

January 18, 1990

Docket No. 50-155

Mr Kenneth W. Berry
Director, Nuclear Licensing
Consumers Power Company
1945 West Parnall Road
Jackson, Michigan 49201

DISTRIBUTION

DOCKET FILE

PD31 R/F
NRC & LOCAL PDRS
RPULSIFER
PSHUTTLEWORTH
JFLACK

EJORDAN
ACRS(10)
OGC
JZWOLINSKI
MBOYLE

Dear Mr. Berry:

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF 60-DAY RESPONSE TO GENERIC LETTER 88-20, INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATIONS (IPE) (TAC NO. 74381)

Re: Big Rock Point Plant

Generic Letter 88-20 requires, in part, that each operating reactor licensee and construction permit holder: (1) identify the approach and method selected for performing the IPE, (2) describe the method to be used, and (3) identify the schedule for performing the IPE and submitting the results to the NRC. This information was to be submitted to the NRC within 60 days of issuance of Supplement 1 of the generic letter.

We have reviewed your letter dated October 25, 1989, submitted in response to the reporting requirements of the generic letter. We conclude that your IPE approach, methodology, and schedule are acceptable. However, as we stated in Generic Letter 88-20, we expect that utilities with extensive PRA experience, such as yours, will submit their IPE results on a shorter schedule than three years. We, therefore, encourage you to submit your IPE results on a more expeditious schedule to help avoid any possible delays between your completion of the IPE and our review process due to the expected backlog at the end of the three-year period. We anticipate that any possible additional analysis or reporting requirements, such as those from external events or from the Containment Performance Improvement Program, will not have any adverse effect on your schedule. However, if your schedule should change, please notify the NRC of the proposed change and the reason for the change.

Should you have any questions concerning the requirements of the generic letter, please contact Mr. Michael L. Boyle, NRR at (301) 492-1308 or Mr. John H. Flack, RES at (301) 492-3979.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by

Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V & Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

LA/PD31:DRSP
PSHUTTLEWORTH
1/18/90

PM/PD31:DRSP
RPULSIFER
1/17/90

(A)D/PD31:DRSP
JT
1/18/90

RF01
1/0

9001230194 900118
PDR ADOCK 05000155
P PDC

BIG ROCK IPE ACCEPTANCE LETTER



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 18, 1990

Docket No. 50-155

Mr Kenneth W. Berry
Director, Nuclear Licensing
Consumers Power Company
1945 West Parnall Road
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Dear Mr. Berry:

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF 60-DAY RESPONSE TO GENERIC LETTER 88-20, INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATIONS (IPE) (TAC NO. 74381)

Re: Big Rock Point Plant

Generic Letter 88-20 requires, in part, that each operating reactor licensee and construction permit holder: (1) identify the approach and method selected for performing the IPE, (2) describe the method to be used, and (3) identify the schedule for performing the IPE and submitting the results to the NRC. This information was to be submitted to the NRC within 60 days of issuance of Supplement 1 of the generic letter.

We have reviewed your letter dated October 25, 1989, submitted in response to the reporting requirements of the generic letter. We conclude that your IPE approach, methodology, and schedule are acceptable. However, as we stated in Generic Letter 88-20, we expect that utilities with extensive PRA experience, such as yours, will submit their IPE results on a shorter schedule than three years. We, therefore, encourage you to submit your IPE results on a more expeditious schedule to help avoid any possible delays between your completion of the IPE and our review process due to the expected backlog at the end of the three-year period. We anticipate that any possible additional analysis or reporting requirements, such as those from external events or from the Containment Performance Improvement Program, will not have any adverse effect on your schedule. However, if your schedule should change, please notify the NRC of the proposed change and the reason for the change.

Should you have any questions concerning the requirements of the generic letter, please contact Mr. Michael L. Boyle, NRR at (301) 492-1308 or Mr. John H. Flack, RES at (301) 492-3979.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Robert M. Pulsifer".

Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V & Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

Mr. Kenneth W. Berry
Consumers Power Company

Big Rock Point Plant

cc:

Mr. Thomas A. McNish, Secretary
Consumers Power Company
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Judd L. Bacon, Esquire
Consumers Power Company
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Mr. Thomas W. Elward
Plant Manager
Big Rock Point Plant
10269 U.S. 31 North
Charlevoix, Michigan 49720

Mr. Walter Hufford
County Commissioner
114 Belevedere Avenue
Charlevoix, Michigan 49720

Office of the Governor
Room 1 - Capitol Building
Lansing, Michigan 48913

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Nuclear Facilities and Environmental
Monitoring Section Office
Division of Radiological Health
P. O. Box 30035
Lansing, Michigan 48909

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector Office
Big Rock Point Plant
10253 U.S. 31 North
Charlevoix, Michigan 49720