




UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DOCKET NO. 50-289  
PROC. & UTIL. DIV.

April 16, 1981



Ellyn R. Weiss, Esq.  
Harmon & Weiss  
1725 I Street, N. W., Suite 506  
Washington, D. C. 20006

In re: Metropolitan Edison Company  
(Three Mile Island, Unit No. 1)  
Docket No. 50-289 (Restart)

Dear Ms. Weiss:

I am enclosing for your information a copy of transcript pages 18,957-958, which confirms our telephone conversation of April 15, 1981 regarding the opportunity for UCS to reply to the responses filed by other parties to UCS' Motion for Board Order on PORV Block Valve Test Results.

Sincerely yours,

*Lawrence Brenner*

Lawrence Brenner  
Legal Advisor  
ASLBP



cc w/encl: Docketing and Service ✓  
(For service on all parties)

DS03  
5  
1/1

8106040433

G

1 major emphasis resided with the concerns about nuclear  
2 fuel. Being a metallurgical engineer I have always felt  
3 more comfortable with concerns and interests in that side of  
4 the plant.

5 Q Where did you receive your B.S. or M.A. degrees  
6 from?

7 A (WITNESS ADLER) My Bachelor of Metallurgical  
8 Engineering degree was received at New York University, and  
9 my Master of Business Administration also at New York  
10 University.

11 Q Is this the first time you have ever prepared  
12 testimony regarding emergency planning?

13 A (WITNESS ADLER) Yes.

14 (Counsel for ANGRY conferring.)

15 MS. GAIL BRADFORD: Sir, could I have a few  
16 minutes to confer with Mr. Cunningham?

17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let's take our mid-morning break.

18 MS. GAIL BRADFORD: Thank you.

19 (Recess.)

20 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Before we go back to the  
21 testimony, at my request Mr. Brenner made a telephone call  
22 to Ellen Weiss of the Union of Concerned Scientists  
23 regarding the block valve tests. Mr. Brenner stated that  
24 UCS could have an opportunity to reply to their initial  
25 motion, and Ms. Weiss said they wished to do so. They will

1 file a response by Wednesday, April 22, and if possible will  
2 attempt to better this time and provide their response on  
3 Monday, April 20 to my office in Bethesda.

4 Mr. Brenner stated that in addition to whatever  
5 UCS wanted to say, it would be useful if they indicated  
6 whether UCS still wanted to have testimony developed on the  
7 block valve tests, and if so, on what points in light of the  
8 pleadings and affidavits filed by the other parties.

9 We noted that the transcript had a misspelling of  
10 Mrs. Georgiana Nyce's name. The last name was misspelled.  
11 For the record it should be the limited appearer was Mrs.  
12 Georgiana Nyce, N-y-c-e, of 8124 Prince Street, Harrisburg,  
13 Pennsylvania.

14 Ms. Bradford or Mr. Cunningham, whoever wishes to  
15 address the motion.

16 (Counsel for ANGPY conferring.)

17 MS. GAIL BRADFORD: I would like to ask Mr.  
18 Pawlowski how familiar he is with the TMI plans in  
19 particular.

20 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. That is fine, but  
21 doesn't that get into the substantive -- we are trying to  
22 still address your motion on the objections to the  
23 testimony. Now, you are going to have other opportunities  
24 to inquire into the substance of the testimony if the  
25 testimony is admitted over your objections, unless you are