

EARLY DRAFTS A, B, C, & D

DRAFT PRELIMINARY VALUE/IMPACT STATEMENT FOR
REVISION TO 10 CFR 50

QUALIFICATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY

1. - PROPOSED ACTION

1.1 Description

Issue an Advance Notice of Rulemaking (ANR) as the first step in developing a regulation to require applicants and licensees of nuclear power plants to qualify mechanical equipment important to safety.

1.2 Need

A memorandum from H. R. Denton, Director of NRR, to R. B. Minogue, Director of OSD, dated September 2, 1980, defined the need and requested a single rulemaking to include environmental qualification of electrical equipment, independent equipment qualification testing and laboratory accreditation, and qualification of both electrical and mechanical equipment. The response memorandum from R. B. Minogue, Director of OSD, dated October 3, 1980, recommended separate rulemakings and an attempt to consolidate them at a later date. Subsequent discussions were held with Z. R. Rosztochy, Chief of Equipment Qualification Branch, and verbal agreement was reached to proceed with a separate rulemaking for qualification of mechanical equipment.

Additional background which defines a need for this rulemaking is, there are mechanical equipment problems in nuclear power plants. The large number of continuing monthly Licensee Event Reports (LERs) of valve and pump problems is evidence of mechanical equipment problems, and substantiates the need for the proposed regulation.

Recent directives and undertakings are aimed at electrical equipment qualification. NRR is preparing an NRC Equipment Qualification Program Plan which will soon be issued. This program plan includes ^{with electrical and} mechanical equipment qualification. *and will also will include this subcontracting.*

Current ~~reg~~ requirements for equipment qualification aren't clearly defined and are located under topic headings other than qualification. The proposed regulation will clearly identify the equipment qualification requirement and provide for incorporation of detailed requirement as they are developed.

2. VALUE/IMPACT

2.1 NRC

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and provide additional assurance of safety in new and operating plants.

The regulation will provide a consistent basis for staff review of construction and operating permits. Cost savings will be obtained in terms of reduced manhours and the licensing process will be accelerated.

2.2 Other Government Agencies

Other government agencies, such as the Department of Energy, could be impacted if they have test facilities which could be used, are requested to develop facilities, or are requested to perform subcontracting work related to equipment qualification. There will also be impact on a government agency such as IVA, since this agency is also an applicant or licensee.

2.3 Industry

2.3.1 Applicants and Licensees

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and unscheduled shutdowns.

A clearly identified requirement for equipment qualification will eliminate misunderstanding and licensing delays.

There could be significant impact since facilities capable of testing the largest size equipment are not available. If test facilities have to be constructed the cost impact will be magnified.

Backfitting costs could be significant if tests of equipment of the same design as existing installed equipment fails qualification.

2.3.2 Manufacturers

Equipment manufacturers would depend on the licensee's or private test facilities and consultants to perform and evaluate qualification requirements. The manufacturers could be severely impacted due to the unknown expense. They may choose not to bid or they may furnish equipment at the licensee's risk.

2.4 Public

Public safety would be improved due to equipment qualification. There would be an increased level of confidence in the industry.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 Alternatives

There are many ways to qualify equipment. Some alternatives are -

- (1) qualification testing of actual equipment;
- (2) qualification testing by (1), in combination with analysis;
- (3) qualification testing by (1), in combination with similar usage experience; or
- (4) qualification testing of smaller size of similar design equipment, in combination with tests of actual size equipment or tests of sub-parts of actual size equipment.

Additional alternatives and suggestions will be requested of industry and the public in the ANR. A discussion and decision on the technical approach will be made after all comments are reviewed and evaluated.

4. PROCEDURAL APPROACH

4.1 Alternatives

The need for a regulation for mechanical equipment qualification has been recognized, and consideration was given to the procedural alternatives of -

- (1) issuing a proposed rule;
- (2) issuing an advance notice of rulemaking before issuing a proposed rule; or
- (3) holding a public meeting to obtain information before issuing a proposed rule.

4.2 Discussion

This regulation has significant impact potential, many technical alternatives, and possibility for controversies. There is a shortage of published mechanical equipment qualification national standards, Regulatory Guides, and test facilities for large size equipment. Many issues are undecided and the need exists to obtain industry and public input.

4.3 Decision

An advance notice of rulemaking is considered a necessary prerequisite before developing the proposed rule, and is selected as the means to notify industry and the public of the proposed rule and obtain their early participation in its development.

5. STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 NRC Authority

The requirements for equipment qualification are presently embodied in many different sections of 10 CFR Part 50, and in one case in 10 CFR Part 100, under topic headings other than qualification. This rulemaking will expand and clarify existing requirements and make them visible.

5.2 Need for NEPA Assessment

The proposed action is not a major action, as defined by paragraph 10 CFR 51.5(a)(10), and does not require an environmental impact statement. This will be reconsidered after the ANR comments are received.

6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED REGULATIONS OR POLICIES

This proposed rule is closely related to the rulemakings currently going forward on (1) electrical equipment qualification and (2) accreditation of laboratories that perform qualification testing.

This proposed rule relates to the TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Action Plan requirements of, qualification and testing of BWR and PWR safety and relief valves, and PWR block valves.

7. CONCLUSION

An advance notice of rulemaking will be prepared as the first step in the development of a regulation on qualification of mechanical equipment important to safety in nuclear power plants.

11/17/80
1 - 1-A

DRAFT PRELIMINARY VALUE/IMPACT STATEMENT FOR
REVISION 10 10 CFR 50
QUALIFICATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY

1. PROPOSED ACTION

1.1 Description

Issue an Advance Notice of Rulemaking (ANR) as the first step in developing a regulation to require applicants and licensees of nuclear power plants to qualify mechanical equipment important to safety.

1.2 Need

A memorandum from H. R. Denton, Director of NRR, to R. B. Minogue, Director of OSD, dated September 2, 1980, defined the need and requested a single rulemaking to include environmental qualification of electrical equipment, independent equipment qualification testing and laboratory accreditation, and qualification of both electrical and mechanical equipment. The response memorandum from R. B. Minogue, Director of OSD, dated October 3, 1980, recommended separate rulemakings and an attempt to consolidate them at a later date. Subsequent discussions were held with Z. R. Rosztoczy, Chief of Equipment Qualification Branch, and verbal agreement was reached to proceed with a separate rulemaking for qualification of mechanical equipment.

Additional background which defines a need for this rulemaking is, there are mechanical equipment problems in nuclear power plants. The large number of continuing monthly Licensee Event Reports (LERs) of valve and pump problems is evidence of mechanical equipment problems, and substantiates the need for the proposed regulation.

Recent directives and undertakings are aimed at electrical equipment qualification. NRR is preparing an NRC Equipment Qualification Program Plan which will soon be issued. This program plan includes mechanical equipment qualification.

Current legal requirements for equipment qualification aren't clearly defined and are located under topic headings other than qualification. The proposed regulation will clearly identify the equipment qualification requirement and provide for incorporation of detailed requirement as they are developed.

2. VALUE/IMPACT

2.1 NRC

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and provide additional assurance of safety in new and operating plants.

The regulation will provide a consistent basis for staff review of construction and operating permits. Cost savings will be obtained in terms of reduced manhours and the licensing process will be accelerated.

2.2 Other Government Agencies

Other government agencies, such as the Department of Energy, could be impacted if they have test facilities which could be used, are requested to develop facilities, or are requested to perform subcontracting work related to equipment qualification. There will also be impact on a government agency such as IVA, since this agency is also an applicant or licensee.

2.3 Industry

2.3.1 Applicants and Licensees

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and unscheduled shutdowns.

A clearly identified requirement for equipment qualification will eliminate misunderstanding and licensing delays.

There could be significant impact since facilities capable of testing the largest size equipment are not available. If test facilities have to be constructed the cost impact will be magnified.

Backfitting costs could be significant if tests of equipment of the same design as existing installed equipment fails qualification.

2.3.2 Manufacturers

Equipment manufacturers would depend on the licensee's or private test facilities and consultants to perform and evaluate qualification requirements. The manufacturers could be severely impacted due to the unknown expense. They may choose not to bid or they may furnish equipment at the licensee's risk.

2.4 Public

Public safety would be improved due to equipment qualification. There would be an increased level of confidence in the industry.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 Alternatives

There are many ways to qualify equipment. Some alternatives are -

- (1) qualification testing of actual equipment;
- (2) qualification testing by (1), in combination with analysis;
- (3) qualification testing by (1), in combination with similar usage experience; or
- (4) qualification testing of smaller size of similar design equipment, in combination with tests of actual size equipment or tests of sub-parts of actual size equipment.

Additional alternatives and suggestions will be requested of industry and the public in the ANR. A discussion and decision on the technical approach will be made after all comments are reviewed and evaluated.

4. PROCEDURAL APPROACH

4.1 Alternatives

The need for a regulation for mechanical equipment qualification has been recognized, and consideration was given to the procedural alternatives of -

- (1) issuing a proposed rule;
- (2) issuing an advance notice of rulemaking before issuing a proposed rule; or
- (3) holding a public meeting to obtain information before issuing a proposed rule.

4.2 Discussion

This regulation has significant impact potential, many technical alternatives, and possibility for controversies. There is a shortage of published mechanical equipment qualification national standards, Regulatory Guides, and test facilities for large size equipment. Many issues are undecided and the need exists to obtain industry and public input.

4.3 Decision

An advance notice of rulemaking is considered a necessary prerequisite before developing the proposed rule, and is selected as the means to notify industry and the public of the proposed rule and obtain their early participation in its development.

5. STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 NRC Authority

The requirements for equipment qualification are presently embodied in many different sections of 10 CFR Part 40, and in one case in 10 CFR Part 100, under topic headings other than qualification. This rulemaking will expand and clarify existing requirements and make them visible.

5.2 Need for NEPA Assessment

The proposed action is not a major action, as defined by paragraph 10 CFR 51.5(a)(10), and does not require an environmental impact statement. This will be reconsidered after the ANR comments are received.

6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED REGULATIONS OR POLICIES

This proposed rule is closely related to the rulemakings currently going forward on (1) electrical equipment qualification and (2) accreditation of laboratories that perform qualification testing.

This proposed rule relates to the TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Action Plan requirements of, qualification and testing of BWR and PWR safety and relief valves, and PWR block valves.

7. CONCLUSION

An advance notice of rulemaking will be prepared as the first step in the development of a regulation on qualification of mechanical equipment important to safety in nuclear power plants.

TASK CONTROL FORM

DATE: 2/20/81

REQUESTOR Z. R. Rosztoczy EXT. 28035 ORGANIZATION NRR

TASK LEADER H. I. Gregg INIT HIG BR. CHIEF W. F. Anderson INIT WFA

TASK NUMBER SC 138-1 DU/PA NUMBER 1111

TASK DESCRIPTION (100 CHARACTERS) Develop Reg. on Qualif. of Mech. Equip. via Adv. Notice of Rulemaking Process

TASK PRIORITY A

TASK TYPE _____

START DATE Jan 14, 1981

ESTIMATED COMP DATE Aug. 15, 1981 to issue ANR

TASK ACTION ADD DELETE _____ CHANGE _____ TRANSFER _____

INTO HOLD _____ OUT OF HOLD _____ NEW TASK NO. SC 138-1

EFFECTIVE DATE OF ACTION Feb. 20, 1981 WORKING DAYS SLIPPAGE _____

COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS	DIVISION	APPROVAL	DATE
NRR <u>C. Hofmayer</u>	<u>DE</u>	_____	_____
IMSS _____	_____	_____	_____
IE <u>W. R. Rutherford</u>	<u>DRRRI</u>	_____	_____
OTHER RES (SPECIFY) <u>J. Burns/M. Stolzenberg</u>	<u>DRSR</u>	_____	_____

SD APPROVAL: TL H.I. Gregg PSB _____ BC W.F. Anderson AD _____

DATE 2/23/81 DATE _____ DATE 2/13/81 DATE _____

APPROVED ASST. DIV. DIR. (SD) _____ DATE _____

COMMENTS This is the task initiation for developing a regulation on qualification of mechanical equipment via an advance notice of rulemaking.

The draft preliminary Value/Impact Statement is attached.

cc: SD OFFICE DIRECTOR COGNIZANT DIV. DIRECTOR
 SD DEPUTY DIRECTOR COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS
 SD DIVISION DIRECTOR MPA ANALYST

ADVANCE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING; MECHANICAL
EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

Prepare Prelim. Value/Impact Statement & TIF	2-20-81
TIF Approved	2-30-81
Initial ANR Draft Complete	3-30-81
Prelim. Interoffice Review Complete	4-25-81
Resolution of Comments Complete	5-15-81
Final ANR Complete	5-25-81
FINAL ANR Concurrence Complete	6-20-81
Submit to EDO/Commission	6-30-81
ANR Published in Federal Register	8-15-81

DRAFT PRELIMINARY VALUE/IMPACT STATEMENT FOR
REVISION TO 10 CFR 50
QUALIFICATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY

1. PROPOSED ACTION

1.1 Description

Issue an Advance Notice of Rulemaking (ANR) as the first step in developing a regulation to require applicants and licensees of nuclear power plants to qualify mechanical equipment important to safety.

1.2 Need

A memorandum from H. R. Denton, Director of NRR, to R. B. Minogue, Director of OSD, dated September 2, 1980, defined the need and requested a single rulemaking to include environmental qualification of electrical equipment, independent equipment qualification testing and laboratory accreditation, and qualification of both electrical and mechanical equipment. The response memorandum from R. B. Minogue, Director of OSD, recommended separate rulemakings and an attempt to consolidate them at a later date. Subsequent discussions were held with Z. R. Rosztoczy, Chief of Equipment Qualification Branch, and verbal agreement was reached to proceed with a separate rulemaking for qualification of mechanical equipment.

Additional background which defines a need for this rulemaking is, there are mechanical equipment problems in nuclear power plants. The large number of continuing monthly Licensee Event Reports (LERs) of valve and pump problems is evidence of mechanical equipment problems, and substantiates the need for the proposed regulation.

Recent directives and undertakings are aimed at electrical equipment qualification. NRR is preparing an NRC Equipment Qualification Program Plan

which will soon be issued. This program plan includes mechanical equipment qualification.

Current legal requirements for equipment qualification aren't clearly defined and are located under topic headings other than qualification. The proposed regulation will clearly identify the equipment qualification requirement and provide for incorporation of detailed requirement as they are developed.

2. VALUE/IMPACT

2.1 NRC

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and provide additional ensurance of safety in new and operating plants.

The regulation will provide a consistent basis for staff review of construction and operating permits. Cost savings will be obtained in terms of reduced manhours and the licensing process will be accelerated.

2.2 Other Government Agencies

Other government agencies, such as the Department of Energy, could be impacted if they have test facilities which could be used, are requested to develop facilities, or are requested to perform subcontracting work related to equipment qualification. There will also be impact on a government agency such as TVA, since this agency is also an applicant or licensee.

2.3 Industry

2.3.1 Applicants and Licensees

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and unscheduled shutdowns.

A clearly identified requirement for equipment qualification will eliminate misunderstanding and licensing delays.

There could be significant impact since facilities capable of testing the largest size equipment are not available. If test facilities have to be constructed the cost impact will be magnified.

Backfitting costs could be significant if tests of equipment of the same design as existing installed equipment fails qualification.

2.3.2 Manufacturers

Equipment manufacturers would depend on the licensee's or private test facilities and consultants to perform and evaluate qualification requirements. The manufacturers could be severely impacted due to the unknown expense. They may choose not to bid or they may furnish equipment at the licensee's risk.

2.4 Public

Public safety would be improved due to equipment qualification. There would be an increased level of confidence in the industry.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 Alternatives

There are many ways to qualify equipment. Some alternatives are -

- (1) qualification testing of actual equipment;
- (2) qualification testing by (1), in combination with analysis;
- (3) qualification testing by (1), in combination with similar usage experience; or
- (4) qualification testing of smaller size of similar design equipment, in combination with tests of actual size equipment or tests of sub-parts of actual size equipment.

Additional alternatives and suggestions will be requested of industry and the public in the ANR. A discussion and decision on the technical approach will be made after all comments are reviewed and evaluated.

4. PROCEDURAL APPROACH

4.1 Alternatives

The need for a regulation for mechanical equipment qualification has been recognized, and consideration was given to the procedural alternatives of -

- (1) issuing a proposed rule;
- (2) issuing an advance notice of rulemaking before issuing a proposed rule; or
- (3) holding a public meeting to obtain information before issuing a proposed rule.

4.2 Discussion

This regulation has significant impact potential, many technical alternatives, and possibility for controversies. There is a shortage of published mechanical equipment qualification national standards, Regulatory Guides, and test facilities for large size equipment. Many issues are undecided and the need exists to obtain industry and public input.

4.3 Decision

An advance notice of rulemaking is considered a necessary prerequisite before developing the proposed rule, and is selected as the means to notify industry and the public of the proposed rule and obtain their early participation in its development.

5. STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 NRC Authority

The requirements for equipment qualification are presently embodied in many different sections of 10 CFR Part 50, and in one case in 10 CFR Part 100, under topic headings other than qualification. This rulemaking will expand and clarify existing requirements and make them visible.

5.2 Need for NEPA Assessment

The proposed action is not a major action, as defined by paragraph 10 CFR 51.5(a)(10), and does not require an environmental impact statement.

6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED REGULATIONS OR POLICIES

This proposed rule is closely related to the rulemakings currently going forward on (1) electrical equipment qualification and (2) accreditation of laboratories that perform qualification testing.

This proposed rule relates to the TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Action Plan requirements of, qualification and testing of BWR and PWR safety and relief valves, and PWR block valves.

7. CONCLUSION

An advance notice of rulemaking will be prepared as the first step in the development of a regulation on qualification of mechanical equipment important to safety in nuclear power plants.

TASK CONTROL FORM

DATE: _____

REQUESTOR Z. R. Rosztoczy EXT. 28035 ORGANIZATION NRR
 TASK LEADER H. I. Gregg INIT HIG BR. CHIEF W. F. Anderson INIT WFA
 TASK NUMBER SC 138-1 DU/PA NUMBER 1111
 TASK DESCRIPTION (100 CHARACTERS) Develop Reg. on Qualif. of Mech. Equip. via
Adv. Notice of Rulemaking Process

TASK PRIORITY A TASK TYPE _____
 START DATE Jan 14, 1981 ESTIMATED COMP DATE Aug. 15, 1981 to issue ANF
 TASK ACTION ADD DELETE _____ CHANGE _____ TRANSFER _____
 INTO HOLD _____ OUT OF HOLD _____ NEW TASK NO. SC 138-1
 EFFECTIVE DATE OF ACTION Feb. 10, 1981 WORKING DAYS SLIPPAGE _____

	COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS	DIVISION	APPROVAL	DATE
NRR	<u>C. Hofmayer</u>	<u>DE</u>	_____	_____
NMSS	_____	_____	_____	_____
IE	<u>W. R. Rutherford</u>	<u>DRRRI</u>	_____	_____
OTHER RES (SPECIFY)	<u>J. Burns/M. Stolzenberg</u>	<u>DRSR</u>	_____	_____

SD APPROVAL: TL H. I. Gregg PSB BC E. S. F. AD
 DATE 2/12/81 DATE _____ DATE 2/13/81 DATE _____

APPROVED ASST. DIV. DIR. (SD) _____ DATE _____

COMMENTS

cc: SD OFFICE DIRECTOR COGNIZANT DIV. DIRECTOR
 SD DEPUTY DIRECTOR COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS
 SD DIVISION DIRECTOR MPA ANALYST

ADVANCE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING; MECHANICAL
EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

Prepare Prelim. Value/Impact Statement & TIF	2-10-81	2-10-81
TIF Approved	2-20-81	2-30-81
Initial ANR Draft Complete	3-20-81	3-30-81
Prelim. Interoffice Concurrence Complete	4-15-81	4-25-81
Resolution of Comments Complete	5-5-81	5-15-81
Final ANR Complete	5-15-81	5-25-81
Final ANR Concurrence Complete	6-10-81	6-20-81
Submit to EDO/Commission	6-20-81	6-30-81
ANR Published in Federal Register	7-30-81	8-15-81

Col. Wm. A. Begg.

See comments
marked on VIS.

WMA

2/13

DRAFT PRELIMINARY VALUE/IMPACT STATEMENT FOR

Testing
REVISION TO 10 CFR 50
QUALIFICATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY

Testing is only one way to qualify

1. PROPOSED ACTION

1.1 Description

Issue an Advance Notice of Rulemaking (ANR) as the first step in developing a regulation to require applicants and licensees of nuclear power plants to qualify mechanical equipment important to safety. *by test*

1.2 Need

→ There are mechanical equipment problems in nuclear power plants. The large number of continuing monthly Licensee Event Reports (LERs) of valve and pump problems is evidence of mechanical equipment problems, and substantiates the need for the proposed regulation.

Recent directives and undertakings are aimed at electrical equipment qualification. *Testing* There is an NRC Equipment Qualification Program Plan in final stage of preparation. *by whom. When will it be used.* This program plan includes mechanical equipment qualification.

Current legal requirements for equipment qualification *testing* aren't clearly defined and are located under topic headings other than qualification. The proposed regulation will clearly identify the equipment qualification *testing* requirement and provide for incorporation of detailed requirement as they are developed.

You show Ross says as she requests, How did he request it? aren't there documents you can reference giving the rationale for this need. We didn't just draw this up based on review of LER's.

2. VALUE/IMPACT

2.1 NRC

Equipment qualification ^{testing} should reduce the number of equipment problems and provide additional ensurance of safety in new and operating plants.

The regulation will provide a consistent basis for staff review of construction and operating permits. Cost savings will be obtained in terms of reduced manhours and the licensing process will be accelerated.

2.2 Other Government Agencies

Other government agencies, such as the Department of Energy, could be impacted if they have test facilities which could be used, are requested to develop facilities, or are requested to perform subcontracting work related to equipment qualification. There will also be impact on a government agency such as TVA, since this agency is also an applicant or licensee.

2.3 Industry

2.3.1 Applicants and Licensees

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and unscheduled shutdowns.

A clearly identified requirement for equipment qualification ^{testing} will eliminate misunderstanding and licensing delays.

There could be significant impact since facilities capable of testing the largest size equipment are not available. If test facilities have to be constructed the cost impact will be magnified.

Backfitting costs could be significant if existing equipment fails qualification ^{testing}

very good point

as we going to require qual. testing of existing equipment?

2.3.2 Manufacturers

Equipment manufacturers would depend on the licensee's or private test facilities and consultants to perform and evaluate qualification ^{testing} requirements. The manufacturers could be severely impacted due to the unknown expense. They may choose not to bid or they may furnish equipment at the licensee's risk.

2.4 Public

Public safety would be improved due to equipment qualification. There would be an increased level of confidence in the industry.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 Alternatives

There are many ways to qualify equipment. Some alternatives are -

- (1) qualification testing of actual equipment;
- (2) qualification testing by (1), in combination with analysis;
- (3) qualification testing by (1), in combination with similar usage experience; or
- (4) qualification testing of smaller size of similar design equipment, in combination with tests of actual size equipment or tests of sub-parts of actual size equipment.

Additional alternatives and suggestions will be requested of industry and the public in the ANR. A discussion and decision on the technical approach will be made after all comments are reviewed and evaluated.

4. PROCEDURAL APPROACH

4.1 Alternatives

The need for a regulation for mechanical equipment qualification, ^{testing} has been shown, ^{by whom?} and the decision was made to proceed. Consideration was given to the procedural alternatives of -

- (1) issuing a proposed rule;
- (2) issuing an advance notice of rulemaking; ^{or} ^{before issuing a proposed rule}
- (3) holding a public meeting to obtain information. ^{" " " "}

4.2 Discussion

This regulation has significant impact potential, many technical alternatives, and possibility for controversies. There is a shortage of published mechanical equipment qualification National ^{LC} Standards, Regulatory Guides, and test facilities for large size equipment. Many issues are undecided and the need exists to obtain industry and public input.

4.3 Decision

^{an} The advance notice of rulemaking was considered ^a necessary, and was selected as the means to notify industry and the public of the proposed rule and to obtain their early participation in its development.

prerequisites before developing the proposed rule

5. STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 NRC Authority

The requirements for equipment qualification, ^{testing} are presently embodied in many different sections of 10 CFR 50, and in one case in 10 CFR 100, under topic headings other than qualification, ^{testing} This rulemaking will expand and clarify existing requirements and make them visible.

U.S. testing.

5.2 Need for NEPA Assessment

The proposed action is not a major action, as defined by paragraph 10 CFR 51.5(a)(10), and does not require an environmental impact statement.

6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED REGULATIONS OR POLICIES

This proposed rule is closely related to the rulemakings currently going forward on (1) electrical equipment qualification and (2) accreditation of laboratories that perform qualification testing.

This proposed rule relates to the TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Action Plan requirements of, qualification and testing of BWR and PWR safety and relief valves, and PWR block valves.

7. CONCLUSION

An advance notice of rulemaking will be prepared as the first step in the development of a regulation on qualification ^{testing} of mechanical equipment important to safety in nuclear power plants.

DRAFT PRELIMINARY VALUE/IMPACT STATEMENT FOR
REVISION TO 10 CFR 50
QUALIFICATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY

1. PROPOSED ACTION

1.1 Description

Issue an Advance Notice of Rulemaking (ANR) as the first step in developing a regulation to require applicants and licensees of nuclear power plants to qualify mechanical equipment important to safety.

1.2 Need

There are mechanical equipment problems in nuclear power plants. The large number of continuing monthly Licensee Event Reports (LERs) of valve and pump problems is evidence of mechanical equipment problems, and substantiates the need for the proposed regulation.

Recent directives and undertakings are aimed at electrical equipment qualification. There is an NRC Equipment Qualification Program Plan in final stage of preparation. This program plan includes mechanical equipment qualification.

Current legal requirements for equipment qualification aren't clearly defined and are located under topic headings other than qualification. The proposed regulation will clearly identify the equipment qualification requirement and provide for incorporation of detailed requirement as they are, developed.

2. VALUE/IMPACT

2.1 NRC

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and provide additional assurance of safety in new and operating plants.

The regulation will provide a consistent basis for staff review of construction and operating permits. Cost savings will be obtained in terms of reduced manhours and the licensing process will be accelerated.

2.2 Other Government Agencies

Other government agencies, such as the Department of Energy, could be impacted if they have test facilities which could be used, are requested to develop facilities, or are requested to perform subcontracting work related to equipment qualification. There will also be impact on a government agency such as TVA, since this agency is also an applicant or licensee.

2.3 Industry

2.3.1 Applicants and Licensees

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and unscheduled shutdowns.

A clearly identified requirement for equipment qualification will eliminate misunderstanding and licensing delays.

There could be significant impact since facilities capable of testing the largest size equipment are not available. If test facilities have to be constructed the cost impact will be magnified.

Backfitting costs could be significant if existing equipment fails qualification.

2.3.2 Manufacturers

Equipment manufacturers would depend on the licensee's or private test facilities and consultants to perform and evaluate qualification requirements. The manufacturers could be severely impacted due to the unknown expense. They may choose not to bid or they may furnish equipment at the licensee's risk.

2.4 Public

Public safety would be improved due to equipment qualification. There would be an increased level of confidence in the industry.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 Alternatives

There are many ways to qualify equipment. Some alternatives are -

- (1) qualification testing of actual equipment;
- (2) qualification testing by (1), in combination with analysis;
- (3) qualification testing by (1), in combination with similar usage experience; or
- (4) qualification testing of smaller size of similar design equipment, in combination with tests of actual size equipment or tests of sub-parts of actual size equipment.

Additional alternatives and suggestions will be requested of industry and the public in the ANR. A discussion and decision on the technical approach will be made after all comments are reviewed and evaluated.

4. PROCEDURAL APPROACH

4.1 Alternatives

The need for a regulation for mechanical equipment qualification has been shown, and the decision was made to proceed. Consideration was given to the procedural alternatives of -

- (1) issuing a proposed rule;
- (2) issuing an advance notice of rulemaking; or
- (3) holding a public meeting to obtain information.

4.2 Discussion

This regulation has significant impact potential, many technical alternatives, and possibility for controversies. There is a shortage of published mechanical equipment qualification National Standards, Regulatory Guides, and test facilities for large size equipment. Many issues are undecided and the need exists to obtain industry and public input.

4.3 Decision

The advance notice of rulemaking was considered necessary and was selected as the means to notify industry and the public of the proposed rule and to obtain their early participation in its development.

5. STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 NRC Authority

The requirements for equipment qualification are presently embodied in many different sections of 10 CFR 50, and in one case in 10 CFR 100, under topic headings other than qualification. This rulemaking will expand and clarify existing requirements and make them visible.

5.2 Need for NEPA Assessment

The proposed action is not a major action, as defined by paragraph 10 CFR 51.5(a)(10), and does not require an environmental impact statement.

6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED REGULATIONS OR POLICIES

This proposed rule is closely related to the rulemakings currently going forward on (1) electrical equipment qualification and (2) accreditation of laboratories that perform qualification testing.

This proposed rule relates to the TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Action Plan requirements of, qualification and testing of BWR and PWR safety and relief valves, and PWR block valves.

7. CONCLUSION

An advance notice of rulemaking will be prepared as the first step in the development of a regulation on qualification of mechanical equipment important to safety in nuclear power plants.

TASK CONTROL FORM

DATE: 2/12/80

REQUESTOR Z. R. Rosztoczy EXT. 28035 ORGANIZATION NRR

TASK LEADER H. I. Gregg INIT HIG BR. CHIEF W. F. Anderson INIT

TASK NUMBER SC 138-1 DU/PA NUMBER 1111

TASK DESCRIPTION (100 CHARACTERS) Develop Reg. on Qualif. of Mech. Equip. via Adv. Notice of Rulemaking Process

TASK PRIORITY A

TASK TYPE _____

START DATE Jan 14, 1981

ESTIMATED COMP DATE July 30, 1981 to issue ANF

TASK ACTION ADD DELETE _____ CHANGE _____ TRANSFER _____

INTO HOLD _____ OUT OF HOLD _____ NEW TASK NO. SC 138-1

EFFECTIVE DATE OF ACTION Feb. 10, 1981 WORKING DAYS SLIPPAGE _____

	COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS	DIVISION	APPROVAL	DATE
NRR	<u>C. Hofmayer</u>	<u>DE</u>	_____	_____
NMSS	_____	_____	_____	_____
IE	<u>W. R. Rutherford</u>	<u>DRRRI</u>	_____	_____
OTHER RES (SPECIFY)	<u>J. Burns/M. Stolzenberg</u>	<u>DRSR</u>	_____	_____

SD APPROVAL: H.I. Gregg PSB _____ BC _____ AD _____
 DATE 2/12/81 DATE _____ DATE _____ DATE _____

APPROVED ASST. DIV. DIR. (SD) _____ DATE _____

COMMENTS

cc: SD OFFICE DIRECTOR COGNIZANT DIV. DIRECTOR
 SD DEPUTY DIRECTOR COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS
 SD DIVISION DIRECTOR MPA ANALYST

ADVANCE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING; MECHANICAL
EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

Prepare Prelim. Value/Impact Statement & TIF	2-10-81
TIF Approved	2-20-81
Initial ANR Draft Complete	3-20-81
Prelim. Interoffice Concurrence Complete	4-15-81
Resolution of Comments Complete	5-5-81
Final ANR Complete	5-15-81
Final ANR Concurrence Complete	6-10-81
Submit to EDO/Commission	6-20-81
ANR Published in Federal Register	7-30-81

31
cut

211-7

DRAFT PRELIMINARY VALUE/IMPACT STATEMENT FOR

REVISION TO 10 CFR 50

QUALIFICATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY

1. PROPOSED ACTION

1.1 Description

Issue an Advance Notice of Rulemaking ^(ANR) as the first step in developing a regulation to require applicants and licensees of nuclear power plants to qualify mechanical equipment important to safety.

1.2 Need

There are mechanical equipment problems in nuclear power plants. The large number of continuing monthly Licensee Event Reports (LERs) of valve and pump problems is evidence of mechanical equipment problems, and substantiates the need for the proposed regulation.

Recent directives and undertakings are aimed at electrical equipment qualification. There is an NRC Equipment Qualification Program Plan in final stage of preparation. This program plan includes mechanical equipment qualification.

Current legal requirements for equipment qualification aren't clearly defined and are located under topic headings other than qualification. The proposed regulation will clearly identify the equipment qualification requirement and provide for incorporation of detailed requirement as they are developed.

2. VALUE/IMPACT

2.1 NRC

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and provide additional assurance of safety in new and operating plants.

The regulation will provide a consistent basis for staff review of construction and operating permits. Cost savings will be obtained in terms of reduced manhours and the licensing process will be accelerated.

2.2 Other Government Agencies

Other government agencies, such as the Department of Energy, could be impacted if they have test facilities which could be used, are requested to develop facilities, or are requested to perform subcontracting work related to equipment qualification. There will also be impact on a government agency such as TVA since this agency is also an applicant or licensee.

2.3 Industry

2.3.1 Applicants and Licensees

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and unscheduled shutdowns.

A clearly identified requirement for equipment qualification will eliminate misunderstanding and licensing delays.

There could be significant impact since facilities capable of testing the largest size equipment are not available. If test facilities have to be constructed the cost impact will be magnified.

Backfitting costs could be significant if existing equipment fails qualification.

2.3.2 Manufacturers

Equipment manufacturers would depend on the licensee's or private test facilities and consultants to perform and evaluate qualification requirements. The manufacturers could be severely impacted due to the unknown expense. They may choose not to bid or they may furnish equipment at the licensee's risk.

2.4 Public

Public safety would be improved due to equipment qualification. There would be an increased level of confidence in the industry.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 Alternatives

There are many ways to qualify equipment. Some alternatives are (1)

- (1) qualification testing of actual equipment;
- (2) qualification testing by (1), in combination with analysis;
- (3) qualification testing by (1), in combination with similar usage experience; or
- (4) qualification testing of smaller size of similar design equipment, in combination with tests of actual size equipment or tests of sub-parts of actual size equipment.

Additional alternatives and suggestions will be requested of industry and the public in the ANR. A discussion and decision on the technical approach will be made after all comments are reviewed and evaluated.

4. PROCEDURAL APPROACH

4.1 Alternatives

The need for a regulation for mechanical equipment qualification has been shown, and the decision was made to proceed. Consideration was given to the procedural alternatives of (1)

- (1) issuing a proposed rule;
- (2) issuing an advance notice of rulemaking; or
- (3) holding a public meeting to obtain information.

4.2 Discussion

This regulation has significant impact potential, many technical alternatives, ^{possibility for} and possible controversies, ~~and the need exists to obtain industry and public~~ ^{mechanical equipment qualification} ~~input~~. There is a shortage of published National Standards, Regulatory Guides, and test facilities for large size equipment, ~~and many issues are undecided~~. *Many issues are undecided and the need exists to obtain industry and public input.*

4.3 Decision

The advance notice of rulemaking was considered necessary and was selected as the means to notify industry and the public of the proposed rule and to obtain their early participation in its development.

5. STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 NRC Authority

The requirements for equipment qualification are presently embodied in many different sections of 10 CFR 50, and in one case in 10 CFR 100, under topic headings other than qualification. This rulemaking will expand and clarify existing requirements and make them visible.

5.2 Need for NEPA Assessment

The proposed action is not a major action, as defined by paragraph 10 CFR 51.5(a)(10), and does not require an environmental impact statement.

6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED REGULATIONS OR POLICIES

This proposed rule is closely related to the rulemakings currently going forward on (1) electrical equipment qualification and (2) accreditation of laboratories that perform qualification testing.

This proposed rule relates to the TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Action Plan requirements of, qualification and testing of BWR and PWR safety and relief valves, and PWR block valves.

7. CONCLUSION

An advance notice of rulemaking (~~ANR~~) will be prepared as the first step in ^{the} development of a regulation on qualification of mechanical equipment important to safety in nuclear power plants.

DATE: 2/12/81

REQUESTOR Z. R. Rosztoczy EXT. 28035 ORGANIZATION NRR

TASK LEADER H. I. Gregg INIT HIG BR. CHIEF W. F. Anderson INIT _____

TASK NUMBER SC 138-1 DU/PA NUMBER 1111

TASK DESCRIPTION (100 CHARACTERS) Develop Reg. on Qualif. of Mech. Equip. via
Adv. Notice of Rulemaking Process

TASK PRIORITY A

TASK TYPE _____

START DATE Jan 14, 1981

ESTIMATED COMP DATE July 30, 1981 to issue AN

TASK ACTION ADD DELETE _____ CHANGE _____ TRANSFER _____

INTO HOLD _____ OUT OF HOLD _____ NEW TASK NO. SC 138-1

EFFECTIVE DATE OF ACTION Feb. 10, 1981 WORKING DAYS SLIPPAGE _____

	COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS	DIVISION	APPROVAL	DATE
NRR	<u>C. Hofmayer</u>	<u>DE</u>	_____	_____
NMSS	_____	_____	_____	_____
IE	<u>W. R. Rutherford</u>	<u>DRRRI</u>	_____	_____
OTHER RES (SPECIFY)	<u>J. Burns/M. Stolzenberg</u>	<u>DRSR</u>	_____	_____

SD APPROVAL: TL H.I. Gregg PSB _____ BC _____ AD _____

DATE 2/12/81 DATE _____ DATE _____ DATE _____

APPROVED ASST. DIV. DIR. (SD) _____ DATE _____

COMMENTS

cc: SD OFFICE DIRECTOR COGNIZANT DIV. DIRECTOR
SD DEPUTY DIRECTOR COGNIZANT INDIVIDUALS
SD DIVISION DIRECTOR MPA ANALYST

ADVANCE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING; MECHANICAL
EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

Prepare Prelim. Value/Impact Statement & TIF	2-10-81
TIF Approved	2-20-81
Initial ANR Draft Complete	3-20-81
Prelim. Interoffice Concurrence Complete	4-15-81
Resolution of Comments Complete	5-5-81
Final ANR Complete	5-15-81
Final ANR Concurrence Complete	6-1-81 → 6-10-81
Submit to EDO/Commission	6-15-81 → 6-20-81
ANR Published in Federal Register	6-20-81 7-30-81

DRAFT PRELIMINARY VALUE/IMPACT STATEMENT FOR
REVISION TO 10 CFR 50
QUALIFICATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY

1. PROPOSED ACTION

1.1 Description

Issue an Advance Notice of Rulemaking as the first step in developing a regulation to require applicants and licensees of nuclear power plants to qualify mechanical equipment important to safety.

1.2 Need

There are mechanical equipment problems in nuclear power plants. The large number of continuing monthly Licensee Event Reports (LERs) of valve and pump problems is evidence of mechanical equipment problems, and substantiates the need for the proposed regulation.

Recent directives and undertakings are aimed at electrical equipment qualification. There is an NRC Equipment Qualification Program Plan in final stage of preparation. This program plan includes mechanical equipment qualification.

Current legal requirements for equipment qualification aren't clearly defined and are located under topic headings other than qualification. The proposed regulation will clearly identify the equipment qualification requirement and provide for incorporation of detailed requirement as they are developed.

2. VALUE/IMPACT

2.1 NRC

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and provide additional assurance of safety in new and operating plants.

The regulation will provide a consistent basis for staff review of construction and operating permits. Cost savings will be obtained in terms of reduced manhours and the licensing process will be accelerated.

2.2 Other Government Agencies

Other government agencies, such as the Department of Energy could be impacted if they have test facilities which could be used, are requested to develop facilities, or are requested to perform subcontracting work related to equipment qualification. There will also be impact on a government agency such as TVA since this agency is also an applicant or licensee.

2.3 Industry

2.3.1 Applicants and Licensees

Equipment qualification should reduce the number of equipment problems and unscheduled shutdowns.

A clearly identified requirement for equipment qualification will eliminate misunderstanding and licensing delays.

There could be significant impact since facilities capable of testing the largest size equipment are not available. If test facilities have to be constructed the cost impact will be magnified.

Backfitting costs could be significant if existing equipment fails qualification.

2.3.2 Manufacturers

Equipment manufacturers would depend on the licensee's or private test facilities and consultants to perform and evaluate qualification requirements. The manufacturers could be severely impacted due to the unknown expense. They may choose not to bid or they may furnish equipment at the licensee's risk.

2.4 Public

Public safety would be improved due to equipment qualification. There would be an increased level of confidence in the industry.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

3.1 Alternatives

There are many ways to qualify equipment. Some alternatives are

- (1) qualification testing of actual equipment;
- (2) qualification testing by (1), in combination with analysis;
- (3) qualification testing by (1), in combination with similar usage experience; or
- (4) qualification testing of smaller size of similar design equipment, in combination with tests of actual size equipment or tests of sub-parts of actual size equipment.

Additional alternatives and suggestions will be requested of industry and the public in the ANR. A discussion and decision on the technical approach will be made after all comments are reviewed and evaluated.

4. PROCEDURAL APPROACH

4.1 Alternatives

The need for a regulation for mechanical equipment qualification has been shown, and the decision was made to proceed. Consideration was given to the procedural alternatives of

- (1) issuing a proposed rule;
- (2) issuing an advance notice of rulemaking; or
- (3) holding a public meeting to obtain information.

4.2 Discussion

This regulation has significant impact potential, many technical alternatives, and possible controversies, and the need exists to obtain industry and public input. There is a shortage of published National Standards, Regulatory Guides, test facilities for large size equipment, and many issues are undecided.

4.3 Decision

The advance notice of rulemaking was considered necessary and was selected as the means to notify industry and the public of the proposed rule and to obtain their early participation in its development.

5. STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 NRC Authority

The requirements for equipment qualification are presently embodied in many different sections of 10 CFR 50, and in one case in 10 CFR 100, under topic headings other than qualification. This rulemaking will expand and clarify existing requirements and make them visible.

5.2 Need for NEPA Assessment

The proposed action is not a major action, as defined by paragraph 10 CFR 51.5(a)(10), and does not require an environmental impact statement.

6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED REGULATIONS OR POLICIES

This proposed rule is closely related to the rulemakings currently going forward on (1) electrical equipment qualification and (2) accreditation of laboratories that perform qualification testing.

This proposed rule relates to the TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Action Plan requirements of, qualification and testing of BWR and PWR safety and relief valves, and PWR block valves.

7. CONCLUSION

An advance notice of rulemaking (ANR) will be prepared as the first step in the development of a regulation on qualification of mechanical equipment important to safety in nuclear power plants.