



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION III  
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD  
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

FEB 12 1990

Docket No. 50-483

EA 90-028

Union Electric Company  
ATTN: Mr. Donald F. Schnell  
Senior Vice President - Nuclear  
Post Office Box 149 - Mail Code 400  
St. Louis, MO 63166

Gentlemen:

This confirms our plans as discussed on February 8, 1990, between Mr. R. Hague of this office and Mr. C.D. Naslund of your staff to conduct an Enforcement Conference from 1 p.m. - 3 p.m., (CST) on February 27, 1990, at the Region III Office in Glen Ellyn, Illinois. The purpose of this Enforcement Conference is to discuss the apparent violations documented in Inspection Report No. 50-483/90004 (DRSS) concerning all issues related to the inadequate control of Safeguards materials.

At the Enforcement Conference, you should be prepared to discuss the topics listed in the enclosure to this letter. We request you provide a handout at the Enforcement Conference that succinctly describes your position on the discussion topics.

We will gladly discuss any questions you may have regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Edward G. Greenman".

Edward G. Greenman, Director  
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure: As stated

See Attached Distribution:

9002260421 900212  
PDR ADOCK 05000483  
Q PNU

JE 45  
1/0

FEB 12 1990

Distribution:

cc: G. L. Randolph, General Manager,  
Nuclear Operations  
J. V. Laux, Manager Quality  
Assurance  
Tom P. Sharkey, Supervising  
Engineer, Site Licensing  
**DCB/DCB (RIDS)**  
Licensing Fee Management Branch  
Resident Inspector, RIII  
Region IV  
Resident Inspector, Wolf Creek  
K. Drey  
Chris R. Rogers, P.E.  
Utility Division, Missouri  
Public Service Commission  
CFA, Inc.  
Gerald Charnoff, Esq.  
Thomas Baxter, Esq.  
R. A. Kucera, Deputy Director,  
Department of Natural Resources  
J. Lieberman, OE  
J. Goldberg, OGC  
J. Partlow, NRR

IE45  
110

ENCLOSURE

ENFORCEMENT CONFERENCE DISCUSSION TOPICS

1. Root cause of the three events.
2. Security significance of the first event and then the three events collectively.
3. Rationale for corrective actions taken or planned to prevent recurrence after each event.
4. Adequacy of QA review and disposition of security audit findings.
5. Subsequent corrective actions to prevent recurrence.