## ENCLOSURE 1

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Georgia Power Company Hatch Docket Nos. 50-321, 50-366 License Nos. DPR-57, NPF-5

Desing the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on October 30 - November 3, 1989, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1989), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.12.2 requires that in addition to the requirements of Technical Specification 6.12.1, each high radiation area in which the intensity of radiation is greater than 1,000 millirem per hour (mrem/hr) to have locked doors provided to prevent unauthorized entry into such areas and the keys shall be maintained under the administrative control of the Shift Supervisor on duty and/or the Laboratory Foreman on duty.

Licensee radiation protection procedure, 62RP-RAD-016-0S, Attachment 2, High Radiation Area, High Radiation Door Check, Revision 3, dated March 10, 1988, lists doors which must remain locked unless authorized by a Health Physics Foreman, to control access to both high radiation areas and areas where the probability exists that high radiation areas may form during normal plant operations. Condenser Bay High Rad Door "2Y12" located on the 112 foot elevation is indcluded in the list of doors required to be locked.

Contrary to the above, on April 28, 1989, the Unit 2 Condenser Bay High Rad Door "2T12" located on the 112 foot elevation was unlocked and the area unattended. The unlocked door allowed access to areas having radiation exposure levels in excess of 1,000 mrem/hr.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Georgia Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) admission or denial of the violation, (2) the reason for the violation if admitted, (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified

in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Douglas M. Collins, Chief

Emergency Preparedness and Radiological Protection Branch Division of Radiation Safety

and Safeguards

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this 2nd day of January 1990