

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-82

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-322

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated July 13, 1989, Long Island Lighting Company (the licensee) requested an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-82 for the Shoreham Muclear Power Station. The proposed amendment would delete the phrase "... and shall be approved by the Plant Manager..." in Technical Specification 6.8.2.

2.0 EVALUATION

Section 13.1.3 of the Shoreham USAR identifies the minimum qualification requirements for station personnel. The various Division Managers have qualification and experience requirements which are very similar or in one case equivalent to those for Plant Manager. It is anticipated that they will obtain additional training and experience toward their further professional growth. As indicated in Appendix I3A of the Shoreham USAR, most of these Division Managers are or have been licensed or certified as Senior Reactor Operators.

In most cases, individual Division Manager qualifications substantially meet the minimum qualification requirements of the Plant Manager as stated in USAR Section 13.1.3. Those attributes not covered are compensated for by extensive experience in specific areas.

The logical basis for requiring the Plant Manager to approve all procedures prior to implementation is to ensure that overall plant impact is considered from an adequate management, engineering and power plant experience base as well as for effectiveness in achieving its purpose. Based on a review of qualification requirements specified in Shoreham USAR Section 13.1.3 and actual qualifications identified in USAR Appendix 13A, it is concluded that the proposed change does not reduce the effectiveness of the Specification and will relieve the Plant Manager of unnecessary administrative duties which his plant Division Managers are fully capable and qualified to perform.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement nor environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (54 FR 49133) on November 29, 1989 and consulted with the State of New York. No public comments were received, and the State of New York did not have any comments.

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and the security nor to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: S. Brown

Dated: January 16, 1990