000312
P PDR

and operating temperatures, are combined with the seismic stresses resulting from the amplified earthquake response spectrum. The combination of stress is required to be below allowable stress set forth in the appropriate codes and standards, such as ASME Section III. A more detailed description of the methodology is given in Appendix 5B of the FSAR.

John J. Mattimoe

John J. Mattimoe
Assistant General Manager
and Chief Engineer

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 17th day of July, 1981.

Patricia J. Crooks

