

JAN 17 1990

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket Control/
RIDS Code IE:09
VIB Reading
DRIS Reading
BKGrimes
EWBrach
ETBaker
JJPetrosino(3)

Mr. R. H. Stone, Senior Vice President
Gaithersburg Regional Office
Bechtel Corporation
15740 Shady Grove Road
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877-1454

Dear Mr. Stone:

This letter addresses the inspection of your facility at Gaithersburg, Maryland, led by Mr. J. J. Petrosino of this office on October 23-26, 1989 and the discussions of his findings with Mr. Sid Bernsen and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The unannounced inspection was conducted to follow-up an NRC concern regarding piping systems at D.C. Cook and Prairie Island that were found to exceed their Safety Analysis Report design limits due in part to a Copes-Vulcan valve weight and center of gravity problem. Areas examined during the NRC inspection and our findings are discussed in the enclosed report. This inspection consisted of an examination of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspectors.

Within the scope of this inspection, we found no instance where you failed to meet NRC Requirements; however, one unresolved item was identified where Bechtel may not be meeting the intent of 10 CFR Part 21. It appears that Bechtel is using an engineering procedure to disposition generic deficiencies that could be potentially reportable pursuant to 10 CFR Part 21. This issue is identified as an unresolved issue and will be followed up during a subsequent NRC inspection. We request that Bechtel review the unresolved issue and determine whether any of the items discussed should have been either: (1) evaluated by Bechtel, (2) reported to all applicable Bechtel customers so that they could cause an evaluation to be performed, or (3) reported to the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR Part 21. If your review confirms that an item requires an evaluation or is reportable to an NRC licensee, we also request that Bechtel initiate appropriate evaluation and/or notification corrective actions.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

ISI

E. William Brach, Chief
Vendor Inspection Branch
Division of Reactor Inspection and Safeguards
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

9001230229 900117
PDR QAS999 EECBECHT
99900519 FDC

Enclosure:
1. Appendix A - Inspection Report 99900519/89-01

*Previously concurred
Document Name: STONE LETTER

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

IED 9
1/1
IDR-13
99900519/89-01

OFC	:RIS-1:VIB*	:C:RIS-1:VIB*	:VIB:DRIS:NRR*:D:DRIS	:TECH ED	:
NAME	:JPetrosino:cp	:ETBaker	:EWBrach 1/12/90	:BKGrimes	:
DATE	:11/24/89	:11/24/89	:12/27/89	:1/16/90	:12/ /89

JAN 17 1990

DISTRIBUTION:
 Docket Control/
 RIDS Code IE:09
 VIB Reading
 DRIS Reading
 BKGrimes
 EWBrach
 ETBaker
 JJPetrosino(3)

Mr. R. H. Stone, Senior Vice President
 Gaithersburg Regional Office
 Bechtel Corporation
 15740 Shady Grove Road
 Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877-1454

Dear Mr. Stone:

This letter addresses the inspection of your facility at Gaithersburg, Maryland, led by Mr. J. J. Petrosino of this office on October 23-26, 1989 and the discussions of his findings with Mr. Sid Bernsen and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The unannounced inspection was conducted to follow-up an NRC concern regarding piping systems at D.C. Cook and Prairie Island that were found to exceed their Safety Analysis Report design limits due in part to a Copes-Vulcan valve weight and center of gravity problem. Areas examined during the NRC inspection and our findings are discussed in the enclosed report. This inspection consisted of an examination of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspectors.

Within the scope of this inspection, we found no instance where you failed to meet NRC Requirements; however, one unresolved item was identified where Bechtel may not be meeting the intent of 10 CFR Part 21. It appears that Bechtel is using an engineering procedure to disposition generic deficiencies that could be potentially reportable pursuant to 10 CFR Part 21. This issue is identified as an unresolved issue and will be followed up during a subsequent NRC inspection. We request that Bechtel review the unresolved issue and determine whether any of the items discussed should have been either: (1) evaluated by Bechtel, (2) reported to all applicable Bechtel customers so that they could cause an evaluation to be performed, or (3) reported to the NRC pursuant to 10 CFR Part 21. If your review confirms that an item requires an evaluation or is reportable to an NRC licensee, we also request that Bechtel initiate appropriate evaluation and/or notification corrective actions.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

ISI

E. William Brach, Chief
 Vendor Inspection Branch
 Division of Reactor Inspection and Safeguards
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:

1. Appendix A - Inspection Report 99900519/89-01

*Previously concurred

Document Name: STONE LETTER

IFC	:RIS-1:VIB*	:C:RIS-1:VIB*	:VIB:DRIS:NRR*:D:DRIS	:TECH ED	:
NAME	:JPetrosino:cp	:ETBaker	:EWBrach BKGrimes	:	:
DATE	:11/24/89	:11/24/89	:12/27/89	:1/16/90	:12/ /89