. 40-8724 POR

Oak Ridge Associated Universities

Post Office Box 117 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-0117 Energy/ Environment Systems Division

January 30, 1990

Mr. Donald Gibbons Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Subject: EVALUATION OF SAMPLE ANALYSES - CHEMETRON PROJECT

Dear Mr. Gibbons:

The attached table presents the results of U-238 analyses by ORAU and Alpha Energy Laboratory (analytical service contractor to NES) on split soil samples from the Chemetron site. Considerable difficulty was encountered in obtaining consistent and comparable analyses by wet chemistry methods; both laboratories therefore eventually performed the analyses by gamma spectrometry. Results are generally comparable, within their associated 95% uncertainty levels. Notable exceptions are samples T-5, T-7, and T-8. It should be noted that these samples were not thoroughly ground, sieved, and mixed to assure homogeneity before splitting, and the laboratories encountered situations where there were marked differences between sample fractions. In several such cases the same sample was exchanged between laboratories, and acceptable agreement obtained. I, therefore, would not consider the differences in analyses of samples T-5, T-7, and T-8 to be particularly significant.

Analyses by Alpha Energy and ORAU also provided good agreement when compared with the option I guideline level for depleted uranium. In only two cases (samples T-4 and T-5) were the end results different, and even in those cases the results were in agreement at the extremes of the analytical uncertainties. Based on this evaluation, it is ORAU's opinion that the gamma spectrometry analyses by NES's contractor laboratory accurately evaluates the concentrations of U-238 in soil.

In addition to the laboratory analyses, the field determination, performed by NES using portable survey instruments, is also provided for each of the samples. With exception of samples T-6 and T-8, the field measurement decisions were in agreement with the laboratory analyses. This suggests that because of non-homogenious distribution and relatively poor counting statistics, field measurements may be unreliable for excavation control, in cases where the U-238 concentration is near the option 1 level, e.g. between 30 and 60 pCi/g.

NFOI

9002070324 900130 PDR ADOCK 04008724 C PDC

deleti LPDR

Mr. Donald Gibbons - 2 -January 30, 1990 If there are any questions about this information, I may be contacted at FTS 626-3303 or (615) 576-3305. Sincerely, James D. Berger, Director Environmental Survey and Site Assessment Program JDB:jls Attachment cc: G. Sjoblom, NRC/NMSS, 6H3 D. Tiktinsky, NRC/NMSS, 6A4 M. Nappe, NES Corp. D. Sreniawski, NRC/Region III

RESULTS OF CROSS-CHECK ANALYSES CHEMETRON SOIL SAMPLES NEWBURGH HEIGHTS, OHIO

Sample ID ^a	Location	Analysis By	Concentration (pCi/g) U-238	Comparison With Option 1 Guideline Leve (35 pCi/g)
T-1	North Site	ORA!)	3.6 ± 0.3b	below
		Alpha Energy	7.3 ± 5.2	below
		NES	c	below
T-2	North Site	ORAU	24.2 ± 0.3	below
		Alpha Energy	25.3 ± 4.3	below
		NES		below
T-3	Grid B-11	ORAU	93.9 ± 0.5	above
		Alpha Energy	93.9 ± 15.1	above
		NES		above
T-4	Grid B-5	ORAU	29.6 ± 0.2	below
		Alpha Energy	45.5 ± 15.1	aboved
		NES		below
T-5	Grid B-3	ORAU	19.4 ± 0.5	-below_
		Alpha Energy	40.9 ± 12.3	above ^d
		NES		below
T-6	Grid E-4	ORAU	57.7 ± 0.4	above
		Alpha Energy	53.7 ± 13.6	above
		NES		below
T-7	Grid B-12	ORAU	92.7 ± 0.3	above
		Alpha Energy	57.4 ± 5.8	above
		NES		above

RESULTS OF CROSS-CHECK ANALYSES CHEMETRON SOIL SAMPLES NEWBURGH HEIGHTS. OHIO (Continued)

Sample ID ^a	Location	Analysis By	Concentration (pCi/g) E-238	Comparison With Option 1 Guideline Level (35 pC1/g)
				i
T-8	Grid F-3	ORAU	40.1 ± 0.3	above
		Alpha Energy	57.2 ± 12.9	above
		NES		below
T-9	off-site	ORAU	0.7 ± 0.2	below
	(bkgd)	Alpha Energy	0.6 ± 1.8	below
		NES		below
T-10	off-site	ORAU	1.2 ± 0.3	below
	(bkgd)	Alpha Energy	3.2 ± 1.3	below
		NES		below

aAs assigned by NES.

bValues are for U-238; uncertainties represent the 95% confidence levels based on counting statistics only.

ENES evaluation based on direct measurement with pancake GM detector.

dconcentration would be below the guideline value at the lower end of the uncertainty level.