

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 February 14, 1990

Docket No. 50-298

Mr. W. Gary Gates Division Manager - Nuclear Operations Omaha Public Power District 1623 Harney Street Omaha, Nebraska 68102

Dear Mr. Gates:

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO REVISE RESOLUTION OF HEDS NOS. 113 AND 263 AT FORT CALHOUN STATION, UNIT 1 (TAC NO. 59998)

Your letter dated November 15, 1989 provided its revised resolutions to Human Engineering Discrepancies (HEDs) Nos. 113 and 263 indicating "no action" was deemed appropriate. These HEDs were identified during the Detailed Control Room Design Review for the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1.

During a December 1, 1989 conference call, the staff indicated that your explanation regarding the revised resolutions was incomplete because it did not clearly indicate whether the subject HEDs were safety significant. In your letter dated January 10, 1990, you clarified that the discrepancies were reevaluated as non-safety-related HEDs. This information satisfactorily resolves the staff's concern regarding HEDs Nos. 113 and 263.

Sincerely,

anthony Baurun

Anthony Bournia, Project Manager Project Directorate IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

February 14, 1990

Docket No. 50-298

Hr. W. Gary Gates Division Manager - Nuclear Operations Omaha Public Power District 1623 Harney Street Omaha, Nebraska 68102

Dear Mr. Gates:

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO REVISE RESOLUTION OF HEDS NOS. 113 AND 263 AT FORT CALHOUN STATION, UNIT 1 (TAC NO. 59998)

Your letter dated November 15, 1989 provided its revised resolutions to Human Engineering Discrepancies (HEDs) Nos. 113 and 263 indicating "no action" was deemed appropriate. These HEDs were identified during the Detailed Control Room Design Review for the Fort Calhoun Station. Unit 1.

During a December 1, 1989 conference call, the staff indicated that your explanation regarding the revised resolutions was incomplete because it did not clearly indicate whether the subject HEDs were safety significant. In your letter dated January 10, 1990, you clarified that the discrepancies were reevaluated as non-safety-related HEDs. This information satisfactorily resolves the staff's concern regarding HEDs Nos. 113 and 263.

Sincerely,

151

Anthony Bournia, Project Manager Project Directorate IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR PD4 Reading G. Holahan MS 13E4 ADR4 F. Hebdon P. Noonan A. Bournia OGC-Rockville E. Jordan MS MNBB 3701 ACRS (10) MS P-315 PD4 Plant File

OFC :PD4/LA OM :PD4/PM	:PD4/D	11:	i.	:	:
NAME : PNoonan : ABourni	a FHebdon				
DATE :02/4/90 :02/9/9	0 0:02/14/90	:	;	:	:
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY		Docume	ent Name: FC	LTR/59998	

Mr. W. Gary Gates Omaha Public Power District Fort Calhoun Station Unit No. 1

cc: Harry H. Voigt, Esq. LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. Jack Jensen, Chairman Washington County Board of Supervisors Blair, Nebraska 68008

Mr. Phillip Harrell, Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 309 Fort Calhoun, Nebraska 68023

Mr. Charles B. Brinkman, Manager Washington Nuclear Operations Combustion En ineering, Inc. 12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330 Rockville, MD 20852

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Executive Director for Operations 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

Harold Borchert, Director Division of Radiological Health Department of Health 301 Centennial Mall, South P.O. Box 95007 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509

Mr. G. R. Peterson, Manager Fort Calhoun Station P. O. Box 399 Fort Calhoun, Nebraska 68023