UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAY 29

198

NOLEAR REGULATORS

Docketed USNRC

Office of the Sec. Docket me & Sec

1-4-1, Pl. 2

1 198

JOCKET NUMBER DE PROPOSED RULE PR-2 (46 FR 17216)

The Honorable Bill Bradley-United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Bradley:

Thank you for your letter dated May 20, 1981 enclosing correspondence from your constituent, Carol Hoehe, who is concerned about proposed NRC regulations designed to reduce delays in the licensing process for nuclear power plants.

The diversion of staff resources and rethinking of safety requirements following the accident at Three Mile Island adversely affected licensing activity at the Commission. As a result, we estimate that in 1981 and 1982 approximately a dozen nuclear plants will be completed from 1 to 12 months before a full power license decision can be made. The Commission is concerned about this situation and is proposing a number of actions to correct it. Your constituent mentions several of these proposed changes.

Amendments to the Commission's Rules of Practice, 10 CFR Part 2, were published in the Federal Register for comment on March 18, 1981. These amendments were intended to permit more timely conduct of NRC hearings by tightening the time allowed for each part of the process and by providing firmer time management. The Commission received a large number of comments on this issue and is now considering alternatives to the proposed amendments. It is expected that the Commission will take further action with respect to the proposed amendments in the near future.

Sincerely,

Office of Congressional Affairs

8107150025 810529 PDR PR 2 46FR17216 PDR

Alnited States Senate

WASHINGTON. D.C. 20510

Date May 20, 1981

Dreiling

TO: Congressional Liaison Nuclear Regulatory Commission

ENCLOSUR_ FROM: Carol Hoehe 324 Bloomfield Avenue Montclair, New Jersey, 07042

RE: the implications of the NRC 10 CFR Part 2 Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings-Expediting the NRC hearing process

I forward the attached for your consideration and would appreciate receiving information in regard to this inquiry as soon as possible. Please return with the original correspondence.

Thank you very much for your time and assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bie Siac

Bill Bradley United States Senator

Please direct reply to:

Senator Bill Bradley 4104 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Attention: Mary Hutchison

5/22... To OCA for Direct Reply.. Suspense: June 15.. Docket... 81-0718

dupe 8107070202

SE AFR 27 Safe Energy Alternatives 324 Blbomfield Avenue, Montclair, N.J. 07042 (201) ield Avenue, Montelair, N.J. 07042 (201) 744-3358 Alland April 21, 198 Dear Mr. Bradley, I am writing as a representative of our organized to inform you about the implications of the NRC 10 CFR Part 2 Rules of Practice to atomestic Licensing Proceedings - Expeditions the NRC hearing Process. after reading a thorough evaluation of The proposed changes on the NRC rules gonerning thearing processin licensing proceedings, writter lug Richard J. Wharton the attorney for interner we believe it is a very dangerous proposal the will :) Climinate the discoveries from the NRC staff which therefore will increase the burden on the internenors in identifying and clarifying significant safety issues I Lessen the role which interners have to raising opposing issues which the applicant and the VRC staff are willing to overlook or 3) Contradict the evidence and recommend. ations of the two extensine innestigations of the NRC which were encouraged by the TMI-2 accident.

PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS Critizens for Responsible Power Policies (Princeton)

Citizens United for Action (Salem County)
Community Alternatives Group
(Princeton)

Coalition for Nuclear Power Postponement (Delaware)

Ethical Culture Society (Essex County)

Movement for a New Society (Philadelphia)
National Lawyers Guide
(Rutgers U)
NJ Peoples Alliance
NJ SANE

Paramus Environmental Council

Peoples Information

Control

Control