DISTRIBUTION:

NRC PDR

L PDR ORB#3 Rdg

T. Colburn R.A. Clark P.M. Kreutzer

S. Miner

T. Novak

NSIC TERA JUL 07 1981

50- 266



MEMORANDUM FOR:

E.L. Jordan, Deputy Director, Division of Resident and

Regional Reactor Inspection, IE

FROM:

Thomas M. Novak, Assistant Director for

Operating Reactors, DL

SUBJECT:

POINT BEACH 1 AND 2 - ESF RESET CONTROL - I&E BULLETIN

80-06

Task Interface agreement ROI-80-15 assigned the responsibility to the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation for reviewing the licensee's responses to Items 1 and 3 of I&E Bulletin 80-06. Our review of Wisconsin Electric Power Company responses to the bulletin for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2 is complete. The Technical Evaluation Report (TER) prepared by our contractor E&&G and our Safety Evaluation Report (SER) are attached.

Please note that except for two valves which control the addition of additive to the Containment Spray System (CSS) and a single control room ventilation selenoid valve we found that for all systems and components serving Safety related functions that the associated Safety related equipment remained in the emergency mode upon ESFAS reset.

The licensee committed by letter dated June 6, 1980 and supplemented by letter dated March 10, 1981, to implement corrective modifications to the single solenoid valve control, in each unit, found to change position upon ESFAS reset. The licensee has also performed verification tests on all associated equipment during recent refueling outages of each unit.

The licensee offered justification for not modifying the two containment spray system (CSS) additive valves on each unit found to change position upon reset of the CSS. Our evaluation of the licensee's justification is contained in the attached SER.

As part of our effort, the containment purge technical evaluation report was also reviewed and no conflicts with the enclosure were found. Based on our review, we find the licensee's response to items 1 and 3 of I&E Bælletin 80-06 acceptable.

## REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

Original signed by:
Thomas M. Novak, Assistant Director for Operating Reactors

Division of Licensing

OFFICE Enclosures:

1. SER

2. TED

8107160364 810707

PDR ADOCK 05000266

PDR

ORB#3:DL ORB#3:DL ORB#1:DL
T.G.Colburn/ep S.Miner

iner RACTark

ORB#3: DL

7/7/81

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

# POINT BEACH NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 LICENSEE RESPONSE TO 1&E BULLETIN 80-06, ENGINEERED SAFETY-FEATURES (ESF) RESET CONTROLS

#### INTRODUCTION

Instances have been reported at operating nuclear power plants where it had been found that following the reset of an ESF actuated signal, certain equipment (e.g., ventilation dampers, motors, and valves) would return to its normal mode which could compromise the protective actions of the affected systems.

As a result, on March 13, 1980 the NRC issued I&E Bulletin 80-06 requesting certain actions to be taken by licensees for all PWR and BWR facilities with operating licenses.

### EVALUATION

The enclosed report (EGG 1183-4209) was prepared for us by E G & G, Inc., San Ramon, California as part of our technical assistance contractor program. It provides their technical evaluation of the licensee's response to I&E Bulletin 80-06 in accordance with NRC-provided guidance.

For all safety systems, E G & G concluded that safety-related equipment remains in its emergency mode upon reset of the ESF actuated signals, except for two valves which control the addition of additive to the Containment Spray System (CSS) and a single control room ventilation selenoid valve found to change position in each unit upon ESFAS reset. The licensee committed to modify and provided a detailed modification scheme for the selenoid valve control which E G & G concluded will assure that 100 percent recirculation of control room air will continue after reset of containment isolation. The licensee offered justification in lieu of modifying the two CSS additive valves, which E G & G concluded contained sufficient information for us to decide the issue. E G & G did not evaluate this item. Further, the licensee has performed verification

tests on all associated equioment during recent refueling outages of  $\epsilon$  unit to demonstrate compliance. Therefore, E G & G found the plant to satisfy the requirements of I&E Bulletin 80-06, with the exception of the CSS additive valves.

We have reviewed the licensee's justification for not modifying the two CSS additive valves in each unit and find it acceptable based on the premise that containment spray would only be reset if the operator intended to stop the spray system and also because the operator can always manually control the position of the spray additive valves.

#### CONCLUSION

Based on the information and documents provided by the licensee, and on our review of the contractor's report, we conclude that the licensee has satisfied the concerns of I&E Bulletin 80-06, subject to verification by I&E of successful test completion. Therefore, we find the ESF reset controls for the Point Beach Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, in compliance with NRC criteria.