40 CFR 190 COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT

•--;

.

8104210671

FOR

NRC LICENSED URANIUM RECOVERY FACILITIES

AS OF

DECEMBER 1, 1980

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Division of Waste Management Uranium Recovery Licensing Branch

February 1981

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.5

.

٠.

			Page
1.	INTROD	JCTION	1
2.	40 CFR	190 IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM	2
3.	DESCRI	PTION OF ORDERS	3
4.	APPROA	CH TAKEN IN INITIAL ASSESSMENTS	4
	4.2 R 4.3 S 4.4 E	eneral. adionuclides Considered. Dution Recovery Facilities. MP Data Assessment. redictive Assessment.	4 5 5 6 6
5.	RESULT	S OF INITIAL ASSESSMENTS	7
	5.2 Pi 5.3 Pi 5.4 At 5.5 R 5.6 Pi 5.7 E	ederal-American Partners (FAP). Athfinder Mines Corporation, Gas Hills. Athfinder Mines Corporation, Shirley Basin. Llas Minerals. Io Algom Humeca. Atrotomics. Exon Minerals Highland. Junulative Impacts from Multiple Uranium Milling Facilities	13 16 19 20 23 25 26 28
	5. 5.	8.1 Gas Hills, Wyoming 8.2 Shirley Basin, Wyoming	28 28
6.	SUMMARY		29
		Appendices	
Apper	ndix 1	MILDOS Computer Code Analysis	A1-1
Apper	ndix 2	Federal-American Partners	A2-1
Apper	ndix 3	Pathfinder Mines, Gas Hills	A3-1
Apper	ndix 4	Pathfinder Mines, Shirley Basin	A4-1
Apper	ndix 5	Petrotomics	A5-1
Apper	ndix 6	Rio Algom Humeca	A6-1
Apper	ndix 7	Exxon Minerals Highland	A7-1

40 CFR 190 COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT FOR NRC LICENSED URANIUM RECOVERY FACILITIES AS OF DECEMBER 1, 1980

1. INTRODUCTION

Under Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 190, Subchapter F, Radiation Protocion Programs, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated "Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Nuclear Power Operations" which provides limits for the radiation doses received by members of the public in the general environment as the result of operations which are part of the nuclear fuel cycle. Effective December 1, 1980, each uranium milling facility* shall conduct its operations in such a manner to assure that the annual radiation dose equivalent of 25 millirems to the whole body, 75 mill'rems to the thyroid, and 25 millirems to any other organ of any member of the public is not exceeded. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is responsible for implementing and enforcing this standard at its licensed facilities.

This report is issued in conjunction with orders amending the NRC licenses of uranium mill operators to establish programs of 40 CFR 190 compliance. It describes the NRC's Division of Waste Management-Uranium Recovery Licensing Branch (WMUR) evaluation of the best available information about the existing situation at each NRC licensed facility with regard to meeting the standard. It describes the specific licensing actions which are needed to meet the 40 CFR 190 standard.

The general conclusion of this evaluation is that it is likely each NRC facility is operating in such a fashion that the standard is being met. Over the past several years, mill operators have committed to tailings management programs which include controlling the blowing of tailings which is the greatest source of radiological releases from a milling facility. These controls are in addition to control of other mill emissions required to meet existing environmental protection regulations for the public health and safety (for example, 10 CFR 20 "as low as reasonably achievable" (ALARA) control requirements).**

- * All uranium extraction facilities, including mills, in situ operations and heap leach facilities. The Edgemont mill site and the other sites selected for remedial actions (i.e., at inactive mill sites designated by P.L. 95-604 or offsite areas where tailings have been used) have been excluded from 40 CFR 190 compliance during the remedial action work phase.
- **NRC staff "Uranium Mill Tailings Management Performance Objectives," May 1977, required controlling the blowing of tailings. Final NRC milling regulations (Appendix A to 10 CFR 40, Criterion 8) which were effective on November 17, 1980, require that dusting from diffuse sources, such as tailings and ore pads, be controlled according to written operating procedures developed by operators. To supplement these requirements, the staff has been requiring that weekly inspections be performed by operators to determine that procedures are being followed and to evaluate the effectiveness of dust control measures.

On the basis of the analysis described in this report, the staff has identified no specific additional operational control measures required of licensees just to meet 40 CFR 190. However, because of the complex nature of the problem of firmly distinguishing radiological doses from the milling operation from those caused by sources not covered by the standard, full implementation will be accomplished by the phased program discussed below.

40 CFR 190 IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM

The NRC's program for implementing 40 CFR 190 is fully described in WMUR technical position paper "Compliance Determination Procedures for Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Uranium Recovery Facilities 40 CFR 190, December 1980," hereafter referred to simply as CDP and attached as Enclosure 1. Briefly, compliance will be determined prinarily through an environmental monitoring program (EMP) at each facility which provides data on actual radioactivity concentrations to which individuals near mills may be exposed. Because such individuals will be exposed to radioactivity from sources other than the mill which are not covered by 40 CFR 190,* the environmental monitoring programs also measure concentrations at background locations. These background measurements will then be used to determine the impacts which are occurring as a result of the mill operations alone. Predictive model estimates of offsite radioactivity concentrations involve making numerous assumptions and simplifications about important, but frequently uncertain, factors such as mill releases and atmospheric transport. However, environmental monitoring data should indicate directly what such actual concentrations are.** The primary burden of assessing monitoring data and determining compliance with standards lies with licensees. These assessments will be done periodically and reported to NRC for review.

It may realistically require as much as a year's worth of effluent and environmental monitoring to firmly establish whether compliance exists at mills particularly where they are close to the limit or where there are significant nearby sources of radioactive emissions such as uranium mines which are not covered by the Standard. (This period is termed Phase I of the several phase

- *Releases not covered by 40 CFR 190 include: radon and radon daughters; natural background; mining operations and associated activities; transportation of ores; mill decommissioning and decontamination; accidental mill releases; and releases from the mill prior to December 1, 1980 and associated ground contamination.
- **Predictive modeling is conducted by the staff in evaluating the effects of prospective licensing activities to identify potential problem areas and to help determine what mitigating and monitoring measures are needed. The predictive models may also be useful in interpreting monitoring data. For example, they can be used to model the impacts of mill releases alone. These models can, therefore, help in distinguishing the contributions to measured concentrations made by such releases from those made by operations not covered by 40 CFR 190.

40 CFR 190 implementation program described in the CDP.) At some mills, much of this time will have to be spent on the fine tuning of the monitoring and analysis program that is normally required in setting up such programs to assure they are operating properly and producing reliable data. Some time will also be required to sort out the contributions being made by other sources. In addition, some short-term, special environmental measurements and special studies of the effectiveness of selected emissir control measures may also be required.

within a year, it is anticipated that airborne concentration and/or dose action levels (which may be higher than 25 millirems accounting for contributions from other sources), in combination with specific operational control measures and levels, will be established as the threshold for determining compliance with the Standard. (This is Phase II of the implementation program described in the CDP.) The attainment of such dose action levels and simplified compliance determination procedures will reduce costs of implementation, eliminate uncertainty on the part of the licensee, regulatory agency and the public (particularly in cases where there are significant extraneous sources) and assure that the need for remedial action, if it exists, is identified most expeditiously.

DESCRIPTION OF ORDERS

In connection with its actions to upgrade uranium milling operations over the past several years and to meet broad requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the staff established requirements for comprehensive effluent and environmental monitoring programs at mills.* The operational status of such programs varies between mills depending largely upon when licensing environmental reviews were performed (that is, reviews in connection with license issuance, renewal or major amendment). The orders being issued in connection with this report are generally intended only to supplement 'e EMPs already required and to assure that adequate, comprehensive progra 3 are in place and operating as soon as is practicable at all mills, and that operators are analyzing data from such programs to determine whether or not they are complying with the Standard. Specifically, the orders do the following:

- establish detailed site-specific environmental monitoring programs where they have not already been established, or make needed minor modifications to existing programs.
- establish schedules for installation of, or modification to, monitoring programs where needed.

^{*}Staff tecnnical positions on such monitoring programs were developed in 1978 and incorporated into formal NRC regulatory guidance (Regulatory Guide 4.14, "Radiological Effluent and Environmental Monitoring at Uranium Mills") in April of 1980.

- invoke quality assurance requirements for monitoring programs where they do not now exist. Related to this, where the lower limits of detection (LLD) of existing monitoring programs are not adequate to determine compliance with the Standard, the required LLDs are specified.
- require assessment by operators of doses at the nearest residence using monitoring program data to determine whether mill operations are in compliance.
- o require periodic reporting of monitoring data and dose assessments to NRC for review. During the initial phase (Phase I of CDP, Figure 1) of implementing the Standard, particularly close NRC followup will be required. Therefore, the reporting of operator assessments is being required as monitoring data is gathered (on a quarterly basis). (After the compliance status at each mill is finally determined [Phase II of CDP, Figure 1], less frequent reporting will be required). During the initial phase of 40 CFR 190 implementation, the requirement to provide notification of noncompliance (e.g., 10 CFR 20.405(c), when effective) is suspended.
- require the identification and characterization of all significant nearby sources of radioactivity not covered by the Standard. In several cases, this may involve conducting short-term monitoring programs to establish the precise contributions of such extraneous sources.
- APPROACH TAKEN IN INITIAL ASSESSMENTS

4.1 General

In connection with the orders being issued and as the first step in implementing 40 CFR 190, the staff has assessed the situation at each mill with regard to meeting the Standard. While, as stated above, lack of sufficient environmental monitoring data has prevented making final and firm determinations of compliance, these assessments provide a solid base of information upon which the later assessments by mill operators and NRC staff (Phase I assessments) can be conducted. For example, they identify or emphasize the specific areas where environmental monitoring and related information gathering efforts should be directed. It was only by performing these assessments that the staff was able to determine what would be a reasonable way of implementing the Standard (that is, develop the program described in the CDP) and, more specifically, to develop the orders which are being issued. Furthermore, these assessments are intended to assist the public and other government agencies in understanding the status of efforts to comply with 40 CFR 190.

The assessments performed by the staff have considered all relevant information that was available at each facility. In a few cases, this has included some environmental monitoring data (e.g., airborne radioactivity concentrations at the nearest receptor and other locations near the mill). In all cases, some information about mill operations, about site features such as topography and meteorology, and about local land use has been available to permit initial interpretation of existing environmental monitoring data. This information has also permitted estimating offsite radioactivity concentrations by use of predictive models.

The staff began the process of assessing mills in terms of 40 CFR 190 several years ago during preparations of environmental impact statements and assessments for major licensing actions. These assessments were completed using predictive models since virtually no environmental data was available at that time. Eight mills were evaluated in this manner, and the results of these assessments have been incorporated directly into this report. Over the past six months, the staff has performed predictive model assessments for the six cases where licensing actions have not led to such previously documented assessments.

4.2 Radionuclides Considered

40 CFR 190 dose limits exclude contributions from radon and its daughters. It appears from the documents (e.g., "40 CFR 190 Environmental Radiation Protection Requirements for Normal Operations of Activities in the Uranium Fuel Cycle-Final Environmental Statement"; EPA 520/4-76-016, 1976) prepared by the EPA in promulgating the Standard, that this exclusion was intended to apply strictly to radon, its short-lived daughters, and its long-lived daughters (lead and polonium) which grow in after radon is released. The latter case is distinguished from the release of lead and polonium directly from ore in stockpiles, ore being processed, or from the tailings disposal areas. The exclusion was made in recognition of the fact that there is no practicable way to capture radon in an operational situation since it is an inert gas.

The staff has excluded radon and <u>all</u> of its daughters from its assessments for two reasons: (1) by the plain reading of the Standard they are excluded, and the EPA documentation supporting it does not explicitly contradict this reading; and (2) in the real environment there is no way to distinguish between the radon daughters which grow in before or after release from the mill facility. While the distinction between daughters growing in before and after release could be made through use of predictive models, such models cannot and will not be the basis for determining compliance. Therefore, the same approach was taken in predictive assessments as was done with environmental monitoring lata assessments--all radon daughters have been excluded.

4.3 Solution Recovery Facilities

In situ leaching and byproduct recovery facilities are uranium milling facilities covered by the 40 CFR 190 standard; however, no particulates are produced by the nature of the process where there is no yellowcake dryer. In these cases, as well as R&D facilities, compliance questions were resolved by virtue of the small scale of operation or the lack of applicable emissions. Because of the radically different nature of such facilities from conventional mills, they will not be required to explicitly follow the CDP to show compliance with the Standard. If yellowcake dryers are installed in such facilities, this position will have to be reconsidered.

4.4 EMP Data Assessment

Available monitoring data was examined for all facilities. Airborne radioactivity concentrations for offsite locations were summarized, and dose conversion factors (as detailed in Attachment A of the CDP) for the inhalation pathway were used to determine potential dose commitments to the nearest receptor. While data on radon daughters exists in some cases, these were not considered in this assessment.

4.5 Predictive Assessment

The assumptions, equations, and methods used by the staff in its predictive radiological assessments are presented in the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) for Uranium Milling" (NUREG-0706) and in U.S. NRC Draft Regulatory Guide RH 802-4, "Calculational Models for Estimating Radiation Doses to Man from Airborne Radioactive Materials Resulting from Uranium Milling Operation," May 1979. MILDOS is a computer code developed by the staff to execute its radiological assessment methodology. It is described in the document "MILDOS Computer Code User's Manual" by G. N. Gnugnoli and D. E. Martin (May 1980). The basic assumptions, input and information needed to use MILDOS are summarized in Appendix 1.

One of the most significant assumptions in predictive modeling assessments is the estimation of releases of radioactivity from a facility. There is some uncertainty regarding such releases, particularly from the tailings impoundments and other diffuse dust-producing operations such as ore storage and handling. Unlike point source emissions which can be monitored with a relatively simple stack device, these emissions are not readily measured. Moreover, the effectiveness of stack emission control devices can be estimated (where no emiss on measurements are available) with reasonable accuracy based on a few ea, ily obtained facts about mill operations and equipment design. Diffuse sources are also not easily determined because they are not steady emissions. Windblown surface emissions are episodic in nature; dusting occurs primarily during periods of high wind. Furthermore, the staff has limited information about the actual effectiveness of dust control measures that are being used. To deal with this uncertainty and to assure consistency in the predictive model assessments, the staff has adopted certain standard assumptions about control of emissions. For example, all facilities were credited with 80 percent control of areas susceptible to dusting. Available information concerning this matter is highlighted in the assessments which follow. In general, this is an area where close attention must be paid to 40 CFR 190 implementation at each mill.

In many cases, the most significant potential pathway of exposure is the ingestion pathway. In its assessments, the staff initially adopted the standard, conservative meat and vegetable consumption factors delineated in draft NRC Reg. Guide RH 802-4. One-hundred percent of an individual's meat and vegetable consumption is assumed to be produced near the mill and, therefore, subject to contamination from mill effluents. Grazing locations were first assumed to be in the near vicinity of (about 0.5 km from) the mill restricted area boundaries and not necessarily near the nearest receptor location. These conservative

food production and consumption assumptions led in some cases to doses far in excess of 40 CFR 190 limits. Where this occurred and local conditions are known to be such that the assumed food consumption factors are likely to be unrealistic, these factors were adjusted. For example, it is not likely that cattle will graze very near the mill at all times, particularly where the controlling ranch itself is far from the mill. It is important that uncertainties which exist concerning the ingestion pathway (production and consumption patterns) be resolved in the initial 40 CFR 190 implementation efforts.

Airborne emissions only are considered by the staff in its assessments. There are no discharges of tailings solutions to surface streams from uranium mill facilities. Some seepage occurs from tailings impoundments and associated evaporation ponds, but in no case is there known consumption of contaminated groundwater. The environmental monitoring programs which have been established include monitoring of groundwater. Information on land use being required in connection with this and other recent licensing actions will provide needed information about use of wells near mills. These efforts will identify any problems, if they exist.

5. RESULTS OF INITIAL ASSESSMENTS

Table 1 provides the names and locations of the 15 NRC licensed uranium milling facilities of concern in this report.

The summary results of the predictive modeling assessments are presented in Table 2 and Table 3 provides the specific exposure pathway dose commitment evaluations. A complete discussion of the predictive modeling assessments for six facilities, which were not previously documented in a recent final environmental statement (FCS), is provided in appendices, as follows:

Appendix 2:	Federal-American Partners, (FAP), Gas Hills, WY	Docket No. 40-4492
Appendix 3:	Pathfinder Mines, Gas Hills, WY	Docket No. 40-2259
Appendix 4:	Pathfinder Mines, Shirley Basin, WY	Docket No. 40-6622
Appendix 5:	Petrotomics, Shirley Basin, WY	Docket No. 40-6659
Appendix 5:	Rio Algom Humeca, Lisbon Valley, UT	Docket No. 40-8084
Appendix 7:	Exxon Minerals Highland, Converse Co., WY	Docket No. 40-8102

The general conclusion of the staff is that it is likely each of the NRC licensed facilities is meeting the Standard. In many cases, predictive modeling assessments using generally conservative assumptions and input parameters

Table 1 NRC licensed u	uranium	recovery	facilities
------------------------	---------	----------	------------

Name	e	Location	Docket Number	License Number	Expiration Date
1.	Atlas Minerals	Moab, UT	40-3453	SUA-917	04-30-84
2.	Bear Creek Uranium Co. (Rocky Mt. Energy Co.)	Converse Co., WY	40-8452	SUA-1310	07-31-82
	Exxon Minerals Highland Mill	Converse Co., WY	40-8102	SUA-1139	07-31-78*
4.	Federal-American Partners	Gas Hills, Wy	40-4492	SU: -667	01-31-76*
5.	Energy Fuels Nuclear White Mesa Mill & OBS**	Blanding, UT	40-8681	SUA-1358	08-31-84
6	Minerals Exploration Co. Sweetwater Mill	Sweetwater Co., WY	40-8584	SUA-1350	02-28-84
7.	Pathfinder Mines	Gas Hills, WY	40-2259	SUA-672	01-31-83
8.	Pathfinder Mines	Shirley Basin, WY	40-6622	SUA-442	09-30-82
9.	Petrotomics Company	Shirley Basin, WY	40~6659	SUA-551	04-30-81
10.	Plateau Resources	Shootering Canyon, UT	40-8698	SUA-1371	09-30-84
11.	Rio Algom Humeca Mill	La Sal, UT	40-8084	SUA-1119	09-30-82
12.	TVA***	Edgemont, SD	40-1341	SUA-816	09-29-76
13.	Union Carbide Corp.	Gas Hills, WY	40-299	SUA-648	01-31-86
14.	United Nuclear Corp. Morton Ranch	Converse Co., WY	40-8602	SUA-1356	05-31-84
15.	Western Nuclear, Inc. Split Rock Mill	Jeffrey City, WY	40-1162	SUA-56	12-31-85

*Timely Renewal

**OBS denotes ore buying station.

***Not considered to be subject to 40 CFR 190 compliance since this facility has been inoperative, and the plans for site decommissioning and decontamination are being finalized and will be reported in an EIS.

Facility Name Oper-		Openating Company	Composite Dose Commitments,* mrem			Date and	
	Location	Operating Company And Docket Number	Whole Body	Bone	Lung	Method of Dose Prediction	Reference
1.	Atlas Minerals Moab, Utah	Atlas Minerals Corp. 40-3453	2.4	34.6	74.8	January 1979, UDAD and HERMES Codes	FES NUREG-0453 Table 4.4
2.	Bear Creek Converse Co., WY	Rocky Mt. Energy Co. 40-8452	0.486	6.14	0.782	July 1979, MILDOS Code	NRC Environ- mental Impact Appraisal for Amendment to License SUA-1310 July 31, 1980
	Exxon Minerals Highland, Converse Co., WY	Exxon Minerals 40-8102	0.847	12.2	13.9	January 1981, MILDOS Code	Appendix 7
4.	FAP, Gas Hills, WY	Federal-American Partners 40-4492	0.649	17.4	35.9	January 1981, MILDOS Code	Appendix 2
5.	Energy Fuels Nuclear, White Mesa, Blanding, UT	Energy Fuels Nuclear 40-8681	1.40	15.0	2.24	May 1979, UDAD Code	FES NUREG-0556 Table 4.8
6.	Minerals Explora- tion Sweetwater, Sweetwater Co., WY	Minerals Exploration Co. 40-8584	0.0081	0.0831	0.038	December 1978, UDAD Code	FES NUREG-0505 Table 4.3

9

Table 2 Composite 50-year dose commitments to the individual receiving maximum exposure, for one year, for each milling facility

^{*}Composite dose commitments are the sum of direct exposure and the ingestion pathway exposure, as displayed in Table 3.

Table 2 (continued)

Facility Name Operating Company			site Dos iments,*		Date and . Method of		
	Location	And Docket Number	Body	Bone	Bone Lung	Dase Prediction	Reference
7.	Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills, WY	Pathfinder Mines Corp. 40-2259	0.599	11.4	15.7	January 1981, MILDOS Code	Appendix 3
8.	Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin, WY	Pathfinder Mines Corp. 40-6622	1.61	18.0	6.56	January 1981, MILDOS Code	Appendix 4
9.	Petrotomics Shirley Basin, WY	Petrotomics Company 40-6659	0.696	9.75	9.58	January 1981, MILDOS Code	Appendix 5
10.	Plateau Resources Shootering Canyon Garfield Co., UT	Plateau Resources Ltd. 40-8698	0.135	3.60	6.63	July 579, UDAD Code	FES NUREG-0583, Table 4.7
11.	Rio Algom Humeca, Lisbon Valley, UT	Rio Algom 40-8084	0.528	11.0	23.5	January 1981, MILDOS Code	Appendix 6
12.	Union Carbide Gas Hills, WY	Union Carbide Corp. 40-299	0.97	12.4	1.81	July 1980, MILDOS Code	FES NUREG-0702 Table 4.6
13.	United Nuclear Morton Ranch Converse Co., WY	United Nuclear Corp. 40-8602	0.08	0.34	0.28	February 1979, UDAD and HERMES Codes	FES NUREG-0532 Table 4.2
14.	Western Nuclear Split Rock Jeffrey City, WY	Western Nuclear Inc. 40-1162	2.0	24.2	11.5	February 1980, UDAD and HERMES Codes	FES NUREG-0639 Table 4.9

*

		Location of Individual	Direct Exposure Dose Commitment, mrem			Location Corresponding	Ingestion Exposure Dose Commitment, mrem		
	ility Name Location	Receiving Maximum Direct Exposure	Whole Body			to Maximum Ingestion Dose	Whole Body	Bone	Lung
1.	Atlas Minerals Moab, UT	Tex's Tour Center, 8 km E	2.0	29.6	74.4	Grazing, 2.7 km SE-Meat	6.4	5.0	0.4
2.	Bear Creek Converse Co., WY	Carson Ranch, 7.6 km NE	0.020	0.373	0.316	Vegetable ingestion 7.6 km NE; Grazing, 1.4 km NE-Meat	0.466	5.77	0.46
3.	Exxon Minerals Highland Converse Co., WY	Fowler Ranch, 4.3 km NE	0.208	5.56	13.3	Grazing, .5 km W- Meat	0.639	6.62	0.63
4.	FAP, Gas Hills, WY	FAP Housing Camp, 0.55 km WNW	0.624	17.1	35.9	Grazing, 1.24 km NE-Meat	0.025	0.258	0.02
5.	Energy Fuels Nuclear, White Mesa, Blanding, UT	4.5 km NNE	0.06	1.00	0.90	Vegetable ingestion 4.5 km NNE; Grazing, 1.9 km N-Meat	1.34	14.0	1.34
6.	Minerals Explomation Sweetwater, Sweetwater Co.,	Bairoil, 35 km NE WY	0.0021	0.0071	0.032	Grazing, 2.5 km NE-Mea€	0.006	0.076	0.90
7.	Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills, WY	Lucky Mc Camp, 3.0 ENE	0.340	8.74	15.4	Vegetable ingestion, 3.0 km ENE; Grazing, 1.4 km NNE-Meat	0.259	2.65	0.25

Table 3 Direct exposure and ingestion exposure pathways dose commitments for each milling facility

1

Table 3 (continued)

Faci	ility Name	Location of Individual	Dose Co	Exposure		Location Corresponding	Ingestion Exposure Dose Commitment, mrem		
	ility Name Location			co Maximum Ingestion Dose	whole Body Bone		Lung		
8.	Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin, WY	Heward Ranch, 8.0 km E	0.092	2.53	5.04	Vegetable ingestion, 8.0 km E; Grazing, 1.47 km NNW-Meat	1.52	15.5	1.52
9.	Petrotomics Shirley Basin, WY	Shirley Basin, 3.2 km S	0.168	4.23	9.05	Grazing, 1.68 km NE-Meat	0.528	5.52	0.528
10.	Plateau Resources Shootering Canyon, Garfield Co., UT	Mining Camp, 5.6 km N	0.135	3.60	6.63	N/A	-	-	-
11.	Rio Algom Humeca, Libson Valley, UT	Trailer Park, 2.5 km N	0.395	9.65	23.4	Vegetable ingestion, 2.5 km N; Grazing, 0.57 km SW-Meat	0.133	1.38	0.133
12.	Union Carbide Gas Hills, WY	J&E Ranch, 8.7 km NE	0.03	0.65	0.87	Vegetable ingestion, 8.7 km NE; Grazing, 1.4 km ENE-Meat	0.94	11.7	0.94
13.	United Nuclear Morton Ranch Converse Co., WY	Fowler Ranch, 10 km N	0.06	0.08	0.26	Vegetable ingestion, 10 km N; Grazing, 13 km NW-Meat	0.02	0.26	0.02
	Western Nuclear Split Rock, Jeffrey City, WY	Claytor Ranch, 1.6 km E	Not Ava	illable		Vegetable ingestion, 16 km E; Grazing, 2.7 km ENE-Meat	Not Ava	rilable	

indicate that the facilities are well within limits. In a few cases, computed doses are close to or in excess of the limit. This is believed to be the result of conservative assumptions made in the assessments; in these cases, the staff's generally positive conclusions are based on environmental monitoring data (albeit limited amount of data), and other site specific information which is available as discussed below. In any case, final determinations await the environmental monitoring data to be generated.

The following discusses only those facilities where a 40 CFR 190 predictive modeling assessment has been performed specifically in connection with this report. The assessments of other facilities are documented in the FES's cited in Table 2. Also discussed here are facilities where environmental data exist that were not previously documented in NRC environmental assessments. The following narratives highlight those areas where special attention must be paid in the environmental monitoring and data gathering efforts which will be required by the orders being issued at this time. Facilities to be discussed are:

0	Federal-American Partners (FAP)	(40 - 4492)
0	Pathfinder Mines, Gas Hills	(40-2259)
0	Pathfinder Mines, Shirley Basin	(40-6622)
0	Atlas Minerals	(40-3453)
0	Rio Algom Humeca	(40-8084)
0	Petrotomics	(40-6659)
0	Exxon Minerals Highland	(40-8102)

This report discusses individual assessment results for each facility. However 40 CFR 190 limits exposures to any individual in the public from <u>all</u> facilities in the nuclear fuel cycle. Therefore, the staff has evaluated exposures in these regions where several or more mills are operating near each other. This situation exists in the Gas Hills and Shirley Basin regions of Wyoming. In both cases, staff computations indicate that the increase to dose at the nearest residence of a facility may be significantly impacted by other facilities. Notwithstanding this, it appears as though the Standard may still be met in such situations. Discussion of these regional situations is presented in Section 5.8.

The narratives which follow include: a brief description of the site; presentation of environmental monitoring data and predictive model assessment results; brief description of extraneou, sources of radioactivity near the mill facility (i.e., those activities which are not covered by 40 CFR 190); and a discussion of the significance of available information with emphasis on those areas needing special attention in the initial implementation efforts.

5.1 Federal-American Partners (FAP)

Docket Number: 40-4492 Location: Gas Hills, Wyoming

3.1.1 Site Description

The FAP facility is located in the Gas Hills of Wyoming. This region is a heavy uranium milling and mining region, and is also used for livestock grazing

and as a wildlife range. Other uranium processing facilities in the area are the Pathfinder Mines facility (2.4 km NE), the Union Carbide facility (13 km NE) and the Western Nuclear facility (35 km SW). The local area is characterized by rolling terrain, broken by dry washes typical of the Wyoming high plains. The nearest residence is located at the FAP housing camp (0.55 km W) which houses approximately 155 people who are primarily FAP facility employees and their families.

5.1.2 EMP Data and Results

The FAP monitoring program used continuous air samplers to monitor U-nat, Th-230, and Ra-226 concentrations at the North and South ends of the FAP camp (0.55 km W), and at the Puddle Springs Ranch (4.8 km WNW). The air samplers operated satisfactorily, but the laboratory analysis was not adequate to detect low concentrations of the radionuclides U-nat and Ra-226. The lowest level of detection (LLD) achieved for these radionuclides was 0.01 pCi/m³, to be compared with the LLD of 0.0001 pCi/m³ as recommended in NRC Regulatory Guide 4.14. These higher LLDs for uranium and radium (e.g., 0.01pCi/m³) would result in lung dose estimates of 1.69 and 66.1 mrem, respectively; whereas, the more sensitive LLDs (e.g., 0.0001 pCi/m³) would result in lung dose estimates of 0.0169 and 0.661 mrem, respectively. Therefore, only the Th-230 data were considered. The lower limits of detection for radium result in doses greater than the 40 CFR 190 limits. At the lower limits of detection for uranium, doses are much smaller than those corresponding to measured thorium concentrations.

The measured Th-230 airborne concentrations and corresponding computed organ doses from the FAP program are shown in Table 4.

Loc	ation	*Averag Concent	e ration (pCi/m ³)	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	mrem Lung
Α.	Puddle Springs Ranch, 4.8 km WNW	Th-230	0.0130	2.16	77.4	41.9
Β.	North End of FAP Camp, 0.54 km WNW	Th-230	0.0123	2.04	73.2	39.6
C.	South End of FAP Camp, 0.80 km SW	Th-230	0.0117	1.94	69.6	37.7

Table 4 FAP (Docket No. 40-4492) environmental monitoring data assessment

*Station A data averaged for period September 1979 to April 1980; Station B data averaged for period September 1979 to April 1980; Station C data averaged for period March 1980 to April 1380.

5.1.3 Predictive Assessment

The assumptions, inputs, and results of the predictive assessment are detailed in Appendix 2. The critical individuals are those living in the FAP housing camp. The ingestion values presented in Table 5 reflect site-specific information, such as local food production and consumption patterns. As can be seen, the major impact results from inhalation and external exposure and not from ingestion.

Table 5 Projected 50-year dose commitments resulting from one year of operation at the Federal-American Partners Facility

Location: FAP Housing Camp

	50-Year D	ose	Commitment,	mrem
Pathway	whole Body		Bone	Lung
Inhalation and External Exposure Meat Ingestion*	0.624 0.025		17.1 0.258	35.9 0.025
Total Organ Doses	0.649		17.4	35.9

*These estimates assume that no more than 10% of an individual's meat intake can be produced in the immediate area.

5.1.4 Extraneous Sources of Radioactivity

Other sources of radioactivity, such as mining operations and raw ore storage areas, are prevalent throughout the Gas Hills region. In particular, several open-pit mines, as close as a quarter of a mile away, operate to the westsouthwest and the southwest of the FAP camp. Winds blow from this area to the FAP Camp 45% of the time. In contrast, winds blow from the FAP mill and tailings pond towards the FAP housing camp about 14% of the time. No unusual terrain features exist in the area which would significantly affect pollutant transport.

5.1.5 Discussion

Concentrations presented in Table 4 are significant in that, considering thorium alone, doses greater than 25 mrem are computed. However, given the proximity and generally upwind location of mining activities, it is likely that the majority of the dose contribution is from such extraneous sources. Local meteorological data indicates that winds blow from mining operations towards the FAP camp about 45% of the time. The Puddle Springs Ranch location, 4.8 kilometers from the mill in a generally upwind direction, monitors background concentrations. The air sampler at the Puddle Springs Ranch measured background concentrations of Th-230 which are actually higher than those measured at either end of the FAP camp.

In evaluating the question of what is contributing to the measured concentrations, the staff has observed that a natural cementing effect is provided by gypsum in the tailings solids. As a consequence, surface dusting from the potentially greatest emission source at the mill is controlled to a large degree. This further indicates that emissions from the mill are likely much less of a contributor to measured concentrations than mining activities. On the other hand, computed doses exceed the Standard at this mill. The projected lung dose at the FAP camp of 35.9 mrem (see Table 5) results from several factors. The FAP camp is quite close to the mill (only 0.55 km away, albeit in the prevailing downwind direction). Lacking firm evidence to the contrary, ore storage, handling and crushing operations (see Appendix 2, Table 2.4) are assumed to be minimally controlled. Close attention will have to be paid to such operations during our initial 40 CFR 190 imprementation phase (Phase I) at the FAP mill to assure adequate control measures are taken. In any event, ultimate determination that mill operations are in conformance will come from the environmental monitoring program to be conducted.

On the basis of the preceding discussion, it has been concluded that the licensee should be required to achieve the LLDs recommended in Regulatory Guide 4.14 in order to obtain more accurate EMP data. The licensee has also been required to conduct short-term air sampling at a location between the mines and the camp and to correlate the measured results with meteorological data in order to differentiate the milling from the predominant nonmilling sources.

5.2 Pathfinder Mines Corporation

Docket Number:	40-2259
Location:	Gas Hills, Wyoming

5.2.1 Site Description

The Pathfinder Mines Corporation facility (PMGH) is located in the Gas Hills, Wyoming. This is a region of heavy uranium milling and mining, and is also used for livestock grazing and as a wildlife range. The other uranium processing facilities are the FAP (2.4 km SW), the Union Carbide facility (11 km NE) and the Western Nuclear facility (40 km SW). The local terrain is characterized by rolling terrain broken by washes typical of the Wyoming high plains. As shown in Appendix 3, winds are generally from SW and WSW 45% of the time in this area.

The PMG housing camp (3.0 km ENE) is the nearest receptor to the mill facility. It is also downwind of several open-pit mines and ore storage pads. There is a steep anticline ridge between the mill tailings ponds (1-2 km N) and the housing facility. This ridge does not directly intercept the transport of pollutants between the mill and camp, but may alter wind direction patterns in the camp area. There are no other terrain features in the area that would greatly alter pollutant transport evaluations.

5.2.2 EMP Data and Results

Annual average concentrations and corresponding computed organ dose commitments for each monitoring location are shown in Table 6. Three low-volume air samplers were used in the 1979-80 monitoring program. Station A was located in an area representative of natural, undisturbed background conditions (i.e., there are no immediate mining or ore transportation activities). As expected, the measured concentrations were lowest for this sampler. Stations C and B are located at the north and south ends of the PMGH housing camp, respectively. Doses calculated based on the measured concentrations exceeded 40 CFR 190 limits for both of these stations.

Table 6	Pathfinder Mine	s Gas Hill	s (Docket	No. 40-2259)
	environmental m	ionitoring	data asses	ssment

Loc	ation	Aver ge Concentr	ation (pCi/m ³)	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	Lung
Α.	Station A,* 8 km SW of mill (Background)	U-nat Ra-226 Th-230	0.0027 0.00069 0.0012	0.0125 0.0216 0.199	0.214 0.216 7.14	0.456 4.63 3.86
		Tot	al	0.233	7.57	8.95
Β.	Station B,** South end of camp (Nearest receptor)	U-nat Ra-226 Th-230	0.0108 0.0060 0.0064	0.0499 0.185 1.06	0.858 1.85 <u>38.1</u>	1.87 39.7 20.6
		Tat	al	1.30	40.8	62.2
C.	Station C,** North end of camp	U-nat Ra-226 Th-230	0.0062 0.0042 0.0022	0.0286 0.130 <u>0.365</u>	0.492 1.30 13.1	1.05 27.8 7.08
		Tot	al	0.524	14.9	35.9

*Data averaged for the entire year, 1979.

**Data averaged for the entire year, 1977 and for the 1st and 2nd Quarters of 1980.

5.2.3 Predictive Assessment

The assumptions, inputs, and results of the predictive assessment are detailed in Appendix 3. The critical individuals are those living in the PMGH housing camp. Based on site-specific information, such as local food production and consumption patterns, the MILDOS ingestion values which assumed 100% local production and consumption were adjusted to reflect this more accurate information and are summarized in Table 7. Since the local food production and consumption is minimal, the major impact results from the inhalation and external exposure pathways and not from ingestion.

Table 7 Projected 50-year dose commitments resulting from one year of operation at the Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills Facility

Location: Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills Housing Camp

Pathway	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	mrem Lung
Inhalation and External Exposure	0.183	5.22	7.79
Meat Ingestion*	0.14	1.75	0.14
Vegetable Ingestion*	0.036	0.43	0.036
Total Organ Doses	0.36	7.40	7.97

*These estimates are based on information which indicates that no more than 5% of an individual's vegetable intake and 10% of an individual's meat intake are produced in the immediate area.

5.2.4 Extraneous Sources of Radioactivity

There are several major nonmilling sources in the area nearby and upwind of the camp. Two open-pit mines and six low-grade ore dumps are located upwind (SW) of the housing camp less than a kilometer away. Winds blow from this mining area towards the PMGH camp 45% of the time. High-grade ore is stockpiled upwind (WSW) of the housing camp about two kilometers away.

5.2.5 Discussion

Information is available to conclude that such nonmill sources probably contribute the greater part of the radionuclide concentrations measured at the PMGH camp. As shown in Table 6, measured concentrations lead to dose estimates which exceed the Standard. Concentrations measured at the south end of the camp (at Station B) are about 50% higher than those measured at the north end (Station C). The winds blow from the direction of the mill and tailings for an equal period (no more than 15% of the time) toward Stations C and B. Consequently, Station B should not measure higher concentrations than Station C, if the mill or tailings pile were the major source of emissions. If, however, the mines and ore piles to the south of the camp were the major source of emissions, then the expected concentration trend would be as observed here; i.e., higher at Station B than at Station C. It is not possible to accurately differentiate the exact percentage of dose due to milling versus nonwilling activities based on the existing monitoring program data because the background monitor does not pick up these nonmilling sources. In order to differentiate the amount of airborne radioactivity contributed by the mill and tailing ponds from that contributed by the mines and ore pads, the NRC has required short-term, supplemental monitoring studies to determine if the predominant contribution at the camp is from the mining and transportation activities, and not from mill effluent releases.

As discussed in Appendix 3, the Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills mill (PMGH) uses a semiautogenous process that results in minimal emissions of ground ore. There is a high-efficiency wet-scrubber on the yellowcake stack. Tailings pond emissions are greatly reduced because the natural gypsum content causes natural cementing of the tailings solids which precludes the resuspension of tailings by wind. People live 3 km east of the mill in the PMGH housing camp which is downwind of the mill about 15% of the time. The tailings ponds are northwest of the camp, and winds from the northwest occur about 11% of the time. A steep ridge stands between the camp and the tailings pile; hence, releases from the tailings probably do not impact upon the PMGH camp. Based on these considerations, dose commitments to individuals due to mill releases would not be expected to exceed those allowable under 40 CFR 190. The computer results (see Appendix 3) also indicate that the projected offsite airborne radioactivity concentrations should be much less than were actually measured if milling activities were the only source of radioactivity.

The final compliance assessment must depend on information gathered from the licensee's revised environmental monitoring program. This program, which should be completed in early 1981, includes short-term air sampling at a location between the camp and the mining activities which are suspected as making the biggest contribution to exposure, and correlating wind speed and direction data with the measured results.

5.3 Pathfinder Mines Corporation

Docket Number: 40-6622 Location: Shirley Basin, WY

5.3.1 Site Description

Pathfinder Mines Corporation, Shirley Basin Uranium Mill is in an area of plains and rolling hills about 12 km (45 miles) south of Casper, Wyoming. Land use in Shirley Basin is dominated by mining and milling activities. General grazing and limited hunting occurs in the area but no farming activities are conducted in this semidesert wilderness area. The nearest residents are at the Heward Ranch (8.0 km E) and in the town of Shirley Basin (8.0 km S).

5.3.2 EMP Data and Results

There was no recent offsite environmental monitoring data available (e.g., data at the nearest receptor).

5.3.3 Predictive Modeling

The assumptions, inputs, and results of the predictive assessment are detailed in Appendix 4. As shown in Appendix 4, the inhalation and external exposure pathway dose commitments to individuals at the Heward Ranch are twice the dose commitments to individuals in the town of Shirley Basin. Also, the local prevailing wind direction is towards the Heward Ranch (twice as often in this direction than towards the Shirley Basin townsite). Therefore, it has been concluded that the critical individuals are those living at the Heward Ranch. The estimated dose equivalent from the direct exposure pathway and the ingestion pathway are given in Table 8. The bone dose of 18.0 mrem due to the ingestion pathway is the largest dose; however, this is based on the conservative assumption that all meat is obtained from locally grazed cattle.

Table 8 Projected 50-year dose commitments resulting from one year of operation at the Pathfinder Mines, Shirley Basin Facility

Location: Heward Ranch

Pathway	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	mrem Lung
Inhalation and External Exposure	0.092	2.53	5.04
Ingestion	1.52	15.5	1.52
Total Organ Dose	1.61	18.0	6.56

5.3.4 Extraneous Sources of Radioactivity

There is an open-pit mine 0.75 km N of the mill. Another larger open-pit mine is 1.0 km E of the mill between the mine pits and the Heward Ranch which is 8.0 km E of the mill. The differentiation of the dose contribution due to these other extraneous sources from those due to milling has not been completed as of this date.

5.3.5 Discussion

Based on the preceding discussion of the predictive modeling, it appears that this facility will be in compliance with 40 CFR 190. However, in order to obtain confirmatory environmental monitoring data, the licensee has been required to conduct continuous airborne sampling at the nearest receptor (i.e., at the Heward Ranch).

5.4 Atlas Minerals

Docket Number: 40-3453 Location: Moab, Utah

5.4.1 Site Description

The Atlas Minerals facility is located in southern Utah. Mining and milling, potash production, the development of other energy sources (e.g., gas and

oil), and tourism are the significant industries. Some agricultural and cattle raising activity exists in the area.

The mill is located just below the mouth of Moab Canyon. Cliffs border the mill on the west, and high barren sandstone formations are located to the north and east. The Colorado River flows along the sast and southeast border of the mill. Onsite meteorology is available and indicates a prevailing westerly to southwesterly wind. This reflects the channeling effects of the surrounding topography.

The nearest receptor is located at Tex's Tour Center (0.8 km E) which frequently lies in the prevailing wind direction. The only other nearby receptor is at Arches National Park (2.4 km NW). The town of Moab (5 km SE) is the only major population center in the area and had an estimated population of 4,810 people in 1975.

5.4.2 EMP Data and Results

An airborne particulate monitoring station was established in 1980 at Tex's Tour Center, which is the nearest occupiable structure from the Atlas Mill site. Data from this sampling station is only available for the 3rd quarter, 1980. The only other complete and reliable monitoring data was for an air sampling station which was operated at the Arches National Park. Table 9 below summarizes the 3rd quarter, 1980 data for both of these locations and also shows the corresponding estimated organ doses due to the inhalation pathway.

Location	*Average Concentr	ation (pCi/m ³)	50-Year Dose Commitment, Whole Body Bone		
Tex's Tour Center 0.8 km E	U-nat Th-230 Ra-226	0.0233 0.0014 0.0012	0.11 0.23 0.037	1.85 8.33 0.37	3.94 4.51 7.93
		Total	0.377	10.56	16.38
Arches National Park 2.4 km NW	U-nat Th-230 Ra-226	0.014 0.0015 0.0004	0.065 0.249 0.0124	1.110 8.925 0.124	2.37 4.83 <u>2.64</u>
		Total	0.325	10.159	9.84

Table 9 Atlas Minerals, Moab Mill (Docket No. 40-3453) environmental monitoring data assessment

*The 3rd Quarter of 1980.

5.4.3 Predictive Modeling

The assumptions, inputs, and results of the predictive assessment for the Atlas Mineral mill are summarized in Table 10. The critical individuals are the residents of Tex's Tour Center (0.8 km E). But since there is a component of wind which channels towards Arches National Park Headquarters (2.4 km NW), this location was also considered.

Table 10 Projected 50-year dose commitment resulting from one year of operation at the Atlas Minerals Facility

Location: Tex's Tour Center

Pathway	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment,* Bone	mrem Lung
Inhalation and External Exposure	2.0	29.6	74.4
Meat Ingestion	0.4	5.0	0.4
Total Organ Doses	2.4	34.6	74.8

*2stimates taken from FES NUREG-0453.

5.4.4 Extraneous Sources of Radioactivity

Numerous uranium mines are located throughout Grand County. The major ore resource areas are the Uranium Mineral Belt (80 km ESE) and the Big Indian mining district of the Colorado Plateau (30 km SE).

5.4.5 Discussion

Impacts to the Arches National Park Headquarters were well below 40 CFR 190 limits and are presented in FES NUREG-0453. The predictive modeling dose commitments to individuals at Tex's Tour Center indicate that 40 CFR 190 exposure limits may be exceeded. However, the uncertainties in estimating pollutant dispersion in the Moab Valley with its drastic topographic variability weakens the results of the predictive methodology. Also, additional recent controls (such as keeping the surface of the tailings pond covered with solution and covering the embankments with natural materials to reduce windblowing of the tailings) were not considered in the FES NUREG-0453 assessment. It has been concluded that direct releases from nearby mining areas will have a small impact on individuals at Tex's Tour Center because of the great distances involved. Ambient concentrations measured at Tex's Tour Center probably reflect nonmilling sources since ore is transported via trucks that pass directly by Tex's Tour Center. The impact of the resuspension of ore due to transportation activities in this area may need to be further evaluated based on the results of future EMP data.

The 50-year dose commitments as shown in Table 9 are based on an entire year's exposure to the reported 3rd quarter, 1980 average airborne concentrations. The estimated lung dose to an individual who would be continuously exposed at Tex's Tour Center would be about 16.38 mrem, and about 9.84 mrem at the Arches National Park. Some earlier data indicated higher airborne concentrations were measured at Tex's Tour Center; however, as noted in licensee's reports, and confirmed by aerial photographs of the site, the licensee has recently taken effective control measures to minimize windblown tailings by covering the tailings pile embankments with natural soils, and also by keeping the exposed tailings surfaces in a wetted down condition by providing multiple perimeter discharge points. To assure the adequacy of these operational control measures, the licensee has been required to maintain continuous air sampling systems at both Tex's Tour Center and at the Arches National Park. Acditional dose estimates shall be made as such supplemental monitoring data becomes available.

5.5 Rio Algom Humeca

Docket Number: 40-8084 Location: La Sal, Utah

5.5.1 Site Description

The Rio Algom Humeca mill (Rio Algom) is located in the Lisbon Valley, southeast of La Sal Junction. The major activities in the region are the mineral industry and tourism. The Lisbon Valley underground uranium mines are located to the south of the Rio Algom mill. These mines provide uranium ore to other milling facilities such as the Atlas Minerals mill (30 km NW) as well as to Rio Algom.

The area is characterized by rolling surface land with rock outcrops along the Lisbon Valley sides. The La Sal mountains (13 km N) dominate the area to the north, and the country gently rolls down to the valley which runs in a northwest to southeast direction. Onsite meteorology indicates a flushing effect in both directions along the valley (NW-SE) and a component from the southwest. The nearest residence of significant impact is a trailer park (2.5 km N). The Wilcox Ranch (4.0 km NNE), the Blankenagel Ranch (5.0 km WNW), and the Redd Ranch (5.3 km NNE) are the only other nearby residences.

5.5.2 EMP Data and Results

In 1979 and 1980, concentrations of natural uranium (U-nat) were grab sampled at the Blankenagel Ranch, Wilcox Ranch, and La Sal Junction. The measured concentrations of U-nat and corresponding dose estimates are shown in Table 11. The Rio Algom data are inadequate to permit a final assessment of compliance with 40 CFR 190 because only U-nat was measured and these were obtained by grab sampling as opposed to continuous monitoring. Doses computed based on concentrations of uranium only were minimal (e.g., 1.49 mrem to the lung); however, doses resulting from the inhalation of the other radionuclides (e.g., radium and thorium) could be appreciable.

Location (Number of Grab Samples Taken)	Average C trations,		50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	mrem
La Sal Junction, 15 km NW (Background) (Average of 7 samples)	U-nat	0.006	0.0277	0.476	1.01
Blankenagel Ranch, 5.0 km WNW (Average of 7 samples)	U-nat	0.0088	0.0407	0.698	1.49
Wilcox Ranch, 4.0 km NNE (Average of 7 samples)	U-nat	0.0052	0.0240	0.413	0.879

Table 11 Rio Algom (Docket No. 40-8084) environmental monitoring data assessment

5.5.3 Predictive Assessment

The assumptions, inputs, and results of the predictive assessment are detailed in Appendix 6. The maximum radiological impact from the Rio Algom milling facility was projected to occur for an individual living year-round at the Trailer Camp. This individual was assumed to consume meat taken from livestock grazed near the mill (i.e., 10% local meat intake) and vegetables (including fruits) grown in a garden at the Trailer Camp (i.e., 5% local vegetable intake). The maximum projected bone dose was 11.0 mrem and the resultant dose commitments for a resident of the Trailer Camp are summarized in Table 12.

Table 12 Project 50-year dose commitments resulting from one year of operation at the Rio Algom Humeca Facility

Location: Trailer Camp

Pathway	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	mrem Lung
Inhalation and external exposure	0.395	9.65	23.4
Ingestion*	0.133	1.38	0.133
Total Organ Doses	0.528	11.0	23.5

*These estimates are based on information which indicates that no more than 5% of an individual's vegetable intake and 10% of an individual's meat intake are produced in the immediate area.

5.5.4 Extraneous Sources of Radioactivity

The Lisbon Valley uranium mines supply the uranium are to the Rio Algom facility, as well as to the Atlas Minerals mill (30 km NE). The mine shafts for the various mines extend to the south-southwest of the mill site and the winds blow from this mining area towards the Trailer Camp approximately 26% of the time.

5.5.5 Discussion

The computer analysis indicates that the Standard is not exceeded at the Trailer Camp. The inhalation pathway is the major contributor to dose; lung dose is 23.5 mrem which is close to the limit. Credit for 80% control of dusting from the tailings area was given as discussed in Section 4.5. This may be an optimistic assumption at this mill at this time. Inactive tailings disposal areas appear from aerial photographs to be dry and susceptible to dusting. Given the closeness of nearby residences and the predictive model results, close monitoring of dust control efforts is essential. There is no actual monitoring data at the Trailer Camp but the licensee is required to install a comprehensive monitoring program of the type set forth in Regulatory Guide 4.14 which will include monitors at the Trailer Camp. This program will use continuous air samplers to monitor all radionuclides of concern (i.e., Ra-226 and Th-230, as well as U-nat which was the only nuclide previously monitored).

5.6 Petrotomics

Docket Number: 40-6659 Location: Shirley Basin, WY

5.6.1 Site Description

The Petrotomics' Shirley Basin Uranium Mill is located in a hilly area about 77 km (48 miles) south of Casper, in the eastern Shirley Basin area of Wyoming. The nearest residences are in the town of Shirley Basin (3.2 km S) and at the Heward Ranch (8 km NE).

5.6.2 EMP Data and Results

There was no available offsite environmental monitoring data (e.g., at the nearest receptor).

5.6.3 Predictive Modeling

The assumptions, inputs, and results of the predictive assessments for the Petrotomics facility is detailed in Appendix 5. The critical individuals are those living at the town of Shirley Basin (3.2 km S). The estimated dose equivalent from the direct exposure pathway and the ingestion pathway are

given in Table 13. The bone dose of 9.75 mrem was estimated based on the conservative assumption that 100% of an individual's meat intake is produced in the immediate area. The projected lung dose of 9.58 mrem at the town of Shirley Basin was much higher than the lung dose projected at the Heward Ranch (8 km NE).

Table 13 Projected 50-year dose commitments resulting from one year of operation at the Petrotomics facility

Location: Shirley Basin (Town)

Pathway	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	mrem Lung
Inhalation and External Exposure	0.168	4.23	9.05
Ingestion	0.528	5.52	0.528
Total Organ Dose	0.696	9.75	9.58

5.6.4 Extraneous Sources of Radioactivity

Surface mining operations are conducted throughout this area; however, the environmental impact of these mining activities has not been evaluated to date.

5.6.5 Discussion

Based on the computer assessment as summarized in Table 13, the Petrotomics facility is projected to be within compliance limits for 40 CFR 190, since the estimated bone and lung doses were each below 10 mrem.

5.7 Exxon Minerals Highland

Docket Number: 40-8102 Location: Converse County, WY

5.7.1 Site Description

The Exxon Highland mine and mill complex is located in an area of rolling hills and stream valleys in the Powder River Basin region of Wyoming. The area in the immediate vicinity of the site is used primarily for sheep grazing. However, uranium mining and milling, as well as oil and gas production, have become significant factors in the county's economy. The nearest receptor downwind of the facility is the Fowler Ranch (4.3 km NE). Other ranches are located around the site, but none are in the downwind direction or as close as the Fowler Ranch.

5.7.2 EMP Data & 1 Results

. 69 1

There was no available offsite environmental monitoring data (e.g., at the nearest recor).

5.7.3 Predictive Modeling

The assumptions, inputs, and results of the predictive assessment for the Exxon Minerals facility are detailed in Appendix 7. The critical individuals are those living at the Fowler Ranch (4.3 km NE). The estimated dose equivalent from the direct exposure pathway and the ingestion pathway are given in Table 14. The bone dose of 6.62 mrem was estimated based on information which indicates that no more than 10% of an individual's meat intake is produced in the immediate area.

Table 14 Projected 50-year dose commitments resulting from one year of operation at the Exxon Minerals Highland facility

Location: Fowler Ranch

Pathway	50-Year Dose Whole Body	Commitment, Bone	mrem Lung
Inhalation and External Exposure	0.208	5.56	13.3
Ingestion*	0.639	6.62	0.639
Total Organ Dose	0.847	12.2	13.9

*These estimates are based on information which indicates that no more than 10% of an individual's meat intake is produced in the immediate area.

5.7.4 Extraneous Sources of Radioactivity

Exxon operates both surface mines and the underground Golden Eagle Mine (5.5 km WNW) on the Highland site. However, the environmental impact of these mining activities has not been evaluated to date.

5.7.5 Discussion

Since there was no available offsite environmental monitoring data, only the dose estimates obtained from the MILDOS predictive modeling are available for this assessment. Based on the information that only 10% of an individual's meat intake is produced in the immediate area, the staff has concluded that the Exxon Minerals Highland facility is likely to be within the 40 CFR 190 limits. However, in order to obtain confirmatory environmental monitoring

data, the licensee has been required to conduct continuous airborne sampling at the nearest receptor (e.g., the Fowler Ranch).

5.8 Cumulative Impacts from Multiple Uranium Milling Facilities

Currently, there are two major areas of concentrated uranium milling. These areas and the corresponding facilities are:

1. The Gas Hills Region, Wyoming

S 62. 3

Federal-American Partners (40-4492) Pathfinder Mines (40-2259) Union Carbide Corporation (40-299)

2. The Shirley Basin Region, Wyoming

Pathfinder Mines (40-6622) Petrotomics Company (40-6659)

The 40 CFR 190 standard applies to the impact from all applicable uranium milling facilities to any member of the general public. Those locations which were suspected of receiving significant impacts from more than one facility were evaluated by summing the impacts from each facility as calculated in the individual assessments. When the ingestion impacts were modified by realistic consumption factors, impacts from the multiple mills did not result in any 40 CFR 190 concerns where these did not already exist. However, contributions from more than one mill can be significant to the point that they cannot be ignored. As with the individual facilities, the staff considers it is likely that the Standard will not actually be exceeded in such cumulative cases. This is believed to be the case notwithstanding that some computed doses are greater than 25 mrem, because generally conservative assumptions are made in the predictive assessments. As mentioned previously, the ultimate evaluation will be made with reliable monitoring of actual conditions at each receptor location.

5.8.1 Gas Hills, Wyoming

Under the assumption that the ingestion contributions to the dose to the local residents would reflect local consumption and production, the Standard was exceeded at the Federal-American Partners (FAP) Camp. However, the total cumulative lung dose commitment of 40 mrem was composed primarily (90%) of inhalation exposure from the FAP facility. In this case, the Pathfinder Mines facility contributed 10% of the total cumulative impact and the Union Carbide Corporation Facility a negligible amount. The Pathfinder Mines Camp exhibited cumulative doses below the 40 CFR 190 limit, although the FAP facility contributed as much as 18% of the total cumulative dose commitments to individuals at this Camp.

5.8.2 Shirley Basin, Wyoming

The two nearest resident locations are both within 8.0 km of both mills, although the town of Shirley Basin is only 3.2 km from the Petrotomics mill.

It should be noted that both mills contribute significantly to exposure at each of these locations. The critical pathway was meat ingestion to the cumulative bone dose commitment. The total cumulative impacts were not more than 29 mrem. The contribution from the meat ingestion pathway to the bone from the Pathfinder Mines operation alone accounted for over 50% of the total cumulative dose commitment from both mills. It was assumed that 100% of all vegetables and meat consumed by the local population is locally produced. Adjustments to the ingestion pathway were made for the impacts from the Gas Hills Region uranium faclities (see, for example, Appendices 2 and 3). If the same adjustments were made to the Shirley Basin Region uranium facilities, the total cumulative dose commitments would not exceed 40 CFR 190 limits. Combined lung doses were computed to be well within 40 CFR 190 limits.

	Gas Hills Region, Wyoming					
1.000	(FAP) Camp			Pathfinder Mines		Camp
Facility	Whole Body	Bone	Lung	Whole Body	Bone	Lung
FAP Pathfinder Mines	0.65 0.26	17.4 <u>3.73</u>	35.9 <u>4.07</u>	0.10 0.60	2.14 11.4	3.54 15.7
Gas Hills Total Impact*	0.91	21.1	40.0	0.70	13.5	19.2
		Shirl	ey Basin R	egion, Wyoming		
Facility	Heward			Shirley		lown
Facility	whole Body	Bone	Lung	Whole Body	Bone	Lung
Pathfinder Mines Petrotomics Co.	1.61 0.80	18.0	6.56 5.00	1.46 0.70	15.6	3.92 9.58
Shirley Basin Total Impact	2.41	27.8	11.6	2.16	25.4	13.5

Table 15	Cumulat	ive 50-year	projected	dose comm	itments to	individuals
	from th	e operation	of multipl	le milling	facilities	

*The Union Carbide Corporation Facility contributed a negligible impact to these locations.

6. SUMMARY

1 43 5

The Uranium Recovery Licensing Branch has evaluated the prospects for meeting the EPA Standard (40 CFR 190) at each NRC licensed facility. After rigorously reviewing all available environmental monitoring data and radiological assessments contained in the Final Environmental Statements, as well as some supplemental predictive modeling evaluations, it appears that implementation of the 40 CFR 190 Standard will be practicable.

At some facilities, a review of available environmental monitoring data and the dose projections from the predictive analysis indicates that the estimated offsite exposures may be very close to the Standard. In some cases, critical measured concentrations at receptors nearest the mill convert to greater than 25 mrem exposure to the lung. However, the staff has concluded that nearby mining and ore transportation activities, which are excluded from the 40 CFR 190 Standard, are most likely the primary source of exposure to the nearest receptor rather than exposures due to releases from the milling process. In these cases, the immediate aim of the EMP will be to sort out contributions to measured concentrations made by various sources to determine what contribution is made by the mill alone. Orders being issued to all facilities will require quarterly dose assessment and reporting for the calendar year 1981 of the environmental monitoring program data to permit the definitive determination of compliance with the 40 CFR 190 Standard. The orders also, as necessary, require some upgrading of existing environmental monitoring programs to assure that data gathered are accurate and useful (for example, requiring that a quality assurance program be specified). Also, some changes in EMPs are being made to assure that they are capable of distinguishing regulated and nonregulated sources of measured concentrations (for example, some short-term monitoring studies at nearby mining activities are called for).

The 40 CFR 190 implementation program will not be disruptive or overly burdensome since operators are already required by license conditions imposed based on existing regulations related to the protection of public health and safety and the environment to apply controls at the mill that should be sufficient to meet the Standard. If it is later shown through analysis of the actual environmental monitoring data that the Standard is exceeded, some additional operational control measures will have to be developed and applied by the operator.

Prepared By:

Gregory GV Eadie Project Manager

Approved By:

mulertfiller

Hubert J. Miller Section Leader

George N. Gnugnat

Appendix 1 MILDOS Computer Code Analysis

The primary mechanism for executing the staff's predictive radiological assessment methodology is the MILDOS computer code. The MILDOS code is an NRC revision of the UDAD IV code developed by the Argonne National Laboratory. The staff's uranium mill radiological assessment methodology is available in the US NRC Draft Regulatory Guide, Task 802-4, and in the FINAL GEIS (NUREG-0706, September 1980). Documentation for the MILDOS code is provided in the "MILDOS Computer Code User's Manual," by G. N. Gnugnoli and D. E. Martin (May 1980). Table 1.1 presents a list of the necessary information used by the staff to prepare the input stream for the radiological assessment of any uranium facility.

One of the most significant assumptions in predictive modeling assessments is the estimation of releases of radioactivity from a facility. There is some uncertainty regarding such releases, particularly from the tailings impoundments and other diffuse dust producing operations such as ore storage and handling. Unlike point source emissions which can be monitored with a relatively simple stack device, these emissions are not readily measured. Moreover, the effectiveness of stack emission control devices can be estimated (where no emission measurements are available) with reasonable accuracy based on a few easily obtained facts about mill operations and equipment design. Diffuse sources are also not easily determined because they are not steady emissions. Wind-blown surface emissions are episodic in nature; dusting occurs primarily during periods of high wind. Furthermore, the staff has limited information about the actual effectiveness of dust control measures that are being provided. To deal with this uncertainty and to assure consistency in the predictive model assessments, the staff has adopted certain standard assumptions about control of tailings emissions. For example, all facilities were credited with 80 percent control of areas susceptible to dusting. Where there is available information which bears on this matter, it is highlighted in the assessments which follow. In general, this is an area where close attention must be paid to 40 CFR 190 implementation at each mill.

Airborne emissions only are considered by the staff in its assessments. There are no discharges of tailings solutions to surface streams from uranium mill facilities. Some seepage occurs from tailings impoundment and associated evaporation ponds, but in no case is there known consumption of contaminated groundwater. The environmental monitoring programs which have been established include monitoring of groundwater. Information on land use being required in connection with this and other recent licensing actions will provide needed information about use of wells near mills. These efforts will identify any problems, if they exist.

Once the input is prepared, the code models the transport of effluents and the mechanisms of deposition and resuspension to obtain environmental concentrations in air, soil, and vegetation. For the purposes of 40 CFR 190 compliance assessment, the following pathways of potential impact to human beings were considered:

1 ...

.....

.

Table 1.1 Basic parameters used for MILDOS input

Parameter	Description
Average ore grade	Percent of U_3O_8 in the ore
Secular equilibrium activity (in pCi/g) of U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226 in the ore	Average ore grade x 0.85 $\frac{gU}{gU_3O_8} \times 3.33 \times 10^5 \frac{pCi U-238}{gU}$
Annual ore processing rate	Metric tons of ore processed by the uranium facility per year
Yellowcake production rate	Metric tons of yellowcake produced by the uranium facility per year
Product purity	Percent of U ₃ O ₈ in yellowcake
Amount of product released to atmosphere annually	0.1% of yellowcake product ¹
Emission activity (in Ci/year) of U-238 released to the atmosphere from yellowcake operations	Yellowcake production rate x product purity x 0.001 x .85 $\frac{gU}{gU_3O_8} \times 3.33 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\text{Ci U-238}}{gU}$
	× 10° g MT
Ratio of thorium to uranium released in yellowcake emission	0.0051
Ratio of radium to uranium released in yellowcake emission	0.0011
Uncontrolled emission rates from any one mill stack or vent	5.0 x 10-3 to 1.0 x 10-2 percent of annual ore processing rate ²
Percent reduction factor from stack/ vent emission control	Uncontrolled emission rates are reduced to account for emission control devices
Area of ore pad	Surface area of ore storage pad
Reduction factor for ore pad	Accounts for spraying of water or chemical agents to reduce dust loss from ore pad, ranging from 0% to 50%

* *

Table 1.1 (continued)

Parameter	Description
Area(s) for tailings impoundment(s)	Surface area of total impoundment, including beach and pond
Reduction factor for tailings areas	Reduction of dust loss rates by liquid cover, chemical spraying, water spraying, gypsum-cementing, and so forth
Recovery rate	Percentage of $U_3 O_8$ in ore which is retained in the yellowcake product
Activity of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in solid tailings	Specific unit activity in pCi/g of each nuclide in tailings solids
U-238	(100%-recovery rate) x secular equilibrium ore activity
Th-230	99.5% x secular equilibrium ore activity
Ra-226	99.9% x secular equilibrium ore activity
Length of grazing season	Number of months per year in which meat or milk producing animals graze
Fraction of stored cattle feed which is grown locally	Percentage of contaminated feed which supplements grazing intake
Fraction of cattle feed which is pasture grazing	Percentage of contaminated intake which is from pasture in the immediate area around the mill.
Acreage required to graze one animal unit (450 kg) for one month	Amount of surface grazing acreage required to feed one cow and one calf (an animal unit) for one month. Units are in acres/AUM. (AUM = animal unit month)

A1-4

Table 1.1 (continued)

Parameter	Description
Relative joint frequency of wind speed, direction, and stability class	Data Meported by National Weather Service
	 The wind directions are ordered: N, NNE, NE, ENE, E, ESE, SE, SSE, S, SSW, SW, WSW, W, WNW, NW, NNW.
	 The wind speed classifications in knots³ are: 0-3, 4-6, 7-10, 11-16, 17-21, > 21
	- The six Pasquill stability classes in order are:
	 Extremely unstable Moderately unstable Slightly unstable Neutral Moderately stable Very stable
Atmospheric mixing height	The height (in meters) above the surface through which relatively vigorous vertical mixing occurs ⁴

- ² U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement on Uranium Milling. FGEIS NUREG-0706. September 1980.
- ² APCD Mining Worksheet, prepared by William Reef, Colorado Department of Health, for Enviro-Test, Ltd., March 1978.
- ³ This is the breakdown utilized by the National Weather Service (NWS) in reporting data collected by NWS stations. In more conventional units of meters/sec, the wind speed groups are: 0.0-1.5, 1.6-3.2, 3.3-5.1, 5.2-8.2, 8.3-10.8, > 10.8. Averaged wind speeds assigned to each group in meters/sec are: 0.67, 2.46, 4.47, 6.93, 9.61 and 12.52, respectively.
- ⁴ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Mixing Heights, Wind Speeds, and Potential for Urban Air Pollution Throughout the Contiguous United States. No. AP-101. January 1972.

- Inhalation of all radionuclides (e.g., U-nat., Th-230, and Ra-226) in air except for released radon and its daughters.
- External exposure by cloud immersion.
- 3. External exposure by direct radiation from ground deposition.
- Ingestion of locally produced meat and vegetables.

In many cases, the most significant potential pathway of exposure is the ingestion pathway. In its initial assessments, the staff adopted the standard conservative food consumption factors delineated in the USNRC Draft Regulatory Guide, Task 802-4. In particular, 100% of an individual's meat and vegetable consumption is assumed to be produced near the mill and, therefore, is subject to contamination from mill effluents. This conservative assumption resulted, in some cases, to impacts which were in excess of the 40 CFR 190 limits. However, whenever such cases did occur and the local conditions indicated that the food production and consumption factors were too high, these factors were adjusted. The reasoning behind these adjustments is as follows:

- Grazing locations were assumed to be in the vicinity (about 0.5 km distance) of the nearest restricted area boundaries and not necessarily at the nearest individual's residence.
- Individuals at trailer residences and nearby housing camps are primarily employed by the mills and do not raise their own cattle for meat consumption.
- In most cases, locally produced meat is consumed at the cattle ranches where the grazing range of the cattle is, on the average, further from the mills than the conservative locations near the restricted area boundaries. The assumption stipulates that the cattle graze at these nearby locations 100% of the time.

For the above reasons, the staff has made adjustments when site conditions have indicated that more reasonable factors were warranted. However, the uncertainties which exist concerning the ingestion pathway, especially the local production and consumption patterns, are important issues to be resolved in the initial 40 CFR 190 implementation efforts.

The ingestion of locally produced milk was considered where this pathway was possible. But in most cases, the environment surrounding a uranium milling facility does not support vegetable or milk ingestion pathways to people to any significant extent and therefore, such milk and vegetation pathways have frequently been excluded.

The final step is the determination of the 50-year dose commitments (in millirem) to selected organs for each year of exposure to airborne concentrations at the nearest receptor for the potential exposure pathways as listed above. In the following section, uranium milling facilities were dealt with in a case-by-case manner to evaluate the situation at the mill with regard to compliance with

40 CFR 190 on the basis of site-specific as well as generic information. The primary organs of interest were: the bone, the "mass averaged lung" and the whole body. Dose commitments from inhalation, external exposure and ingestion pathways were computed for each organ. Each organ dose commitment is distinguished from any other by the specific dose conversion factor. Except for the "mass average lung," the dose conversion factors have been computed by the Argonne National Laboratory's UDAD computer code. Each pathway and organ have their appropriate dose conversion factor (see FGEIS NUREG-0706, Appendix G-5). The "mass average lung" dose conversion factor was obtained by mass averaging the dose conversion factors from the UDAD code for the nasopharyngeal, tracheobronchial, pulmonary and lymphatic systems. This average accounts for the lymph nodes' propensity for chronic radioactivity retention.

The MILDOS code modeled various sources of radioactive effluents and their impacts upon designated individuals at specified population locations. The primary transport assumption was the basic straight-line Gaussian plume model (FGEIS NUREG-0706, Appendix G-2). Airborne concentrations were calculated for U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226. Mechanisms such as the deposition of radioactive particles and the resuspension of ground deposited particles were all accounted for by the MILDOS computer code. The code thus computed concentrations of the radionuclides in the environment, whereupon the dose conversion factors were applied to determine the 50-year dose commitment to the various organs by the appropriate pathway. The multiple pathway dose commitments were then summed to obtain the total organ dose commitment for each year of exposure.

For the purposes of compliance with the 40 CFR 190 Standard, the input and options used in MILDOS were simplified for the following reasons:

- 1. Population doses were not considered in the standards.
- 2. 40 CFR 190 excludes doses due to the release of radon and its daughters.
- Assessment is based on the exposure due to normal operations over a one year period and not over the projected lifetime of the uranium facility.

Appendix 2

Gas Hills Mill, Federal-American Partners (Docket Number 40-4492)

The Federal-American Partners (FAP) Gas Hills Mill is in a hilly area of Wyoming, about 80 km (50 miles) east of Riverton. The mill is licensed to process 860 MT of ore per day. The Eluex process is used at the mill, with ion exchange carried out in a resin-in-pulp circuit. The mill processes ores from surface and underground mines operated by FAP in the Gas Hills area. Mill tailings are being pumped to a conventional aboveground impoundment formed by a peripheral earth dam. Free liquid from the pond is pumped to a decant pond. The tailings pond is projected to reach its maximum capacity by late 1981.

Results

Table 2.1 lists the dose commitments at the nearby Camp of the Federal-American Partners uranium facility. This camp had a population of 155 people as of 1980. Table 2.1 shows the impacts from inhalation and external exposure (direct exposure pathway) as well as impacts from meat ingestion. Parenthetical entries in Table 2.1 take into account a 90% reduction of the impact from meat ingestion. Information provided by the Fremont County agricultural office indicates that the meat ingestion dose contributions are conservative. Most of the cattle raised in the environs are shipped outside the region, and only a small number are culled from the herd for local consumption. Based on information confirmed by the licensee, it is still conservatively estimated that no more than 10% of the locally produced meat is consumed by any nearby resident. In addition there are no gardens at the FAP camp, so the vegetable ingestion pathway has been excluded from consideration. As can be seen, this adjustment has little effect on the total dose commitments in this case. Table 2.2 gives the breakdown of the dose commitments for the various pathways at the locations of the FAP Camp (0.55 km West) and the Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills uranium mill camp (4.5 km ENE). Doses from meat ingestion due to grazing of cattle in the area are also provided. Tables 2.3 and 2.4 respectively display the concentrations on the ground and in the air of the parent radionuclides of interest (U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226) at the FAP Camp. These tables also indicate the specific mill activity and its contribution to the total concentrations. Table 2.5 presents the ground concentrations at the assumed meat ingestion exposure grazing location. (Concentrations in forage were assumed to be mostly the result of foliar deposition, with a smaller contribution from root uptake from the soil.)

Discussion

On the basis of the computer assessment, the Federal-American Partners (FAP) facility is projected to exceed the 40 CFR 190 compliance limits. Tables 2.1 and 2.2 lists the contributions from inhalation, external exposure, and ingestion to nearby individuals. Despite a 90% reduction of the impact to individuals through the meat ingestion pathway, the dose commitments to individuals in the FAP camp still exceed the 25 mrem limit to any organ since the lung dose was projected to be 35.9 mrem. The gypsum in the tailings

Table 2.1 Results of MILDOS computer code evaluation

Mill Name: FAP

Docket Number: 40-4492

Date of Evaluation: January 1981

Residence of individual(s) receiving maximum dose: FAP Camp, 0.55 km W *Direct Exposure Pathway (mrem):

whole	Body	0.624
Bone	전 비원 김 가슴이	17.1
Lung		35.9

Grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose: 1.24 km NE **Ingestion Pathway (mrem):

Whole Body	0.253 (0.025)***
Bone	2.58 (0.258)
Lung	0.253 (0.025)

Composite Pathway Dose Totals (mrem):

whole	Body	0.877 (0.649)
Bone		19.7 (17.4)
Lung		36.2 (35.9)

*The direct exposure pathway is the sum of inhalation, and gamma radiation exposure due to ground deposition and cloud immersion.

^{**}Doses from the meat ingestion pathway are based on meat from locally grazed cattle.

^{***}Parenthetical values account for only 10% of individuals' meat consumption due to locally produced meat.

Table 2.2 The 50-year adult dose commitments by pathway to each organ

Facility name: FAP

Docket number: 40-4492

	2011년 김 영화	Dose Commitments (mrem)			
Location	Pathway	Whole Body	Bone	Lung	
FAP Camp	Inhalation	0.610	17.1	35.9	
0.55 km West	Ground Exposure	0.014	0.014	0.014	
	Cloud Exposure	negl.	neg1.	negl.	
Lucky Mc Camp	Inhalation	0.069	1.88	3.51	
4.5 km ENE	Ground Exposure	0.002	0.002	0.002	
	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	regl.	
Grazing Site 1	Meat Ingestion	0.253 (0.025)*	2.58 (0.258)	0.253 (0.025)	
Grazing Site 2	Meat Ingestion	0.187 (0.019)	1.91 (0.191)	0.187 (0.019)	
Grazing Site 3	Meat Ingestion	0.132 (0.013)	1.36 (0.136)	0.132 (0.013)	
Grazing Site 4	Meat Ingestion	0.112 (0.011)	1.14 (0.114)	0.112 (0.011)	

*Parenthetical values account for 10% of individuals' meat intake from local production.

Facility name: FAP				Docket number	: 40-4492
Location of Maximum	Individual: FAP (Camp, 0.55 km W			
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.117E+03	1.059E+01	2.116E+01
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	8.373E+02	8.373E+02	8.370E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	7.431E+00	5.058E+01	5.072E+01
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	1.040E+02	6.849E+02	6.869E+02

Table 2.3 Radionuclide concentrations projected to occur on ground at residence of individual receiving maximum dose

Airborne radionuclide concentrations projected to occur	
at residence of individual receiving maximum dose	

Facility name: FAP				Docket number	: 40-4492
Location of Maximum	Individual: FAP	Camp, 0.55 km W			
Type of Particle	Mean D∶ameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Padionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ³ Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	5.515E-03	2.757E-05	5.515E-05
Ground Ore	1.0		2.181E-03	2.181E-03	2.181E-03
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	1.936E-05	1.317E-04	1.322E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	3.071E-05	2.023E-04	2.029E-04

A2-5

Table 2.5	Radionuclide concentrations projected to occur on the ground
	at grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose

Facility name: FAP				: 40-4492	
location of Maximum Meat Ingestion Pathway: 1.24 km NE					
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclid U ²³⁸	e Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	1.526E+03	7.628E+00	1.525E+01
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	4.921E+02	4.921F+02	4.919E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	4.059E+01	3.556E+02	3.566E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	5.527E+02	4.878E+03	4.893E+03

A2-6

solids results in a cementing effect. Quantitative estimates of the effect of the reduction of tailings emssions because of the gypsum have not been documented. Therefore, no additional reduction was used in the predictive assessment.

The NRC staff has made assumptions about exposure pathways and residence times in the mill environs which are conservative, ye) reasonable, in light of the NRC's responsibility to maintain public safety. As additional environmental data become available, a firm determination can be made concerning 40 CFR 190 compliance at this mill.

Assumptions for Computer Prediction

Table 2.6 presents the basic parameters and assumptions made in modeling the FAP facility. Control factors, yellowcake emissions and tailings activities are presented with other parameters affecting the emission of radioactivity. Table 2.7 displays the emission (Curies/year) of the parent radionuclides in secular equilibrium from the transporting of ore, to the grizzly and up to the fine ore storage bins. From the ball mill crushing to the yellowcake precipitation, the process is wet and enclosed, and the NRC staff assumed only negligible radioactive emissions. Table 2.8 lists the tailings pond parameters and radionuclide activity in the solid tailings (U-238 is usually depleted at this point of the cycle). In addition, the high content of gypsum in the raw ore causes a natural cementing effect of the tailings sands. This restricts suspension of particulates into the air and subsequent dispersion. This mitigation of suspension of tailings solids was not quantitatively included in the computer assessment, beyond the nominal mitigation by pond cover (80%). Table 2.9 is the wind frequency data, which provides the site-specific mechanism for transport of radioactivity to offsite locations. The meteorological data originates from Casper, Wyoming which is 107 km away, but was judged to be appropriate for this region in wyoming.

Parameter	Description
Average ore grade	0.10%
Secular equilibrium activity (in pCi/g) of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in the ore	283.4
Annual ore processing rate	293,000 MT/year
Yellowcake production rate	278. MT/year
Product purity	96% U308
Amount of product released to atmosphere annually	0.278 MT/year

Table 2.6 Basic parameters used for MILDOS input

A2-8

Table 2.6 (continued)

Parameter	Description
Emission activity (in Ci/year) of U-238 released to the atmosphere from yellowcake operations	7.56 x 10 ⁻² Ci/year
Thorium released in yellowcake emission	3.78 x 10 ⁻⁴ Ci/year
Radium released in yellowcake emission	7.56 x 10 ⁻⁴ Ci/year
Uncontrolled emission rates from any one mill stack or vent	See Table 2.7
Percent reduction factor from stack/vent emission control	See Table 2.7
Area of ore pad	4.6 acres
Reduction factor for ore pad	0%
Areas for tailings impoundments Tailings Pile 1 Tailings Pile 2	18 acres 62 acres
Reduction factor for tailings areas	80%
Recovery rate	91%
Activity of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in solid tailings (pCi/g)	
U-238 Th-230 Ra-226	25.5 282.1 283.1
Length of grazing season	6 months
Fraction of stored cattle feed which is grown locally	0.0%
Fraction of cattle feed which is pasture grazing	100%
Acreage required to graze one animal unit (450kg) for one month	9.0 acres
Relative joint frequency of wind speed, direction, and stability class	Table 2.9
Atmospheric mixing height	598.3 meters

Parameter

Table 2.7 Natural ore emissions

Mill Name: FAP

Docket Number: 40-4492

Process Causing Emissions	Mass Loss Rate, lb/ton	Control Measures	Control Efficiency, %	Effective Mass Loss Rate, lb/ton	Annual Emissions, Ci/yr
Dump to Grizzly	. 05	None	0.0	. 05	.00517
Crusher	.2	Rotoclone Dust Collector	93.6%	.013	.00132
Grinder	.2	Rotoclone Dust Collector	93.6%	.013	.00132
Conveyors (2)	.2	Rotoclone Dust Collector	93.6%	.013	.00132
Fine Ore Bin and Handling	л	Rotoclone Dust Collector	93.6%	. 006	. 00066
Ore Pad Handling	. 15	None	0.0%	. 15	.01551
				TOTAL	. 02533

Annual Mass Loss = (Effective Mass Loss Rate) ÷ 2000 · (Ore Throughput)

Activity Emission = (Annual Mass Foss) \cdot (2.5) \cdot (Ore Quality) \div 100 \cdot (.2824 $\frac{C_1}{MT}$ of $U_3 0_8$)

. 4	-			~
A	1	•	3.1	ы.
	-		- 2	×.

Table 2.8 Tailings pond parameters

Mill Name: FAP

.

Docket Number: 40-4492

Tailings Source		Activity (Content of Taili Th ²³⁰	ings (pCi/g)
Area Number	Area (km²)	0238	Th230	Razze
1	. 075	25.5	282.1	283.1
2	. 25	25.5	282.1	283.1

Table 2.9 Meteorological data for FAP will

.

(Docket Number: 40-4492)

i		4440004			~~~~~~	
:	5000040 5000040	1 70-0000	00000000	1 370-0-EI		000000
					1)-00007	000000
	******	*******		01041441		000000
		N0000-0	Nece-00 1			200333
	400000		NCO-PAN I		-0000400	000000
-	000000		1 00-000m	1 0-00000	MODON	
;	200001		NANWWOR	-0-04001	-000555	333363
	30000					
	1000000	ADDOODAN			111000M	339693
				^	N-0004	
	2200000 2200000 2200000	1 100000		1 3M	2200000 2200000 2200000	303330
-					-10000	
;	2000000 2443/253 200000	eeccane !	-0000000	10.400 34 11	100000	200000
	0000				-003-	
	1000000	-000000	-77736-			100303
	0000 000004	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	Annan		000	200000
		1 2-20004	0	37-45471 3-45-331	100000	336336
		-00000	-00000-		000	300000
	7403030	0-00000	0220000	0	2-23030 2-33037	200300
	200004 200004			BET		
	00000	1 200000	300341	2-2-22	40-0004 0-0004	200200
	-4003003	1000000	10-7304	NONONON	******	230300
	20000		20-032M	I LCOUPME I	-meson !	
		AN-300-1	MAMMASS I	7-404MAI	44000000	363663
	2000030					200000
	1000000	10000111			100000000	300000
	2000	000	30		000	000000
				1-0-56		303300
-	2000	300	30 1	- ~!	202-1	030300
	10000000	2000000		11111111111111111111111111111111111111	40-00000000000000000000000000000000000	2000000
*			4003000			
						-003036
1			100000	+ COC 0:00 1	Surgana i	

Appendix 3

Gas Hills Mill, Pathfinder Mines Corporation (Docket Number 40-2259)

The Gas Hills Uranium Mill (formerly the Lucky Mc Mill) is operated by Pathfinder Mines Corporation (formerly Lucky Mc Corporation) in the Gas Hills region of Fremont County, Wyoming, about 40 km (25 miles) northeast of Jeffrey City. The mill capacity is assumed to be 4.8×10^5 MT of ore per year (NUREG-0357). The average ore grade is about $0.15\% U_3 O_8$. Although mines adjacent to the mill also could provide fresh water for ore processing, availability of hot [57°C (135°F)] well water at the site makes it advantageous from a process standpoint to use well water in the mill and to treat mine water for discharge.

The tailings system consists of six retention areas which are situated sequentially in a small natural ravine north-northwest of the mill and are dug into an underlying shale formation. Three of the six retention areas now contain tailings covering a total tailings area of approximately 223 acres. Two of the remaining three retention areas are now used for solution evaporation, while the third has been drained and is under construction to upgrade and enlarge the retention structure.

Results

Table 3.1 lists the dose commitments at the nearby Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills camp. This camp had a population of 185 people as of 1979. Table 3.1 shows the impacts from inhalation and external exposure (direct exposure pathway) as well as impacts from vegetable and meat ingestion. Parenthetical entries in Table 3.1 reflect a 90% reduction of meat ingestion dose commitments and a 95% reduction of vegetable ingestion dose commitments. As in the case for the FAP facility (see Appendix 2), information provided by the Fremont County agricultural office indicates that these reductions still provide a reasonably conservative estimate of local consumption and production patterns. Because most of the cattle are shipped outside the region, the 90% reduction adjustment to the dose commitments is a reasonably conservative estimate. Gardens exist in the surrounding environment, but the growing season is short, and the production is very low. Adjustment of the ingestion pathway contribution, in this case, significantly affects the predicted total dose commitments, especially in regard to the 40 CFR 190 limits. Table 3.2 gives the breakdown of the dose commitments along the various pathways at the nearest locations of Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills camp (3.0 km ENE), Federal-American Partners camp (3.1 km WSW), and Puddle Springs Ranch (6.1 km West). Doses from meat ingestion due to grazing of cattle in the area are also provided. Tables 3.3 and 3.4 respectively display the concentrations on the ground and in the air of the radionuclides of interest (U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226) at the Pathfinder Camp. These tables also indicate the specific mill activity and its contribution to the total concentrations. Table 3.5 presents the ground concentrations at the assigned meet ingestion exposure grazing location. (Concentrations in forage were usumed to be mostly the result of foliar deposition, with a smaller contribution from root uptake from the soil.)

Table 3.1 Results of MILDOS computer code evaluation

Mill Name: Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills

Docket Number: 40-2259

Date of Evaluation: January 1981

Residence of individual(s) receiving maximum dose: Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills Camp, 3.0 km ENE

*Direct Exposure Pathway (mrem):

Whole	Body	0.340
Bone		8.74
Lung		15.4

Grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose: 1.44 km NNE **Ingestion Pathway (mrem):

whole Body	3.27 (0.259)***
Bone	33.5 (2.65)
Lung	3.27 (0.259)

Composite Pathway Dose Totals (mrem):

Whole Body	3.61 (0.599)
Bone	42.2 (11.4)
Lung	18.7 (15.7)

*The direct exposure pathway is the sum of inhalation and gamma radiation exposure due to ground deposition and cloud immersion.

**The ingestion pathway includes the maximum dose due to ingestion of meat from locally grazed cattle and ingestion of vegetables grown at the residence location.

***Parenthetical values account for 5% of an individual's vegetable intake and 10% of an individual's meat intake being locally produced.

		Dose Comm	Dose Commitments (mrem)	
Location	Pathway	Whole Body		Lung
Pathfinder Mines		0.326	8.73	15.4
Gas Hills Camp	Ground Exposure	0.014	0.014	0.014
3.0 km ENE	Cloud Exposure	negl.	neq1.	neal.
	Vegetable Ingestion	1. 36 (0.058)*	14. I (0.705)*	1.36 (0.068)*
FAP Housing		0.064	1.73	3.87
Camp 3.1 km WSW		0.002	0.002	0.002
		negl.	negl.	neql.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.111 (0.006)*	1.17 (0.059)*	0.111 (0.006)*
Puddle Springs	Inhalation	0.023	0.623	1.36
Ranch 6.1 km	Ground Exposure	0.001	0.001	0.001
West	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	neal.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.044 (0.002)*	0.458 (0.023)*	0.044 (0.002)*
Grazing Site 1	Meat Ingestion	1.91 (0.191)**	19.4 (1.94)**	1.91 (0.191)**
Grazing Site 2	Meat Ingestion	1.89 (0.189)**	19.2 (1.92)**	1.89 (0.189)**
Grazing Site 3	Meat Ingestion	0.342 (0.034)**	3.50 (0.353)**	0.342 (0.034)**
Grazing Site 4	Meat Ingestion	0.310 (0.031)**	3.18 (0.318)**	0.310 (0.031)**
Grazing Site 5	Meat Ingestion	0.132 (0.013)**	1.35 (0.135)**	0.132 (0.013)**
*These values	In parentheses are based on th	are based on the 95% reduction due to local vegetable production and	to local vegetable	production and
consumption.			•	

Table 3.2 50-year adult dose commitments by pathway to each organ

A3-3

Table 3.3	Radionuclide	concentrations projected to occur on ground	
	at residence	of individual receiving maximum dose	

Facility name: Pat	hfinder Mines Gas	Hills	Do	cket number: 40	-2259	
Location of Maximum Individual: Pathfinder Mines Gas Hill Camp, 3.0 km ENE						
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	<u>Radionuclide</u> U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁸	
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	1.012E+03	5.058E+00	1.011E+00	
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	2.260E+02	2.260E+02	2.259E+02	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	2.694E+01	2.139E+02	2.145E+02	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	3.002E+02	2.388E+03	2.395E+03	

Location of Maximum	Individual: Path	finder Mines Gas Hill	s Camp, 3.0 km	ENE	
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pCi/m ³ Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.635E-03	1.310E-05	2.635E-06
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	5.888E-04	5.888E-04	5.887E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	7.018E-05	5.571E-04	5.590E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	8.865E-05	7.053E-04	7.077E-04

Facility name: Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills

Table 3.4 Airborne radionuclide concentrations projected to occur at residence of individual receiving maximum dose

A3-5

Docket number: 40-2259

Table 3.5	Radionuclide concentrations projected to occur on the ground
	at grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose

Facility name: Pat	hfinder Mines Ga	is Hills Camp		Docket num	ber: 40-2259	
Location of Maximum Meat Ingestion Pathway: 1.44 km NNE						
Type of Particle	Mean Dlameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pCi/m ² Ra ²²⁸	
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	1.728E+03	8.638E+00	1.727E+00	
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	4.363E+02	4.363E+02	4.361E+02	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	3.329E+02	2.854E+03	2.862E+03	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	4.665E+03	4.013E+04	4.025E+04	

Discussions

Based on the computer assessment as shown in Table 3.1, the Pathfinder Mines facility was projected to exceed compliance limits for 40 CFR 190, primarily due to the bone dose commitment of 42.2 mrem. However, it should be noted that almost 80% (33.5 mrem) of the bone dose commitment results from the ingestion pathway. Specifically, 14.1 mrem of the bone dose resulted from vegetable ingestion, and 19.4 mrem of the bone dose resulted from ingestion of locally produced meat (see Table 3.2). These contributions represent 33% and 46% of the total bone dose commitment, respectively. These figures are based on the assumption that 100% of an individual's meat and vegetable intake is locally produced. A general explanation of the methodology used in the ingestion pathway is given in Appendix 1 of this report.

Dose commitments which incorporate site-specific county base estimates for consumption of locally produced vegetables and meat are presented parenthetically in Tables 3.1 and 3.2. This adjustment reduces consumption of local vegetables by 95% and consumption of locally produced meat by 90%. The county base estimates indicate that these reductions are still reasonably conservative. As a result of this adjustment, the bone dose commitment is 11.4 mrem; and the lung dose commitment is the most significant at 15.7 mrem, most of which (98%) is from the inhalation pathway.

The NRC staff has made assumptions about exposure pathways, residence times and consumption patterns in the mill environs which are conservative, yet reasonable, in light of the NRC's responsibility to maintain public safety. With additional environmental data, further determinations can be made concerning these assumptions, and ultimately the environmental impact of this mill.

Assumptions for Computer Prediction

Table 3.6 presents the basic parameters and assumptions made in modeling the facility. Control factors, yellowcake emissions and tailings activities are presented with other parameters affecting the emission of radioactivity. Table 3.7 displays the emission (Curies/year) of the radionuclides in secular equilibrium from the handling of ore and the grizzly operation. From the rod mill crushing to the yellowcake precipitation, the process is wet and enclosed, and the NRC staff assumes only negligible radioactive emissions. Table 3.8 lists the tailings impoundment and radionuclide activity in the solid tailings (U-238 is usually depleted at this point of the cycle). NRC staff onsite visits confirm the natural cementing effect of the gypsum in the tailings solids. This mitigates, to a great extent, the surficial suspension of sands into the air for subsequent dispersal, but no quantitative estimates of the extent of emission reduction have been documented. Hence, no additional reduction because of the gypsum has been made. Table 3.9 presents the wind frequency data, which provides the site-specific mechanism for transport of radioactivity to offsite locations. The meteorological data originates from Casper, Wyoming, which is 101 km away, but was found to be in close agreement with the onsite meteorological profile.

Parameter	Description
Average ore grade	0.15%
Secular equilibrium activity (in pCi/g) of U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226 in the ore	423.6
Annual ore processing rate	480,000 MT/year
ellowcake production rate	710 MT/year
roduct purity	90% U308
mount of product released to atmosphere annually	0.710 MT/year
mission activity (in Ci/year) of -238 released to the atmosphere from rellowcake operations	0.181 Ci/year
horium released in yellowcake emission	9.05 x 10 ⁻⁴ Ci/year
adium released in yellowcake emission	1.81 x 10 ⁻⁴ Ci/year
ncontrolled emission rates from any ne mill stack or vent	See Table 3.7
Percent reduction factor from stack/vent mission control	See Table 3.7
rea of ore pad	10 acres
eduction factor for ore pad	0.0%
Areas for tailings impoundment(s) Tailings Pile 1 Tailings Pile 2 Tailings Pile 2a	.211 km² .300 km² .393 km²
Reduction factor for tailings areas	80%
lecovery rate	89%
Activity of U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226 in solid tailings	See Table 3.8

Table 3.6 Basic parameters used for MILDOS input

Table 3.6 (continued)

Parameter	Description
Length of grazing season	6 months
Fraction of stored cattle feed which is grown locally	0.0
Fraction of cattle feed which is pasture grazing	100%
Acreage required to graze one animal unit (450kg) for one month	9.0 acres
Relative joint frequency of wind speed, direction, and stability class	Table 3.9
Atmospheric mixing height	538.3 meters

Table 3.7	Natural	ore	emissions
-----------	---------	-----	-----------

Mill Name: Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills

Docket Number: 40-2259

Process Causing Emissions	Mass Loso Rate, lb/ton	Control Measures	Control Efficiency, %	Effective Mass Loss Rate, lb/ton	Annual Emissions, Ci/yr
Handling of Ore	. 05	None	0.0	.05	.0127
Trucking of Ore	. 10	None	0.0	. 10	.0254
Grizzly	. 05	None	0.0	. 05	.0127
				TOTAL	. 0508

Annual Mass Loss = (Effective Mass Loss Rate) ÷ 2000 · (Ore Throughput)

Activity Emission = (Annual Mass Loss) \cdot (2.5) \cdot (Ore Quality) \div 100 \cdot (.2824 $\frac{Ci}{MT}$ of U₃0₈)

Table 3.8 Tailings pond parameters

Mill Name: Pathfinder Mines Gas Hills Docket Number: 40-2259

.

.

		Activity	lings (pCi/g) Ra ²²⁶	
Tailings Pond Number	Area (km ²)	0238	Th ²³⁰	Razze
1	. 211	96.3	871.5	874.6
2	. 300	67.1	607.3	609.4
2a	. 393	67.1	607.3	609.4

Table 3.9 Meteorological data for Pathfinder Mines Gas Hill Mill

(Docket Number: 40-2259)

			A3-1	2			
101415	2000050 Ne00056	1 7000000		1 10450A	48-00007		.003
NNA	000000	1 3-0330N	100543005	1 2002020	1 254000-	00000000	909.
	4000000 9000000 9000000 9000000	200001 200001	000000 000000 000000		20000	2020000	.415
MAN		1 3040000 1 3040000	1 NAVANON	1 2002200	A50004		+19.
z	11200000	1 100000	1 2002000		141000A	3303030	115.
		1 2700004		I DMA-NOT	MOSSERVA	3113303	501.
e c		1 100000		1 1000000	100004	2222222	482.
HUN SSW		1 100001	1 1777304		100001	1 00000001 00000001	522
(N) 15	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		1 1.1.1.00	1 2-00-0-0 1 25-0-01 1 0-0-0	100000		.262
2.5E		1 000000	2420000	1 000000000000000000000000000000000000	10-10000 10-10000 10-10000	00000000	.140
ATES WI	1000000	1 1010000		100000	1 100000		129.
UN INDIC		1 400007				3330033	.839
HECTI		1 5-20000	100000 A		-440001	5363036 36906331 28506331	119.
HEENI . D		11122000	-34-335	-NA-0000	1400004	2222222	. 344
NE PE	**************************************				4403304	22220221	. 324
L UUL W	0000 L	220000 - 220 200000- 220 20000- 220			1000000	40000000 2000000 2000000 2000000	.081
4 1110		100000	100000			-0000000	.110
- 1		onnonno	onnorth	 onnonno 	<nnonna< td=""><td></td><td>111</td></nnonna<>		111

. .

Appendix 4

Shirley Basin Mill, Pathfinder Mines Corporation (Docket Number 40-6622)

Pathfinder Mines Corp. (formerly Lucky Mc Uranium Corp.) operates the Shirley Basin Uranium Mill in an area of plains and rolling hills about 72 km (45 miles) south of Casper, Wyoming. The mill is autogenous, with no preliminary crushing. There are no conveyors in the plant. Static-bed resin columns extract uranium following acid leaching. The mill throughput is 1630 MT of ore per day. The present tailings pond covers about 61 ha (150 acres).

Results

Table 4.1 lists the dose commitments at the Heward Ranch and shows the impacts from inhalation and external exposure (direct exposure pathway), as well as impacts from vegetable and meat ingestion. Table 4.2 gives the breakdown of the dose commitments for the various pathways at the town of Shirley Basin (8.0 km S) and the Heward Ranch (8.0 km E). Doses from meat ingestion due to grazing of cattle in the area are also provided. Tables 4.3 and 4.4 respectively display the concentrations on the ground and in the air of the parent radionuclides of interest (U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226). These tables also indicate the specific mill activity and its contribution to the total concentrations. Table 4.5 presents the ground concentrations at the assumed meat ingestion exposure grazing location. (Concentrations in forage were assumed to be mostly the result of foliar deposition, with a smaller contribution from root uptake from the soil.)

Discussion

Based on the computer assessment as shown in Table 4.1, the Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin facility was projected to comply with limits for 40 CFR Part 190. The NRC staff has made assumptions about exposure pathways, and residence times in the mill environs which are conservative, yet reasonable, in light of the NRC's responsibility to maintain public safety. As additional environmental data become available, further determinations can be made concerning the environmental impact of this mill. The largest organ dose was the bone dose (18.0 mrem), but over 85% of this dose resulted from ingestion. In fact, 77% of the total bone dose results from meat ingestion. The assumption that 100% of an individual's meat supply is locally produced tends to be conservative. A general explanation of this assumption and other facets of the methodology used in the predictive assessment relating to the ingestion pathway can be found in Appendix 1 of this report. The total impacts may be conservative; and as more information becomes available concerning local food production, estimates will be revised accordingly.

Assumptions for Computer Prediction

Table 4.6 presents the basic parameters and assumptions made in modeling the Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin facility. Control factors, yellowcake emissions and tailings activities are presented with other parameters affecting the emission of radioactivity. Table 4.7 displays the emission (Curies/year) of the parent radionuclides in secular equilibrium from ore pad handling and

Table 4.1 Results of MILDOS computer code evaluation

Mill Name: Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin Docket Number: 40-6622 Date of Evaluation: January 1981

Residence of individual(s) receiving maximum dose: Heward Ranch, 8.0 km E *Direct Exposure Pathway (mrem):

Whole Body	0.092
Bone	2.53
Lung	5.04

Grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose: 1.47 km NNE **Ingestion Pathway (mrem):

Whole	body	1.52
Bone		15.5
Lung		1.52

Composite Pathway Dose Totals (mrem):

whole	Body	1.61
Bone		18.0
Lung		6.56

*The direct exposure pathway is the sum of inhalation, and gamma radiation exposure due to ground deposition and cloud immersion.

**The ingestion pathway considers the maximum dose due to the ingestion of meat from locally grazed cattle and ingestion of vegetables grown at the residence location. Table 4.2 50-year adult dose commitments by pathway to each organ

Facility name: Pathfinder Mine: Shirley Basin

Docket number: 40-6622

		Dose Co)	
Location	Pathway	Whole Body	Bone	Lung
Heward Ranch	Inhalation	0.090	2.53	5.04
8.0 km E	Ground Exposure	0.002	0.002	0.002
	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	negl.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.159	1.67	0.159
Shirley Basin	Inhalation	0.043	1.20	2.50
(Town)	Ground Exposure	0.001	0.001	0.001
8.0 km S	Cloud Exposure	neg].	negl.	negl.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.056	0.595	0.056
Grazing Site 1	Meat Ingestion	1.36	13.8	1.36
Grazing Site 2	Meat Ingestion	0.622	6.32	0.622
Grazing Site 3	Meat Ingestion	0.572	5.84	0.572
Grazing Site 4	Meat Ingestion	0.156	1.61	0.156

Table 4.3	Radionuclide	concentrations projected to occur on ground	
		of individual receiving maximum dose	

Facility name: Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin			Docket number: 40-6622		
Location of Maximum	Individual: Heward				
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations Th ²³⁰	pCi/m ² Ra ²²⁶
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.934E+02	1.467E+00	2.933E-01
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	1.112E+02	1.112E+02	1.112E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	1.894E+00	2.328E+01	2.336E+01
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	1.715E+01	1.921E+02	1.928E+02

A4-4

Facility name: Path	Docket number:	40-6622			
Location of Maximum	Individual: Hewar	d Ranch, 8.0 km E			
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pCi/m ³ Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	7.642E-04	3.821E-06	7.642E-07
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	2.898E-04	2.898E-04	2.897E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	4.933E-06	6.064E-05	6.087E-05
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	5.064E-06	5.674E-05	5.695E-05

Table 4.4 Airborne radionuclide concentrations projected to occur at residence of individual receiving maximum dose

Table 4.5	Radionuclide concentrations projected to occur on the ground
	at grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose

Facility name: Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin				Docket number:		
Location of Maximum	Meat Ingestion Pa	thway: 1.47 km NNE				
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclid U ²³⁸	e Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁸	
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.210E+03	1.105E+01	2.209E+00	
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	9.304E+02	9.304E+02	9.300E+02	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	9.738E+01	2.030E+03	2.037E+03	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	1.362E+03	2.795E+04	2.805E+04	

Parameter	Description
Average ore grade	0.2%
Secular equilibrium activity (in pCi/g) of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in the ore	565.0
Annual ore processing rate	533,000 MT/year
Yellowcake production rate	1137 MT/year
Product purity	90% U30s
Amount of product released to atmosphere annually	1.137 MT/year
Emission activity (in Ci/year) of U-238 released to the atmosphere from yellowcake operations	0.289 Ci/year
Thorium released in yellowcake emission	1.45 x 10 ⁻³ Ci/year
Radium released in yellowcake emission	2.89 x 10 ⁻⁴ Ci/year
Uncontrolled emission rates from any one mill stack or vent	See Table 4.7
Percent reduction factor from stack/vent emission control	See Table 4.7
Area of ore pad	14 acres
Reduction factor for ore pad	0.0%
Areas for tailings impoundments Tailings Pile 1 Tailings Pile 2	125 acres 125 acres
Reduction factor for tailings areas	80%

Table 4.6 Basic parameters used for MILDOS input

Table 4.6 (continued)	6 (continued)
-----------------------	---------------

Parameter	Description	
Recovery rate	96%	-
Activity of U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226 in solid tailings (pCi/g)		
U-238 Th-230 Ra-226	22.6 562.2 564.4	
Length of grazing season	5 months	
Fraction of stored cattle feed which is grown locally	0.0	
Fraction of cattle feed which is pasture grazing	100%	
Acreage required to graze one animal unit (450kg) for one month	9.0 acres	
Relative joint frequency of wind speed, direction, and stability class	Table 4.9	
Atmospheric mixing height	598.2 meters	

Process Causing Emissions	Mass Loss Rate, 1b/ton	Control Measures	Control Efficiency, X	Effective Mass Loss Rat 1b/ton	e, Annual Emissions Ci/yr
Crusher & Grinder	. 2	Autogenous	100%	. 00	0.0
Dump to Grizzly	.2	None	0%	. 20	.07528
Ore Pad Handling	. 15	None	0%	. 15 •	. 05646
				TO	TAL . 13174

Table 4.7 Natural ore emissions

Mill Name: Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin

Docket Number: 40-6622

Annual Mass Loss = (Effective Mass Loss Rate) ÷ 2000 · (Ore Throughput)

Activity Emission = (Annual Mass Loss) \cdot (2.5) \cdot (Ore Quality) \div 100 \cdot (.2824 $\frac{Ci}{MT}$ of $U_3 0_8$)

receiving. From the cascade mill crushing to the yellowcake precipitation, the process is wet and enclosed, and the NRC staff assumed only negligible radioactive emissions. Table 4.8 lists the tailings impoundment and radionuclide activity in the solid tailings (U-238 is usually depleted at this point of the cycle). Table 4.9 is the wind frequency data, which provides the site-specific mechanism for transport of radioactivity to offsite locations. The meteorological data originates from Casper, Wyoming which is 77 km away, but was the only available data for this region in Wyoming.

Table 4.8 Tailings pond parameters

Mill Name: Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin

Docket Number: 40-6622

Tailings Source		Activity Content of Tailings U238 Th ²³⁰ Ra ²²⁸		
Area Numbers	Area (km²)	0238	Th ²³⁰	Razze
1	0.5	22.5	562.2	564.4
2	0.5	22.6	562.2	564.4

Table 4.9 Meteorological data for Pathfinder Mines Shirley Basin Mill

(Docket Number: 40-6622)

		A	4-11	1			
TUTALS	3700000			1 250-55			
****		1 4700000	1 0444000		1 20-2000	0000000	
	40000000	1 7479999	1 204000	******	1 301000	20200220	
NNI	110000000	1 2000000			1 244000		
	*******		·		1 7770307	2000000	
	24000000 74000000 74000000	1 3-00000				30333003	
	**************************************	1 100000		1 70-7073	********	2020200	
	3000000 3000000 30000000 30000000 3000000		-77730-			0000000	
	220000 - 00 00000 - 00 0000 - 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 - 0000 0000 - 0000 0000 0000 - 0000 0000 0000 - 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000		1 1.1.1.00	1 3-1050-0 1 35-45-07 1 3-15-00	1 100000	1 20002000	
	11000000	1 4-00000	\$000000 B	1 0253MD4	1 2-23000	33333333	1404
	*******	1 2070000		1 100000	40-0007		4212
	**************************************			1 2005400-			1395
-	1000000	140000 140000	2514000 2504000 250000	9443404	14400001	30000000	.6176
8	2000000	1000000			1013501	22202221	\$ 405.
	******	-440004	20-2020	**************************************		200 2001	2456.
				********	A	*******	0812
01111V		**************************************		10000000	- 0001		201
	A		Contraction of the local division of the loc				

Appendix 5

Shirley Bacin Mill, Petrotomics (Docket Number 40-6659)

Petrotomics' Shirley Basin Uranium Mill is located in a hilly area about 77 km (48 miles) south of Casper in the eastern Shirley Basin area of Wyoming. The mill capacity is 910 MT of ore per day. The tailings retention system currently consists of the main tailings pond [65 ha (160 acres)] and three catchment basins and emergency dams which collect and return lateral seepage or overflow from the tailings area.

Results

Table 5.1 lists the dose commitments at the nearby town of Shirley Basin and shows the impacts from inhalation and external exposure (direct exposure pathway), as well as impacts from vegetable and meat ingestion. This town had a population of 710 people as of 1970. Table 5.2 gives the breakdown of the dose commitments along the various pathways at both of the nearest locations of Shirley Basin (3.2 km S) and the Heward Ranch (8 km NE). Doses from meat ingestion due to grazing of cattle in the area are also provided. Tables 5.3 and 5.4 respectively display the concentrations on the ground and in the air of the parent radio-nuclides of interest (U-238, Th-230 and Ra-226) that contribute to the exposures presented in Table 5.1. These tables also indicate the specific mill activity and its contribution to the total concentrations. Table 5.5 presents the ground concentrations at the assumed meat ingestion exposure grazing location. (Concentrations in forage were assumed to be mostly the result of foliar deposition, with a smaller contribution from root uptake from the soil.)

Discussion

Based on the computer assessment as shown in Table 5.1, the Petrotomics facility is projected to be within compliance limits for 40 CFR Part 190, since the estimated bone and lung doses were both below 10 mrem. The NRC staff has made assumptions about exposure pathways and residence times in the mill environs which are conservative, yet reasonable, in light of the NRC's responsibility to maintain public safety (see Appendix 1 of this report). As additional environmental data become available, further determinations can be made concerning the environmental impact of this mill.

Assumptions for Computer Prediction

Table 5.6 presents the basic parameters and assumptions made in modeling the Petrotomics facility. Control factors, yellowcake emissions and tailings activities are presented with other parameters affecting the emission of radioactivity. Table 5.7 displays the emission (Curies/year) of the parent radionuclides in secular equilibrium from the transporting of ore to the grizzly up to the fine ore storage bins. From the rod mill crushing through the solvent extraction circuit, the process is wet and enclosed, and the NRC staff assumed only negligible radioactive emissions. Table 5.8 lists the tailings impoundment and radionuclide activity in the solid tailings (U-238 is

Table 5.1 Results of MILDOS computer code evaluation

Mill Name: Petrotomics

Docket Number: 40-6659

Date of Evaluation: January 1981

Residence of individual(s) receiving maximum* dose: Shirley Basin (Town), 3.2 km S

**Direct Exposure Pathway (mrem):

Whole	Body	0.168
Bone		4.23
Lung		9.05

Grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose: 1.68 km NE ***Ingestion Pathway (mrem):

/hole	body	0.528
Bone		5.52
Lung		0.528

Composite Pathway Dose Totals (mrem):

whole	Body	0.696
Bone		9.75
Lung		9.58

*Shirls Basin (Town) showed a 92% higher lung dose than the Heward Ranch, but shower 0.2% lower bone dose.

**The direct exposure pathway is the sum of inhalation, and gamma radiation exposure due to ground deposition and cloud immersion.

***The ingestion pathway considers the maximum dose due to the ingestion of meat from locally grazed cattle, and ingestion of vegetables grown at the residence location.

lable 5.2 In	ie :	50-year	adult	dose	commitments	by	pathway	to	each or	gan
--------------	------	---------	-------	------	-------------	----	---------	----	---------	-----

Facility	/ name:	Pel	trot	tomi	CS
----------	---------	-----	------	------	----

Docket number: 40-6659

		Dose Commitments (mrem)			
Location	Pathway	Whole body	Bone	Lung	
Shirley Basin	Inhalation	0.151	4.21	9.03	
(Town)	Ground Exposure	0.017	0.017	0.017	
3.2 km S	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	negl.	
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.184	1.96	0 184	
Heward Ranch	Inhalation	0.092	2.55	4.29	
B.O km NE	Ground Exposure	0.016	0.016	0.016	
	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	negl.	
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.348	3.64	0.348	
Grazing Site 1	Meat Ingestion	0.344	3.56	0.344	
Grazing Site 2	Meat Ingestion	0.249	2.59	0.249	
Grazing Site 3	Meat Ingestion	0.117	1.21	0.117	
Grazing Site 4	Meat Ingestion	0.065	0.677	0.065	
Grazing Site 5	Meat Ingestion	0.057	0.589	0.057	

Table 5.3	Radionuclide	concentrations projected to occur on ground	
	at residence	of individual receiving maximum dose	

Facility name: Pet	rotomics			Docket number:	40-6659	
Location of Maximum Individual: Shirley Basin (Town), 3.2 km S						
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pCi/m ² Ra ²²⁶	
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.678E+03	1.345E+01	2.672E+00	
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	9.693E+02	9.693E+02	9.673E+02	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	1.194E+01	6.112E+02	6.119E+01	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	1.237E+02	• 6.099E+02	6.107E+02	

A5-4

Table 5.4	Airborne radionuclide concentrations projected to occur	
	at residence of individual receiving maximum dose	

Facility name: Petr	rotomics			Docket numbe	er: 40-6659
Location of Maximum	Individual: Shir	ley Basin (Town), 3.	2 km S		
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations Th ²³⁰	Ra ²²⁰
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	1.475E-03	7.410E-06	1.475E-06
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	5.341E-04	5.341E-04	5.341E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	6.578E-06	3.368E-05	3.379E-05
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	7.730E-06	3.811E-05	3.8235-05

Table 5.5	Radionuclide concentrations	projected to occur	on the ground at
	grazing location correspond	ing to maximum meat	ingestion dose

Facility name: Pe	Docket number:	40-6659				
Location of Maximum Meat Ingestion Pathway: 1.68 km NE						
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁶	
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	1.333E+04	6.694E+01	1.330E+01	
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	4.826E+03	4.826E+03	4.816E+03	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	4.847E+02	2.269E+03	2.271E+03	
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	6.384E+03	2.833E+04	2.836E+04	

Description
0.155%
438.0
582,000 MT/year
863.0 MT/year
94% U ₃ 0 ₈
0.863 MT/year
0.229 Ci/year
1.15 x 10 ⁻³ Ci 'year
2.29 x 10 ⁻⁴ Ci/year
See Table 5.7
See Table 5.7
22 acres
0.0%
80 acres 80 acres
80%

Table 5.6 Basic parameters used for MILDOS input

A5-7

Tabi	0	5	5 1	COR	+ 4	nued)
, and	-	.	× 1	10011	Pr 1	nueu)

Parameter	Description
Recovery rate	90%
Activity of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in solid tailings (pCi/g)	
U-238 Th-230 Ra-226	43.8 435.8 437.6
Length of grazing season	6 months
Fraction of stored cattle feed which is grown locally	0.0%
Fraction of cattle feed which is pasture grazing	100%
Acreage required to graze one animal unit (450kg) for one month	9.0 acres
Relative joint frequency of wind speed, direction, and stability class	Table 5.9
Atmospheric mixing height	598.2 meters

Process Causing Emissions	Mass Loss Rate, lb/ton	Control Measures	Control Efficiency, %	Effective Mass Loss Rate, lb/ton	Annual Emissions, C1/yr
Crushing and Grinding	0.2	Baghouse	95	0.01	.00319
Conveyor	0.1	Rain Hood	50	0.05	.0159
Dump to Grizzly	0.05	None	0	0.05	.0159
Ore Pad Handling and Unloading	0.15	None	0	0.15	. 0478
TOTAL				TOTAL	. 0829

Table 5.7 Natural ore emissions

Docket Number: 40-6659

Annual Mass Loss = (Effective Mass Loss Rate) ÷ 2000 · (Ore Throughput)

Mill Name: Petrotomics

Activity Emission = (Annual Mass Loss) \cdot (2.5) \cdot (Ore Quality) \div 100 \cdot (.2824 $\frac{Ci}{MT}$ of $U_3 0_8$)

			-		
- 24	-	-	- 1	n	
~	-		*	v	

Table 5.8 Tailings pond parameters

Mill Name: Petrotomics

6

Docket Number: 40-6659

Tailings Source		Activity (Content of Tailin Th ²³⁰	gs (pCi/g)
Area Number	Area (km²)	0238	Th230	Ra ²²⁸
1	. 325	43.8	435.8	437.6
2	. 325	43.8	435.8	437.6

usually depleted at this point of the cycle). Table 5.9 is the wind frequency data, which provides the site-specific mechanism for transport of radioactivity to offsite locations. The meteorological data originates from Casper, Wyoming, which is 77 km away, but was the only available data for this region in Wyoming.

Table 5.9 Meteorological data for Petrotomics Mill

(Docket Number: 40-6659)

:			A5-11			
*********	2700030 2700030 5700030 5700030 5700030 5700030 5700030 50030	F000000	10000	220-0-0	44-0004	22222202
	**************************************	4700000	0454005	-474-94	454000- 454000- 454000-	******
	400000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000000	20400000 I	1100000	121000m	20000000
	121000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 4040000 1 0000000		20004-0	7640000	*****
	242000000 242000000 2420000000 2420000000					33868383
	9500000 47200000 472000000 472000000	********			NNE3307	******
	**************************************	100000	-720000	10-4044	5750000	2222222
		+02020-	-77730-			0000000 0000000 0000000
	4000 - 0 0000 - 0 0000 - 0 0000 - 0	5-00004 5-00005	1.1.1.1.00 	1 10101010	100000 NO 0000	2001000
*****	10000001.00	0-00000	0410000	1 2223MOV	240000 I	00000000
		1 100000		7737702	43-0336	
	**************************************	*-00007 *-00007		1 242203-		3404333
	1000000	5-2030A	3-40307	1 0775404	-47990-	
	1000000 1000000 1000000			- 140-04	-4000000	******
	100000	********	20-2022	0400-0-	4403334	30339033
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	200002	103-003M	1.		4
	-30000033			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
. ;	annonno	annonno	annonne	Convorus	ennonno	

Appendix 6

Rio Algom Humeca Mill (Docket Number 40-8084)

The Rio Algom Humeca mine-mill complex is located in a valley in a mountainous region in Utah, about 48 km (30 miles) southeast of Moab. Ore is obtained from underground mines located adjacent to the mill. The mill capacity is about 680 MT of ore per day.

The tailings impoundment consists of two tailings ponds situated in a westtrending drainage area of slightly more than a square mile. At of the end of 1979, about 1.6 x 10⁶ MT (1.8 x 10⁶ ST) of ore had been processed at the mill, resulting in about 1.1 x 10⁶ m³ (940 acre-ft) of tailings contained in the two tailings ponds.

Results

Table 6.1 lists the dose commitments at the closest trailer camp residence and shows the impacts from inhalation and external exposure (the direct exposure pathway), as well as impacts from vegetable and meat ingestion. Table 5.2 gives the breakdown of the dose commitments along the various pathways at the locations of the closest trailer, the Redd Ranch (5.33 km NNE) and the Blankenagel Ranch (5.00 km WNW). Doses from meat ingestion due to grazing of cattle in the area are also provided. Table 6.1 and 6.2 also present parenthetical values which reflect a 90% reduction of ingestion of locally produced meats and a 95% reduction of locally produced vegetables. These adjustments appear to be reasonable in the western uranium mining and milling regions such as wyoming and Utah. However, dairy animals are located at the local ranches, and Table 6.2 reflects that the total milk intake at the ranches is produced at the ranch locations. Information on the regional agricultural industry indicates that these adjustments are still reasonably conservative. Further explanation of the ingestion pathway methodology is presented in Appendix 1 of this report. Tables 6.3 and 6.4 respectively display the concentrations on the ground and in the air of the parent radionuclides of interest (U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226). These tables also indicate the specific mill activity and its contribution to the total concentrations. Table 6.5 presents the ground concentrations at the assumed meat ingestion exposure grazing location. (Concentrations in forage were assumed to be mostly the result of foliar deposition, with a smaller contribution from root uptake from the soil.)

Discussion

Based on the computer assessment as shown in Table 6.1, the Rio Algom Humeca facility is projected to comply with 40 CFR 190. The NRC staff has made assumptions about exposure pathways, and residence times in the mill environs which are conservative, yet reasonable, in light of the NRC's responsibility to maintain public safety. Tables 6.1 and 6.2 indicate that the two primary concerns are inhalation impacts to the lung and ingestion impacts to the bone to the nearest resident. The ingestion pathway contribution is two-thirds of the total bone exposure. However, adjustments to reflect the inherent

Table 6.1 Results of MILDOS computer code evaluation

Mill Name: Rio Algom Humeca Docket Number: 40-8084 Date of Evaluation: January 1981

Residence of individual(s) receiving maximum dose: Trailer Camp, 2.5 km N *Direct Exposure Pathway (mrem):

Whole	Body	0.395
Bone		9.65
Lung		23.4

Grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose: 0.57 km SW **Ingestion Pathway (mrem):

Whole body	1.82 (0.133)***
Bone	19.0 (1.38)
Lung	1.82 (0.133)

Composite Pathway Dose Totals (mrem):

whole	Body	2.	22	(0.	528)
Bone		28.	7	(11.	0)
Lung				(23.	

*Direct exposure results from inhalation, and gamma radiation exposure due to ground deposition and cloud immersion.

**The ingestion pathway considers the maximum dose due to the ingestion of meat from locally grazed cattle, and the ingestion of vegetables grown at the residence location.

***Parenthetical values account for 5% of an individual's vegetable intake and 10% of an individual's meat intake being locally produced.

			Commitments (mrem)	
Location	Pathway	Whole Body	Bone	Lung
Trailer Camp	Inhalation	0.380	9.64	23.4
2.5 km North	Ground Exposure	0.015	0.015	0.015
	Cloud Exposure	negl. 🐇	negl.	negl.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.993 (0.050)	10.04 (0.520)	0.993 (0.050)*
Redd Ranch	Inhalation	0.072	1.93	4.06
5.33 km NNE	Ground Exposure	0.002	0.002	0.002
	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	negl.
	Vegetable Ingestion	$0.187 (0.009)^3$		0.187 (0.009)*
	Milk Ingestion	0.175	1.78	0.175
Blankenagel	Inhalation	0.055	1.40	3.96
Ranch	Ground Exposure	0.002	0.002	0.002
5.00 km WNW	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	negl.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.034 (0.002)		0.034 (0.002)*
	Milk Ingestion	0.007	0.073	0.007
Grazing Point 1	Meat Ingestion	0.830 (0.083)	** 8.55 (0.855)**	0.830 (0.083)**
Grazing Site 2	Meat Ingestion	0.584 (0.058)	* 5.99 (0.599)**	0.584 (0.0584)**
irazing Site 3	Meat Ingestion	0.418 (0.042)	4.38 (0.438)**	0.418 (0.042)**
Grazing Site 4	Meat Ingestion	0.243 (0.024)	* 2.50 (0.250)**	0.243 (0.024)**

Table 6.2 50-year adult dose commitments by pathway to each organ

Facility name: Rio Algona Humeca

.

Docket number: 40-8084

*These values in parentheses are based on the 95% reduction due to local vegetable production and consumption.

**These values in parentheses are based on the 90% reduction due to local meat production and consumption.

at residence of individual receiving maximum dose	Table 6.3	Radionuclide concentrations projected to occur on ground at residence of individual receiving maximum dose
---	-----------	--

Facility name: Rio	Algom Humeca			Docket number	: 40-8084
Location of Maximum	Individual: Trai	ler Camp, 2.5 km North			
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.265E+03	1.133E+01	2.264E+00
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	2.899E+02	2.899E+02	2.898E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	1.402E+01	1.374E+02	1.379E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	1.635E+02	1.603E+03	1.609E+03

A6-4

Table 6.4	Airborne	radionuclide	concentrations projected to
	occur at	residence of	individual receiving maximum dose

Facility name: Ric	Algom Humeca			Docket number	: 40-8084
Location of Maximum	ılndividual: Trai	ler Camp, 2.50 km N			
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pCi/m ³ Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	5.900E-03	2.950E-05	5.900E-06
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	7.551E-04	7.551E-04	7.551E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	3.651E-05	3.579E-04	3.593E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	4.830E-05	4.735E-04	4.753E-04

A6-5

Table 6.5 Radionuclide concentrations projected to occur on the ground at grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose

Facility name: Rio Algom Humeca

Decket number: 40-8084

Location of Maximum Meat Ingestion Pathway: 0.57 km SW

Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	1.740E+04	8.698E+01	1.739E+01
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	1.398E+04	1.398E+04	1.397E+04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	3.191E+01	3.052E+02	3.062E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	4.427E+02	4.213E+03	4.228E+03

46-6

conservatism of the ingestion pathway, as described in the results section, show that this contribution is likely to be as little as 13% of the total impact. The lung inhalation exposure is still extremely close to the 40 CFR 140 Standard, and the results of the EMP will be used to resolve any uncertainties raised by the predictive assessment.

Among the assumptions adopted for all of the facilities is the reduction of emissions from tailings impoundments because of control measures. A standard degree of mitigation was pre-established as 20% of the available emission. However, there is some uncertainty that this level of mitigation is achieved at this particular site. The results of the environmental monitoring program will be used to resolve this and any other uncertainties in the initial 40 CFR 190 implementation efforts.

Assumptions for Computer Prediction

Table 6.6 presents the basic parameters and assumptions made in modeling the facility. Control factors, yellowcake emissions and tailings activities are presented with other parameters affecting the emission of radioactivity. Table 6.7 displays the emission (Curies/year) of the parent radionuclides in secular equilibrium from the unloading of ore, through to the grizzly and up to the fine ore storage bins. From the ball mill crushing to the yellowcake precipitation, the process is wet and enclosed, and the NRC staff assumed only negligible radioactive emissions. Table 6.8 is the wind frequency data, which provides the site-specific mechanism for transport of radioactivity to offsite locations. The meteorological data originates from Hanksville, Utah which, although 130 km away, provided the most compatible data available for this region in Utah.

Parameter	Description
Average ore grade	0.36%
Secular equilibrium activity (in pCi/g) of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in the ore	1017
Annual ore processing rate	248,510 MT/year
Yellowcake production rate	894.6 MT/year
Product purity	90% U308
Amount of product released to atmosphere annually	0.895 MT/year
Emission activity (in Ci/year) of U-238 released to the atmosphere from yellowcake operations	0.228 Ci/year

Table 5.5 Basic parameters used for MILDOS input

Table 6.6 (continued)

Parameter	Description
Thorium released in yellowcake emission	1.14 x 10 ⁻³ Ci/year
Radium to released in yellowcake emission	2.28 x 10 ⁻⁴ Ci/year
incontrolled emission rates from any one mill stack or vent	See Table 6.7
ercent reduction factor from stack/vent mission control	See Table 6.7
rea of ore pad	2.4 acres
eduction factor for ore pad	50%
rea for tailings impoundment	127 acres
eduction factor for tailings area	80%
ecovery rate	90%
ctivity of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 n solid tailings (pCi/g)	
U-238 Th-230 Ra-226	101.7 1012 1016
ength of grazing season	6 months
raction of stored cattle feed hich is grown locally	0.0
raction of cattle feed which is asture grazing	100%
creage required to graze one nimal unit (450kg) for one month	9.0 acres
elative joint frequency of wind beed, direction, and stability class	Table 6.8
tmospheric mixing height	537.9 meters

Process Causing Emissions	Mass Loss Rate, ìb/ton	Control Measures	Control Efficiency, %	Effective Mass Loss Rate, 1b/ton	Annual Emissions, Ci/yr
Coarse Ore Bin	. 05	Dust Collector	95%	.0025	. 00079
Transfer (2)	.2	Dust Collector	95%	.01	.00316
Crusher	. 2	Dust Collector	95%	. 01	.00316
Dump to Grizzly	. 05	Water Spraying	50%	. 025	. 00790
Conveyors (2)	. 2	Rain Coverhoods	50%	.1	.03159
Fine Ore Bin and Handling	. 05	None	0%	. 05	. 01580
Ore Pad Handling	. 15	Water Spraying	50%	. 075	. 02369
				TOTAL	.08609

Table 6.7	Natural	ore em	issions
-----------	---------	--------	---------

Mill Name: Rto Algom Humeca

Docket Number: 40-8084

Annual Mass Loss = (Mass Loss Rate) ÷ 2000 · (Ore Throughput)

Activity Emission = (Annual Mass Loss) \cdot (2.5) \cdot (Ore Quality) \div 100 \cdot (.2824 $\frac{Ci}{MT}$ of $U_3 O_8$)

Table 6.8 Meteorological data for Rio Algom Humeca Mill

(Docket Number: 40-8084)

1							1								1								1															1							
	1.1720	. 75.40			.000.	 2.9110								14.35*0			2.5250		. 1454	2020	.0720	11.2.74		. 1540		5.4400	7.4710	2.7500	1.2130					0.000		0.000			30.4000		0.000		0000.0		
	.1.1.					 			1	۰.	۰,				:							•			•	• •		*		-	: · ·						. 1296		1.4250	. 4440	0.0000				
						 								1.0890		- 0							τ.						.1040							0.000.0				.4130					
******	2	:		:	:		1	Take						.0110.		1120		.2020	.0340		. uoza	. 7014			1540		. 104.							0.000					0110.1	. 4840			0.000.0	0.0000	
		.0170				 								.1140				.0740	.0100	0.0000		.151.		. 1210										0.000	0.0000		. 3040		1.1740				0.000	00 0.0000 0.0000	
		. 0404	0.000			 		11900						. 4404		. 11 Vu	0.00.	.1280	.0100			.1470				. 2510													.4114.	.1.14					
	.1080					 								. 4554		.2720	.1420	.2440	esul.	.0430	of 10.	. 6248	******		1000		1.0100	. 4260					. 3100												
	.1410					 . 1620		74.24						1.1220		4110	.1520	. 1250	.2170	.1010	.0400	1.11.1		. 1 8 0 8	1370	4224.	. 7810	. 520					. 2570	. 3500			. 4030		8420-1	.1450					
			6.000.8			 .1.2.		1.1246					0.000	1.7250				. 3270	.1050	.0230		1.1290	******	-1754		. 1500	.5500	.1.70							0.080.4		. 1750			.2230		+nn0.0			
******	2744		0.0000					. 2744		. 1500			.0000	1.8429	*****	4120	2430	1110	7	. 0250	. 404.	.1350	******		2110		. 3040							6009	. 4494	. 2030 .			. 4570	\$999	. 0000				
		.0470				 . 1410		1.1544						1.3540	******	. 1710	.2170	.2000	-0110-	.0020			******			. 2510		. 4150						. 0000		. 4900		***							
*******	.2420	.1030				 								1.1974		A114.	.2420	.2414	. 4470			. 7494	*****	1340	1440	2086	*****						0250.							. 4440					
*******	.1444	.0340				 					0.0030	0.000		1.1520	******	.2040	.1970							.1340	.0740	.0514								0.0044											
		.0180												+24+		.1410	.0474	P\$40.				. 1710		.0010								0201		.0004.0	0.000				0000.1	****				0000.	******
******	.1320								.1100	.0150.				.191.		. 2776	.1+14	.1120		.0040		. 3410	*****	.1144	.1190	. 1110						. 2216		.0000					1.1539				Band"	. 7140	******
									. 1140	.0120.				. 5 . 7 0	1 183 1	.1194	.101.							.0410	.0990	1006						2020	.2020	.0000											*******
							1111 6	. \$344	.1120					. 7310	11177 6	. 1140	.1.10	.1130					1114 64	.1970	. 1116						1111 6	.1710	. 3440					11 11 11					1994	1500	******
						 	9116				11.1			11								-		1.1						*****	-														

Appendix 7

Exxon Minerals Highland Mill (Docket Number 40-8102)

Exxon's Highland mine and mill complex is in an area of rolling hills and stream valleys 97 km (60 miles) northeast of Casper, Wyoming in the Powder River Basin. The uranium ore processed at the mill is currently extracted from Exxon's surface mines and underground mine on the Highland property. The mill also produces uranium concentrate from solutions and slurries containing uranium recovered from tailings solutions, and from a pilot in situ leaching process operated at Highland. The Highland mill processes approximately 1,600,000 MT per year of ore. The tailings pond covers an area of about 70 ha (170 acres).

Results

Table 7.1 lists the dose commitments at the Fowler Ranch. Moreover, Table 7.1 shows the impacts from inhalation and external exposure (the direct exposure pathway), as well as impacts from vegetable and meat ingestion. Table 7.2 gives the breakdown of the dose commitments along the various pathways at the nearest locations, which are the Fowler Ranch (4.3 km NE) and the Golden Eagle Mine (5.5 km WNW). Doses from meat ingestion due to grazing of cattle in the area are also provided. Tables 7.3 and 7.4 respectively display the concentrations on the ground and in the air of the parent radionuclides of interest (U-238, Th-230, and Ra-225) at the Fowler Ranch. These tables also indicate the specific mill activity and its contribution to the total concentrations. Table 7.5 presents the ground concentrations at the assumed meat ingestion exposure grazing location. (Concentrations in forage were assumed to be mostly the result of foliar deposition, with a smaller contribution from root uptake from the soil.)

Discussion

Based on the computer assessment as shown in Table 7.1, the Exxon Minerals Highland facility initially appears to exceed compliance limits for 40 CFR Part 190 primarily due to the bone dose commitment. However, the contributions from inhalation, vegetable ingestion, and meat ingestion were respectively 12% (5.55 mrem), 6% (2.69 mrem), and 83% (39.3 mrem) of the total bone dose commitment. County estimates in the Gas Hills region of Wyoming indicated that less than 10% of any resident's meat intake is locally produced. Should the same estimate be valid in Converse County, the total bone dose commitment would decrease to 12.2 mrem, as opposed to the 47.5 mrem estimated. The estimated dose commitments from ingestion (see Table 7.2) are based on the assumption that 100% of an individual's meat and vegetable intake is locally produced. Parenthetical values in Tables 7.1 and 7.2 reflect the 90% reduction factor in the meat ingestion pathway. It is unlikely that an individual's meat intake is totally supplied by local meat production. Based on this 90% reduction. the Exxon Highland facility would then comply with the 40 CFR 190 Standard. An explanation of the methodology used in the ingestion pathway portion of the predictive assessment is presented in Appendix 1 of this report. The NRC

Table 7.1 Results of MILDOS computer code evaluation

Mill Name: Exxon Minerals Highland

Docket Number: 40-8102

Date of Evaluation: January 1981

Residence of individual(s) receiving maximum dose: Fowler Ranch, 4.3 km NE *Direct Exposure Pathway (mrem):

Whole	Body	0.208
Bone		5.55
Lung		13.3

Grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose: 3.28 km E **Ingestion Pathway (mrem):

Whole body	4.12 (0.639)***
Bone	42.0 (6.62)
Lung	4.12 (0.639)

Composite Pathway Dose Totals (mrem):

whole	Body	4.33	(0.847)
Bone		the second se	(12.2)
Lung			(13.9)

*The direct exposure pathway is the sum of inhalation, and gamma radiation exposure due to ground deposition and cloud immersion.

^{**}The ingestion pathway considers the maximum dose due to the ingestion of meat from locally grazed cattle and ingestion of vegetables grown at the residence location.

^{***}Parenthetical values account for an individual consuming no more than 10% of the locally produced meat.

Table 7.2	50-year	adult	dose	commitments	by	pathway	to each	organ
-----------	---------	-------	------	-------------	----	---------	---------	-------

in the second	Construction of the second		maitments (mrem)	
Location	Pathway	Whole Body	Bone	Lung
Fowler Ranch	Inhalation	0.207	5.55	13.3
4.3 km NE	Ground Exposure	0.006	0.006	0.006
	Cloud Exposure	negì.	negl.	negl.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.252	2.69	0.252
Golden Eagle	Inhalation	0.111	2.97	7.27
Uranium Mine	Ground Exposure	0.003	0.003	0.003
5.54 km WNW	Cloud Exposure	negl.	negl.	negl.
	Vegetable Ingestion	0.168	1.16	0.108
Grazing Site 1	Meat Ingestion	3.87 (0.387)*	39.3 (3.93)	3.87 (0.387)
Grazing Site 2	Meat Ingestion	2.53 (0.253)	25.7 (2.57)	2.53 (0.253)
Grazing Site 3	Meat Ingestion	0.438 (0.044)	4.58 (0.458)	0.438 (0.044)
Grazing Site 4	Meat Ingestion	9.409 (0.041)	4.17 (0.417)	0.409 (0.041)
Grazing Site 5	Meat Ingestion	0.149 (0.015)	1.54 (0.154)	0.149 (0.015)
Grazing Site 6	Meat Ingestion	0.108 (0.011)	1.11 (0.111)	0.108 (0.011)

Facility name: Exxon Minerals Highland

Docket number: 40-8102

*Parenthetical values represent a 90% reduction factor due to individuals consuming only 10% of the locally produced meat.

Table 7.3	Radionuclide	concentrations projected to occur on ground
	at residence	of individual receiving maximum dose

Facility name: Exx	on Minerals Highland	te de la compañía de		Docket number	: 40-8102
Location of Maximum	Individual: Fowler	Ranch, 4.3 km NE			
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, 1h ²³⁰	pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁶
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	1.058E+03	5.299E+00	1.059E+00
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	2.484E+02	2.484E+02	2.483E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	0 7445+00	1 0335-01	1 0375-01
Coarse Windblown	5.0	2.4	8.744E+00	1.933E+01	1.937E+01
Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	1.129E+02	2.337E+02	2.341E+02

A7-4

Table 7.4 Airborne radionuclide concentrations projected to occur at residence of individual receiving maximum dose

Facility name: Exxon Minerals Highland

Docket number: 40-8102

Location of Maximum Individual: Fowler Ranch, 4.3 km NE

Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density, g/cm ³	Radionuclide U ²³⁸	Concentrations, Th ²³⁰	pC1/m ³ Ra ²²⁸
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.757E-03	1.380E-05	2.761E-06
Ground Ore	1.0	2.4	6.471E-04	6.471E-04	6.471E-04
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	2.278E-05	5.035E-05	5.047E-05
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	3.334E-05	6.902E-05	6.917E-05

A7-5

Table 7.5	Radionuclide concentrations projected to occur on the ground
	at grazing location corresponding to maximum meat ingestion dose

Facility name: Exp	con Minerals Highl	and	D	ocket number:	40-8102
Location of Maximum	Meat Ingestion P	athway: 3.28 km E			
Type of Particle	Mean Diameter, µm.	Density g/cm ³	Radionuciid U ²³⁸	e Concentratio Th ²³⁰	ns, pC1/m ² Ra ²²⁶
Yellowcake Dust	1.0	8.9	2.807E+03	1.405E+01	2.809E+00
iround Ore	1.0	2.4	6.811E+02	6.811E+02	6.808E+02
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	5.0	2.4	1.919E+02	4.565E+03	4.582E+03
Coarse Windblown Ore or Tailings	35.0	2.4	3.439E+03	8.281E+04	8.312E+04

A7-6

staff has made assumptions about exposure pathways, and residence times in the mill environs which are conservative, yet reasonable, in light of the NRC's responsibility to maintain public safety. As additional environmental data become available, further determinations can be made concerning the environmental impact of this mill.

Assumptions for Computer Prediction

. . .

Table 7.6 presents the basic parameters and assumptions made in modeling the Exxon Minerals Highland facility. Control factors, yellowcake emissions and tailings activities are presented with other parameters affecting the emission of radioactivity. Table 7.7 displays the emission (Curies/year) of the parent radionuclides in secular equilibrium from the unloading of ore, through to the grizzly and up to the fine ore storage bins. From the rod mill crushing to the yellowcake precipitation, the process is wet and enclosed, and the NRC staff assumes only negligible radioactive emissions. Table 7.8 is the wind frequency data, which provides the site-specific mechanism for transport of radioactivity to offsite locations. The meteorological data originates from Bear Creek, Wyoming which is 20 km away, but was compatible to wind profiles taken at the site.

Parameter	Description
Average ore grade	0.16%
Secular equilibrium activity (in pCi/g) of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in the ore	460
Annual ore processing rate	1,610,300 MT/year
fellowcake production rate	2980 MT/year
Product purity	95% U308
mount of product released to atmosphere annually	2.980 MT/year
mission activity (in Ci/year) of -238 released to the atmosphere from rellowcake operations	0.799 Ci/year
horium released in yellowcake emission	0.004 Ci/year
adium released in yellowcake emission	0.0008 Ci/year
Uncontrolled emission rates from any one mill stack or vent	See Table 7.7

Table 7.6 Basic parameters used for MILDOS input

	а.	-	
. 1	-	-	_

10

Table 7.6 (continued)

Parameter	Description
Percent reduction factor from stack/vent emission control	See Table 7.7
Area of ore pad	20 acres
Reduction factor for ore pad	0.0%
Areas for tailings impoundments	170 acres
Reduction factor for tailings area	80%
Recovery rate	96%
Activity of U-238, Th-230, and Ra-226 in solid tailings (pCi/g) $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	
U-238 Th-230 Ra-226	18.4 457.7 459.5
Length of grazing season	6 months
Fraction of stored cattle feed which is grown locally	0.0
Fraction of cattle feed which is pasture grazing	100%
Acreage required to graze one animal unit (450kg) for one month	9.0 acros
Relative joint frequency of wind speed, direction, and stability class	Table 7.8
Atmospheric mixing height	528.0 meters

Process Causing Emissions	Mass Loss Rate, 1b/ton	Control Measures	Control Efficiency, %	Effective Mass Loss Rate, 1b/ton	Annual Emissions Ci/yr
Crusher	.2	Vane Wet Scrubber	95%	.01	. 00909
Dump to Grizzly	. 05	None	0%	. 05	. 04547
Conveyors	.1	Wet Scrubber	95%	. 005	.00455
Fine Ore Bin and Handling	. 15	Wet Scrubber	95%	.0075	. 00682
Ore Pad Handling	. 15	None	OX	. 15	. 13642
				TOTAL	. 20235

Table 7.7 Natural ore emissions

Annual Mass Loss = (Effective Mass Loss Rate) ÷ 2000 · (Ore Throughput)

Mill Name: Exxon Minerals Highland

Activity Emission = (Annual Mass Loss) \cdot (2.5) \cdot (Ore Quality) \div 109 \cdot (.2824 $\frac{Ci}{MT}$ of U₃0₈)

Docket Number: 40-8102

Table 7.8 Meteorlogical data for Exxon Minerals Higland Mill

.

(Docket Number: 40-8102)

					.050.	I		ĺ				-										1		-	-	-	1					•	-		1		-				
	ė				0.0000			۰.	٠,			•	0051. 8				•	0 0,0000	•	- 12		÷	1	•		-	- :				0 0.000	0.00.00	000.000	4 .3470		0340	1		5 0		;
 -	-	-	• •		00-00			•	٠,									0,0000	•			0.000			-	-	- :		; ;			÷				9860°	1 .167	000.0	nno" 0 1	00000	442.
	ò	•	ó	÷.					1	•		0					•	0.000	•			0.000			-							-	-				•				5
 	*	*	•		0.0000			•	•				-					0.000				~					1.7242		0104	1631.	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	1.5429		.1526	161+.	0.000	00000 m	00000 H	
0,0000	0.0000	0,0000	0.0000		0.0000			1	٠,				.1230		4635.	1046	. 60US	1000.		1484.		•			• •		17.8054			0104.	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	1.0104		.0466	- 1	•	* *	• •	۰.
 0,000	0.0040	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.000			0.000	1190.		0.0000	0.0440	.0432			.136.	.0359	.0646	0.0000	1+05.		0.000.0					-		1212	1912	0.0000	0.0000.0	0.0000			•			0.0000		5
		0,000.0			0.0000			1910.	1201		0.0004		.1025		1.4.1	1951.	.014.	.0159		.416.		0000.0	1101.		19784	22215	\$. 9549			1611	0.0001	0000.0	0.0000	1145.				•	á i		5
 0.000		0.0000			0100			1410.			0.0004		\$113.			1051.	\$050.	0.000g	0.0000	. 3845		0.0000	1101.			0.0000	1.0942		4150°	C101	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.6656		1111.	1202.	0.0400	6 . 0000	0.0000 0	61.1 1 ····
 0.000	0.0003	00000.0	0.0.00	0.0000	0.0000			.0830	000K*	0.0040	0000	0000.0	. 34 50		0152	0051.	0350.	.01+0	0.000	.5140		0.000	6122.	0161.	0151	0.0000	1.4420			0150	0.00.00	0.0000	0.000.0	9992.		.1679	.1410	0.0000	0.0000	0. 60000	1 1 1 1 1
 0000	0000		0000	0000	0000				\$107.	0000		6000	. 2301			bell.	5050.	40444		.7404		.0136	. 37 04	1040-1	A.17.	6161.	1.1125		1000.	1014	000	0.9400	0.000			.1676	1205.	0,0000	0,0000	00000 0	1943 -
6000.	. A000		.0000		0000 0			.0510					1441.			1641.	1484.	0000.0	0.0000	1115.		\$510.	IFog.			E161.	1.4344		0160*					. 4176		.1824	5162.	0.0000	0.0000	Dunn'n	
				0000.0	0.0000			1910.		0.00.0	0.000	0.000	0120-			Sunt.	.0144	0.0044	0.0000	+512.		0.0000	EIG1.	1904.	1011	6154	1.4649							.6764		1111.	11111	0.0000	0.0000	0000 D	2112
00	00000 0	0000 .0	0.0000	00000	0.0004	*****				0000	00000		.1342					000	C000.	9		a .	-	-			1.0401		00000		0.0000	0.0004	0.0000	\$911.	-	c+10*	•	ċ	: 4	: :	5164
0160	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000			0000	01+1	0000	0000	0000	0141			0000		0000	0000			0000	4513.	1505.	5151	0000	\$0.65			1.4.4	6000	0000.	0000			E=E0.	0440.	. 0000	0000	00000	5101
 	0.000.0	0000.0	00000 0	00"0"0	0,0000		7 ****	.03+6		0.000	0000	ronu.0	.00%	1		0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.9448		0.000	\$151.		19191	0150	1.3657		0.00.0	0410	0.0404	0,0000	0.6000	.2160		4460. 2011.	.076.	0.0400	01000 a 0		41×1-
 411Y CL		00000	0000.0	0000 .	00000.0		1111 6	.0411		.0349	0000	0000	. 3044	1111 6		1252	1641.	\$050.	0.0000	1166.	1111 61	0000.0	. 4213	1404		. 2213		1111 0	1.11	0414	0.0000	0.9040	0.0000	.5355	1111	1143	.102.	0.0000	000019 0	0000	1001
 		0.0 0	5.5 0	1.5 4		-			•••	0.0		0.0	11		1	10.0	5.5		0.0	11	STAB.	1.5		2.0								1.5	0.0	11		-	2.2	0.0			

A7-10

POOR ORIGINAL