




DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-361,362

CITY OF SAN CLEMENTE

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2 (11)
(46 FR 20215)

May 11, 1981
(SPECIAL DELIVERY)



United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Docketing and Service Branch, Rule Change Proceedings
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Docket 50-361,362

Dear Commission Members,


The City of San Clemente recently received a communication from GUARD asking the City Council to communicate to your Commission its oppos. on to the proposal to allow interim licensing of nuclear reactors before established licensing safety procedures are completed, including the appeals process.

The matter was placed on the Council's agenda and at the Council meeting of May 6, 1981 a copy of a letter dated May 4, 1981, addressed to your honorable body from GUARD Community Action Coordinators Leslie and Michael Davis, along with copies of the petitions containing 1920+ signatures, were presented in support of their request.

Following due consideration by the City Council, a motion was adopted by a majority vote that the City Council take a position in opposition to the present procedure on proposed interim licensing based upon the fact that the City has not completed its safety hearings, particularly those which deal with the evacuation plans for the City of San Clemente, and that the Mayor be directed to communicate that position to the NRC.

Accordingly, we will appreciate your consideration of the City's position during your deliberations on the matter.

Very truly yours,


R. D. Limberg
Mayor

MLB:mw
Enclosures

Acknowledged by card... 6/8/81... mdy.

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

8107070270 810511
PDR ADDCK 05000361
H PDR

DOCKET NUMBER 50-361,362
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2 (111)
(46 FR 20215)


ACTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA

Addressed to: Lee Steelman, GUARD
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Southern California Edison Co. (P.O. Box 800 Rosemead, CA 91770)
Copy to: City Manager

Meeting of the City Council, City of San Clemente, California, held May 6, 1981

Present: COUNCIL MEMBERS — KOESTER, KORSSEN, LANE, MECHAM, AND LIMBERG
Absent: COUNCIL MEMBERS — NONE

Subject: 110 - GUARD Petition Relating to Licensing of San Onofre Unit II.



IN RE: Communication from Lee Steelman, GUARD President, requesting that the City communicate to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission its opposition to proposal to allow interim licensing of nuclear reactors before established licensing safety procedures are completed, including the appeals process; and accept the petition and allow additional signatures to the petition.

Ly: Hicks read from a prepared statement and concluded by urging the Council to take a position in opposition to the NRC granting the Edison Co. an interim low-power operating license at San Onofre. Michael Davis also submitted copies of petitions to the NRC bearing approximately 2,000 signatures opposing interim licensing.

Councilman Korsen commented that he had already written a personal letter to the NRC taking the same position--urging the NRC not to speed things up at San Onofre just because of the press of delays that stemmed from TMI.

Following further discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILMAN MECHAM, SECONDED BY COUNCILWOMAN KOESTER that the City Council take a position in opposition to the present procedure on interim licensing based upon the fact that we have not completed the safety hearings, particularly those which would deal with the evacuation plans for the City of San Clemente, and that the Mayor be directed to communicate with the NRC that particular position.

During discussion and comments by Council members, Mayor Limberg questioned the propriety of the Council adopting a position on the matter, commenting that since this is in a sense a special interest group he felt the community should have the opportunity to represent its viewpoints in a public hearing. The City Manager also suggested that from a position of public policy he would also recommend a public hearing be held on a matter of such importance.


Following discussion as to time constraints and expression of further comments by members of the Council, the Mayor CALLED FOR THE QUESTION WHICH CARRIED. Councilman Lane and Mayor Limberg voted "NO".

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }
COUNTY OF ORANGE, } SS.
CITY OF SAN CLEMENTE, }

I, MAX BERG, City Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the City Council of the City of San Clemente, California, do hereby certify the foregoing to be the official action taken by the City Council at the above meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 1st day of June, 19 81

(SEAL)


MAX L. BERG
City Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the City Council