NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



COMMISSION MEETING

In the Matter of: AFFIRMATION SESSION

DATE: May 21, 1981 PAGES: 1 - 7

AT: Washington, D. C.



400 Virginia Ave., S.W. Washington, D. C. 20024

Telaphone: (202) 554-2345

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	AFFIRMATION SESSION
6	
7	
8	Nuclear Regulatory Commissio Room 1130
9	Washington, D. C.
10	
11	Thursday, May 21, 1981
12	The Commission met, pursuant to notice, at
13	4:00 p.m.
	BEFORE:
14	JOSEPH M. HENDRIE, Chairman of the Commission
15	VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner
16	JOHN F. AHEARNE, Commissioner
17	PETER A. BRADFORD, Commissioner
18	
19	STAFF PRESENT:
20	
21	L. BICKWICK A. BATES
22	
23	
24	
25	

This is an unofficial transcript of a meeting of the United States Nuclear Legulatory Commission held on May 21, 1981 in the Commission's offices at LVLV E Street, N. V., Washington, D. C. The meeting was open to public attendance and observation. This transcript has not been reviewed, commercial, or edited, and it may commin inaccommends.

The transcript is immeded solely for general informational purposes. As provided by 10 CER 9.101, it is not part of the formal or informal record of decision of the marrays discussed. Impressions of opinion in this transcript do not necessarily reflect final decarringtions or beliefs. No pleading or other paper may be filled with the Commission in any proceeding as the result of or addressed to any statement or argument contained herein, thespe as the Commission may authorize.

PROCEEDINGS

- 2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The Commission will now devote
- 3 itself to an affirmation session. Those of you who are not
- 4 being affirmed please either remove yourselves or become
- 5 silent so that we can get on with the afternoon's work.
- 6 Onward, Sam.
- 7 MR. CHILK: Why don't we take the urantum mill
- 8 licensing requirement then which is SECY 81-276
- 9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right.
- 10 MR. CHILK: This is a revised draft which would
- 11 deny request for a stay requested by New Mexico and
- 12 operators of three uranium mills.
- 13 The Chairman, Commissioner Ahearne and
- 14 Commissioner Bradford have proved it and Commissioner
- 15 Gilinsky will approve it also.
- 16 Would you please affirm your vote.
- (Chorus of Ayes.)
- 18 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I thought Victor had
- 19 pulled it. Am I wrong?
- 20 MR. CHILK: I beg your pardon?
- 21 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I thought Victor had
- 22 pulled it. No?
- 23 MR. CHILK: He hadn't responded to it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: He just agreed to go with it.
- 25 MR. CHILK: Have I misrepresented something?

- 1 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I really don't know the
- 2 background at all except I was just told a soment ago that
- 3 that one wasn't on.
- 4 MR. CHILK: I had been told earlier that your
- 5 office had okayed it.
- 6 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I had okayed it earlier
- 7 but I was told there was a change in the order and I have
- 8 not seen the change.
- 9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Peter approved the later
- 10 change.
- 11 HR. BATES: I understand from the revised order
- 12 that came up from General Counsel that all the offices other
- 13 than Commissioner Gilinsky's had approved it.
- 14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: So all of us have approved the
- 15 revised one. Vic just said he was willing to go along if
- 16 everybody else was.
- 17 COMMISSIONER AMEARNE: Well, can we provisionally
- 18 affirm, and if Peter's check finds that he had approved the
- 19 revised order it holds?
- 20 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I know I had approved it.
- 21 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Oh, you had approved the
- 22 revised order?
- 23 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Three of us have approved the
- 25 revised order. So we have affirmed this thing.

- 1 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: My only puzzlement was
- 2 that I had been told that it wouldn't come up, but I had
- 3 approved it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You have to be careful of your
- 5 sources, Peter. It is an old problem in this town.
- 6 (Laughter.)
- 7 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Both protect and be
- 8 careful.
- 9 MR. CHILK: The second one is SECY 81-270 which is
- 10 conforming change to the emergency planning rule. It picks
- 11 up the adequate protection measures.
- 12 In this situation the Chairman and Commissioner
- 13 Gilinsky had approved the paper. Commissioner Bradford just
- 14 today indicated, as I understand it, that he would approve
- 15 if a phrase were deleted on the first page of the rule.
- 16 Commissioner Ahearne has not yet acted.
- 17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Well, I figured since this
- 18 whole thing was Peter's request and he hadn't acted on it ---
- (Laughter.)
- 20 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It is safe to wait.
- 21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How come you are objecting to
- 22 your proposal here? The game here is I take it you are
- 23 saying it isn't necessarily the statutory language.
- 24 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Well, it is the statutory
- 25 language, but we had that long tortured discussion in which

- 1 we began with the difference between the words "effective",
- 2 "appropriate" and "adequate" in this context and there was
- 3 some question on whether there was any difference between
- 4 the three words.
- It seemed to me that we wound up saying that there
- 6 was some measure of difference, but you after all you could
- 7 hardly quarrel with the statutory language. So we did take
- 8 "adequate."
- g I didn't think we did it just because it followed
- 10 the statutory language. I mean I had real substantive
- 11 reservations about the word "appropriate." My only concern
- 12 here was that I didn't want us to say that we took the word
- 13 "adequate solely to follow the statutory language and there
- 14 was not a dime's worth of difference between them.
- John I remember made the point at the time that he
- 16 didn't see how anything could be appropriate if it wasn't
- 17 also adequate. But it seemed to me that the staff said that
- 18 in fact there were circumstances in which something could be
- 19 appropriate and not be adequate.
- 20 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: My proposal would be to
- 21 accept Peter's deletion not because I can now remember why
- 22 we chose that but in the fear that we might have to go back
- 23 and read the transcript and resurrect the argument.
- 24 (Laughter.)
- 25 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, the important thing

- 1 is that was what the Commissioner settled on.
- 2 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Right.
- 3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That is why we are making
- 4 this change. So it seems to me that it is fine to take out
- 5 that phrase.
- 6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Unless, Len, you felt there
- 7 was some problem.
- 8 MR. BICKWIT: No, I don't have any problem taking
- 9 that out.
- 10 MR. CHILK: I take it you are all in agreement
- 11 with the order?
- 12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How did it get in?
- 13 MR. BICKWIT: We drafted it in. As Peter says,
- 14 this language does more closely track ---
- 15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, if you start a new
- 16 sentence you said the word "adequate" follows more closely
- 17 the statutory language.
- 18 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That would lessen the
- 19 problem. I still have it because at least for my own part
- 20 that wasn't the only reason we made the change. I mean,
- 21 there were four different people voting and there were a
- 22 mixture of feelings about why it was done.
- 23 This does say it was after extensive debate and
- 24 anybody who really cares can go back to the transcript and
- 25 discovery what each of the four of us had to say at the time.

1						CH	AI	R	MA	N	H	EN	D	R.	ΙE	:		A	1	1	r	19	Į h	t,	,	Ι	g	u	es	s	1	=	is	8	1	1	
2	rig	; h	t.																																		
3						co	H	! I	SS	I	NC	E	3	A	HE	A	R	YE.	:		S	ir	10	:8	I		at	•	th	e	t	in	e	di	d	n '	t
4	fee	21	i	t	va	s	re	a	11	Y	¥	01	ct	h	t	h	e	е	f	fo	בכ	t	1	n		a	ki	n	g	t	he	c	h a	ng	je	,	1
5	10	c	er	ta	in	17	r	10	t	g	oi	ng	3	to	0	r	e	go	e	n	t	ha	at	. 1	s h	0	le		is	SI	ıe						
6						HR		C	HI	L	K :		×	a	Y	I	:	Eo	E	ma	1	1;	Y	ha	1 7	e	Y	01	ur		vo	te	?				
7						(C	ho	פר	us		of	2	Y	e:	s.)																					
8						HR		C	HI	L	ĸ.		T	ha	a n	k	1	70	u																		
9						CH	A	R	H A	N	Н	EN	D	R	ΙE	:		T	h	at	٠.	s	1	t													
10						()	he	25	e	ıp(חס	,	a	t	4		0!	5	P	. :	ı .		t	:he	9	a	ff	i	rn	a	ti	on	*	e:	ss	10	חכ
11	cor	ac	1 u	de	d.)																															
12																		•	*	,																	
13																																					
14																																					
15																																					
16																																					
17																																					
18																																					
19																														8							
20																																					
21										-																											
22																																					
23																																					
24																																					
25																																					

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

in the matter	of: AFFIRMATION	SESSION	
	Date of Proceeding	:: May 21, 1981	
	Docket Mumber:		
	Place of Proceeds	.ng: Washington, D. C.	
	nerein appears, an ne file of the Com	d that this is the original mission.	transcrip
		Mary C. Simons	
		Official Reporter (Typed)	

May C Firmas
Official Reporter (Signature)