Point Coupee	SERVING: Capital-Area Groundwater Conservation Commission
	Baton Rouge Baton Rouge July 7, 1984 1001100
	RECEIVED UL 1 3 1981 P F9 RECEIVED UL 1 3 1981 P F9 JUL 1 5 1981 B 4 580. JUL 1 5 1981 B 4 580. JUL 1 5 1981 B 4 580. Mashington, D.C. 20555
	ATTENTION: Docketing and Service Board Ref: Draft Regulatory Guide and Value/impact Statement Task GS027-4 Section 5 HYDROGEOLOGY

Dear Sir:

My comments are limited to the HYDROGEOLOGY Section and where appropriate I may refer to the Section on GEOLOGY.

In general the text adequately addresses the Hydrogeologic needs; however, in order to assure across the board consistency, I suggest that the ground-water definitions in U.S. G. S. Water Supply Paper 1988 be presented.

The Section deals solely with ground water and requires the reader to Section 7. Would it be possible, because of the interaction of ground water and surface in the same section, under separate subheadings?

In regards to Hydrogeology stress should be placed on "in situ" measurements and thus requiring pumping tests and other field observations.

The most obvious weakness in this document is the lack of requirements for confining beds especially clays or shales. The most complex issue that may control the rate and path of movement of water and radionuclides is "clay hydrology."

Finally the document should stress the need for modeling, such as three dimensional, two dimensional, solute transport, etc. Modeling should be used not only as a predictive tool but to determine data needs -type, where, etc.

H002 10

8107160184 810707 PDR REGOD 04. XXX C PDR

Acknowledged by card . 7. 1.3 81. md.v.

Secretary of the Commission July 7, 1981 Page 2

1.

1

. .

These comments are offered in recognition of the fact that it is most difficult to design a nationwide guide that will satisfy all.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

Very truly yours,

Answean A. N. Turcan, Jr.

A. N. lurcan, Jr. Director

ANT/ebo cc: Dr. L. Hall Bohlinger