

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON D. C. 20555

January 22, 1990

Docket Nos.: 50-277 and 50-278

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr.
Director-Licensing, MC 5-2A-5
Philadelphia Electric Company
Correspondence Control Desk
P. O. Box No. 195
Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-0195

Dear Mr. Hunger:

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO GENERIC LETTER 89-16 FOR PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER

STATION (TAC NOS. 74876/74877)

We have reviewed Philadelphia Electric Company's letter dated October 30. 1989, in response to NRC Generic Letter 89-16, titled "Installation of a Hardened Wetwell Vent." Your intention to install a hardened wetwell vent at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station for Units 2 and 3 under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59 is acceptable. Because we view your stated intentions to be a firm commitment to install the requisite modifications, we will not proceed with the backfit analyses for Peach Bottom, Units 2 and 3. If our understanding is not consistent with your intentions, please provide a detailed explanation of the commitments made in your response supporting the deviations from our understanding within 30 days from the date of this letter.

In the October 30, 1989 response, the effect of Individual Plant Examination activities on hardened wetwell vant modifications was discussed. In addition, the schedule for implementation of the modifications was discussed, with implementation currently projected to occur in the fall of 1992 for Unit 2 and fall of 1993 for Unit 3. The use of the results of the Individual Plant Examinations to develop supplemental criteria for the vent modification design is acceptable to us, provided that it does not in any way affect the vent modification completion schedule or your commitment to make the requisite modifications under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59. With regard to the discussed schedule, your schedule for completion of the requisite modifications for Unit 3 is not acceptable to the staff. We expect that all actions related to the requisite vent modifications be completed by January 1993. We, therefore, request that you re-evaluate and revise the modification schedule such that all actions are completed by January 1993.

We request that you provide within 30 days of the date of this letter a revised schedule commitment or, in the alternative, provide (1) an explanation of why a January 1993 completion date cannot be met and (2) the bases for your proposed completion schedule. Should you have any questions concerning the above, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely.

Gene Y. Suh, Project Manager Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects 1/11 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr. Philadelphia Electric Company

cc:

Troy B. Conner, dr., Esq. 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Philadelphia Electric Company ATTN: Mr. D. M. Smith, Vice President Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Route 1, Box 208 Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Philadelphia Electric Company ATTN: Regulatory Engineer, A1-2S Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Route 1, Box 208 Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
P.O. Box 399
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Mr. Roland Fletcher Department of Environment 201 West Preston Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

Single Point of Contact P. O. Box 11880 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108-1880

Mr. Thomas M. Gerusky, Director Bureau of Radiation Protection Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources P. O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. Albert R. Steel, Chairman Board of Supervisors Peach Bottom Township R. D. #1 Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division ATTN: Chief Engineer 231 E. Baltimore Street Baltimore, MD 21202-3486

Mr. Tom Magette
Power Plant Research Program
Department of Natural Resources
B-3
Tawes State Office Building
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

We request that you provide within 30 days of the date of this letter a revised schedule commitment or, in the alternative, provide (1) an explanation of why a January 1993 completion date cannot be met and (2) the bases for your proposed completion schedule. Should you have any questions concerning the above, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

151

Gene Y. Suh, Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects 1/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
PDI-2 Reading
SVarga
BBoger
WButler
MO'Brien
SGuh
RClark
MThadani
OGC
EJordan
BGrimes

[GYSD]

ACRS (10)

Previously concurred*

PDI-2/LA* MO'Brien 01/22/90 PDI-2/PM* GSuh:tr 01/22/90 PDI-2/PM MThadani 1/2-90

PDI-2/D WButler B

We request that you provide within 30 days of the date of this letter a revised schedule commitment or, in the alternative, provide an explanation of why a January 1993 completion date cannot be met which includes the bases for your proposed completion schedule. Should you have any questions concerning the above, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Gene Y. Suh, Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR PD1-2 Reading SVarga BBoger WButler MO'Brien SGuh RC1ark MThadani OGC EJordan BGrimes ACRS (10)

[GYSD]

Moverten 12290

PDI-2/PM GSuh: tr. 1/22/90

PDI-2/PM MThadani / /90 PDI-2/D WButler / /90