

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION I

631 PARK AVENUE KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

**** Z 2 JAN 1981

Duquesne Light Company ATTN: Mr. C. N. Dunn

Vice President-Operations Division

435 Sixth Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection 50-334/80-29

This refers to the routine inspection conducted by Mr. G. C. Smith of this office on November 3-7, 1980, at Beaver Valley Power Station, Shippingport, Pennsylvania, of activities authorized by NRC License No. DPR-66 and to the discussions of our findings held by Mr. Smith with Mr. J. A. Werling of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection. This is also in response to your letter of October 30, 1980.

Areas examined during this inspection are described in the Office of Inspection and Enforcement Inspection Report which is enclosed with this letter. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

Our inspector also verified the steps you have taken to correct the items of noncompliance brought to your attention in the enclosure to our letter dated July 31, 1980. We have no further questions regarding your actions at this time.

In addition, our inspection examined those actions agreed to in a meeting between you and members of your staff and Mr. J. Allan and members of my staff on July 18, 1980 as documented in my letter of July 21, 1980. We have no further questions regarding your actions taken as a result of Items 1, 2.b, 3, 4, 5 and 6. With regard to Item 2.a, we note that you have again failed to meet the committed date which required you to have all security equipment installed and operational. The original date established by you for installation and implementation of the several security components was December 18, 1979. This date was not met and notification that you had not met this date was not made to the NRC until June 20, 1980 as a consequence of an item of noncompliance identified during an inspection on June 16-20, 1980. Your letter dated June 20, 1980 committed to the completion and operation of the Physical Security Plan as specified in Amendment No. 16, to DPR-66 by December. As a result of the management meeting on July 18, 1980 between you and other members of your staff and Mr. J. Allan, the agreement understood was that all required security equipment would be in place and ready for pre-operational testing by November 1, 1980 and operational by December 31, 1980.

By your October 30, 1980 letter this office was notified that the security equipment was not completely installed by November 1, 1980 but that you expected to complete the installation and operation of all the security systems by December 31, 1980. That date was not met as was determined during a special inspection conducted on January 7-9, 1981. The findings from this inspecton, additional telephone conversations and correspondence relating to the completion of all security systems will be discussed in the documentation covering the January 7-9, 1981 inspection.

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that certain of your activities were not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements as set forth in the Notice of Violation, enclosed herewith as Appendix A. These items of noncompliance have been categorized into the levels as described in our correspondence to you dated December 31, 1974. This notice is sent to you pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.201 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2. Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 2.201 requires you to submit to this office, within twenty (20) days of your receipt of this notice. a written statement or explanation in reply including: (1) corrective steps which have been taken by you and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further items of noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. In addition to the need for corrective action regarding these specific items of noncompliance, we are concerned about the implementation of your management control systems that permitted them to occur. Consequently, in your reply, you should describe in particular, those actions taken or planned to improve the effectiveness of your management control systems.

In accordance with Section 2.790(d) of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, documentation of findings of your control and accounting procedures for safeguarding special nuclear materials and your facility security measures for physical protection are deemed to be commercial or financial information within the meaning of 10 CFR 9.5(a)(4) and shall be subject to disclosure only in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 9.12; therefore, the enclosures to this letter, and your response to this letter will not be placed in the Public Document Room and will receive limited distribution.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

Boyce H. Grier

Director

Enclosures:

1. Appendix A, Notice of Violation (Contains 2.790 Information)

 Office of Inspection and Enforcement Inspection Report Number 50-334/80-29 (Contains 2.790 Information)

F. Bissert, Technical Assistant Nuclear

R. Washabaugh, QA Manager
G. Moore, General Superintendent, Power Stations Department
J. J. Carey, Director of Nuclear Operations
J. Werling, Station Superintendent
R. Martin, Nuclear Engineer
J. Sieber, Superintendent of Licensing and Compliance, BVPS