PETITION RULE PRM 35-9

(54 FR 38239)

PHONE 773-2728 AREA CODE 915

STAMFORD MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

HIGHWAY & EAST .. BOX 911

Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Docketing and Service Branch, Docket# PRM-35-9 Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Secretary:

DLC 27 1989 LA

DOCKTING &
SERVICE BEANCE
SECVINECE

DOCTOR DOCTOR DE LA DOCTOR DE LA NOLEMBRE

Page 1

I am writing to express my strong support for the Petition for Rulemaking filed by the American College of Nuclear Physicians and the Society of Nuclear Medicine. I am a practicing technologist at Stamford Memorial Hospital at Stamford, Texas. I am deeply concerned over the revised 10 CFR 35 regulations (effective April, 1987) governing the medical use of byproduct material as they significantly impact my ability to practice high-quality Nuclear Medicine/Nuclear Pharmacy and are preventing me from providing optimized care to individual patients.

For example, for diagnostic services, I am forced to strictly follow the manufacturer instructions for kit preparation and expiration times.

The NRC should recgnize that the FDA does allow, and often encourages, other clinical uses of approved drugs, and actively discourages the submission of physician—sponsored IND's that describe new indications for approved drugs. The package insert as never intended to prohibit physicians from deviating form it for other indications; on the contrary, such deviation is necessary for growth in developing new diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. In many cases, manufacturers will never go back to the FDA to revise a package insert to include a new indication because it is not required by the FDA and there is simply no econonic incertive to do so.

Currently, the regulatory provisions in Part 35 (35.100, 35.200, 35.300, and 33.17 (a) (4) do not allow practices which are legitimate and legal under FDA regulations and State medicine and pharmacy laws. These regulations therefore inappropriately interfere with the practice of medicine, which directly contradicts the NRC's Medical Policy statement against such interference.

Finally, I would like to point out that highly restrictive NRC regulations will only jeopardize public health and safety by: restricting sccess to appropriate Nuclear medicine procedures: exposing patients to higher radiation absorbed doses from alternative legal, but non-optimal, studies: and exposing hospital personnel to higher radiation absorbed doses because of unwarranted, repetive procedures. The NRC should not strive to construct proscriptive regulations to cover all aspects of medicine, nor should it attempt to regulate radiopharmaceutical use. Instead, the NRC should rely on the expertise of the FDA, State Boards of Pharmacy, State Boards of Medical Quality Assurance, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, radiation safety committees, institutional Q/A review procedures, and most importantly, the professional judgement of physicians and pharmacists who have been well-trained to administer and prepare these materials.

Since the NRC's primary regulatory focus appears to be based on the unsubstantiated assumption that misadministrations, particularly those involving diagnostic radio-pharmaceuticals, pose a serious threat to the public health and safety, I strongly urge the NRC to pursue a comprehensive study by a reputable scientific panel, such

DSID

STAMFORD MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

HIGHWAY & EAST -- BOX 911

DOCKETED

STAMFORD, TEXAS 79553

789 DEC 27 P4:18Page 2

as the National Academy of Sciences or the NCRP, to assess the radiobiological effects of misadministrations from Nuclear Medicine diagnost Colombia thereportic studies. I firmly believe that the results of such a study will control trate that the NRC's efforts to impose more and more stringent regulations are unnecessary and not cost-effective in relation to the extremely low health risks of these studies.

In closing, I strongly urge the NRC to adopt the ACNP/SNM Petition for Rulema.ing as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely.

Jennie Lowther ARRT, RDMS

Stamford Memorial Hospital