

June 18, 1981


Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Sir or Ms.,

It has recently come to my attention there is a national letter-writing campaign asking for the re-starting of Unit 1 at the Three-Mile Island nuclear site. Upon reading of this campaign, I feel compelled to offer my opposition to any re-starting of Unit 1, and urge the site be permanently closed. I have read the investigative reports of the President's Committee on TMI, and feel it would be ridiculous for the NRC to allow the same management who allowed Unit 2 to almost melt down to run Unit 1. Please don't.

To be perfectly frank, I would like the NRC to assume a greater watch-dog role throughout the entire nuclear industry. I would particularly like for the NRC to more closely monitor the management of the Rancho Seco Nuclear Facility in California's central valley. As a resident of Sacramento, I am very aware this system is of similar construction to TMI's, and worry we may be the nuclear disaster the President's Committee predicted.

Thank you.



Sincerely,
David T. Lohse
David T. Lohse
1313 61st Street
Sacramento, CA 95819

*DS03
5:10*



Dear Mr. Ahearns:

6/24/81

We believe very strongly that Unit I of TMI should not be restarted. The lives and health of the human beings in the affected area must take moral precedence over the wishes of the nuclear industry which considers people all too expendable.

Sincerely yours,
Mr. and Mrs. I. R. Klingsberg

Ida G. Klingsberg
1901 J.F. Kennedy Blvd. apt 2018
Philadelphia, PA 19103



Mr. John Ahearns, Chairman
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.
Washington, D. C. 20555

DS03
50/1