JAN 2 9 1990 GE Nuclear Energy

Log Jan - 3 96
Remitter Check No. 542744
Amount HSD
Type of Feo Date Check Rec'd. 1/30/59
Date Check Rec'd. 1/30/59
Date Complesed 1/50/66
Byt

Nuclear Fuel & Components Me-solucturing General Flostric Company P.O. Box 780. Wilmangron, NC 28402 919-075-5000

January 26, 1990

Mr. Charles E. MacDonald, Chief Transportation Branch Division of Safeguards and Transportation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Request for "Letter Amendment" to NRC Certificate of

Compliance USA/9019/AF for the BU-7 Shipping Package

Reference: Telephone conversation between Mr. R. Foleck (GE) and

Mr. E. Easton (NRC) 1/24/90

Dear Mr. MacDonald:

General Electric's Nuclear Fuel and Components Manufacturing (NF&CM) hereby requests the NRC to issue a temporary letter amendment authorizing the use of an alternate specification for the gasket material used on the BU-7 shipping container. The gasket is used to seal the packaging's inner drum and is called out in GE's current licensing drawing 112D1592, Rev. 8. This request is being made due to the urgent need for gaskets to meet pending shipping schedules and due to the current lead time limitations to meet our scheduled shipments.

The duration for this letter amendment is requested to be from the NRC approval date until August 31, 1990, to allow: (1) immediate use of the alternate gasket material, and (2) adequate time for submittal of a normal change request of current NRC Certificate 9019 to include the requested silicone rubber specifications as an alternate gasket material.

The attached June 14, 1989, letter from Connecticut Hard Rubber (CHR) states that the silicone rubber used to fabricate the gaskets we are presently using (ZZ-R-765, Class 1a or 1b, Grade 50) is essentially the same as the proposed alternate (ZZ-R-765, Class 2a or 2b, Grade 50). We are also providing a recent January 25, 1990, letter from Southern Rubber Company, Inc., that identifies the specific properties of the two material classes. The letter also states that CHR Industries' materials meet or exceed the specification.

9001310471 900126 PDR ADOCK 07109019 C PDC Mr. Charles E. MacDonald January 26, 1990 Page 2

We request that this letter amendment be approved by mid-February since we will need to start using the new gaskets and the first of March.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 170.31, a check for \$150 is enclosed for this request.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss the matter further, please contact me on (919) 675-5461.

Sincerely,

GE NUCLEAR ENERGY

Doc mallett for T. Preston Winslow Manager

Licensing & Nuclear Materials Management

/sbm

cc: TPW-90-014



June 14, 1989

General Electric Company Mr. John Zidak P.O. Box 780 Wilmington, North Carolina 28402

Dear Mr. Zidak:

This is in response to our telephone conversation on June 9, 1989 regarding the basic differences between our silicone rubber sheet products 9051 and 9050.

It is my understanding that the gaskets currently produced from 9051 are being replaced on an annual basis and since that will be an ongoing requirement it makes sense to use a less costly silicone rubber. The 9050 is an essentially equivalent product and in some instances a superior product. CHR's 9050 and 9051 are both produced to mest Federal Specification ZZ-R-765, the 9050 to Class 2a Grade 50 and the 9051 to Class 1a Grade 50. The primary difference is that 9051 has good flexibility at ultra low temperatures i.e. minus 130°F and the 9050 has superior high temperature resistance, having good stability at 500°F.

If I can be of any further assistance in supplying technical information on these or any other of CHR's silicone rubber products please don't hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

CHR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Gregg Currier

Product Development Mgr., Rubber Products

GC/eeb

01/25/98 11:47

2 919 294 4970 Southern Rubber

SINCE 1925

SOUTHERN RUBBER COMPANY, INC.

Mechanical Rubber Parts — Packings, Gaskets and Seals Rubber Clothing and Footwear - Molded Goods and Tubings "O" Rings, Silicone, Teflon, Viton and Plastics

Trade Mark Rep US PAT CHE SORUCO NUMBER PHODUCIS

AHEA COME AVE - TELEPHONE SAR SAME 2209 PATTERSON STREET - BOX 7039 GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA 27417-0039



January 25, 1990

General Electric Company Cape Foar Operations P. O. Box 2012 Wilmington, NC 28402

Attention: Mr. John Jidak

Subject: 22-R-765C Specification

Dear John:

Per our conference telephone conversation of January 24, 1990 I am listing the two (2) materials in question. 22-R-765C Class 1A and 1B is described as Low Temperature Resistant. Class 2A and 2B is described as High Temperature Resistant. The Grade calls for Durometer. The physical properties are as follows:

Class 1A and 1B Class 2A and 2B

Durom ter 50 : 5 50 - 5 Tensile 700 P.S.1. Min. 700 P.S.1. Min. 225% Min. 1:Jongation 200% Min. Compression Set 35% Max. 35% Max. High Temperature op. + 500 °F. + 500 OF. Low Temperature Brittle Point . 103 °F. - 80 °F.

(HR Industries' Material 9051 which is grey in color meets or exceeds the specification for Class 1A and 1B. CHR Industries' Material 9050 which is rust/red in color meets or exceeds the specification for Class 2A and 2B.

1 will send a copy of the Specification ZZ-R-765C Rev C via UPS Next Day Letter to you on friday.

I hope this is the information you needed. If I can be of further service please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

G. K. Semuni

D. K. Tomlinson