

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Southern California Edison Company

Docket Nos.: 50-361
50-362

San Onofre Units 2 and 3

License Nos.: NPF-10
NPF-15

During an NRC inspection conducted on November 19, 1989 through January 6, 1990, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," the violation is listed below:

- A. The Unit 3 Technical Specifications, paragraph 6.2.2, Unit Staff, states in part that:

"f. Adequate shift coverage shall be maintained without routine heavy use of overtime. The objective shall be to have operating personnel work a normal 8-hour day, 40-hour week while the plant is operating."

Contrary to the above, during September 4 - November 19, 1989, while Unit 3 was operating in Mode 1, the reactor operations and health physics staffs were assigned a work routine of 12 hours per day, five days per week. This comprised an average work week of 55 hours excluding shift turnover and meals.

- B. Unit 2 License condition (19)b, Shift Manning, states in part that:

"2. An individual shall not be permitted to work ... more than 72 hours in any seven day period ... (excluding shift turnover time)."


Contrary to the above, during the period between August 27 and September 10, 1989, one health physics technician worked 76 hours during seven consecutive days without proper authorization from responsible management.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I), applicable to Units 2 and 3.

9002260581 900205
PDR ADOCK 05000206
Q PNU

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Southern California Edison Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



A. E. Chaffee, Deputy Director
Division of Reactor Safety
and Projects

Dated at Walnut Creek, California
this 5th day of February, 1990