ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF SAFETY-RELATED ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT IEB 79-01B

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-306

DATED: November 17, 1980

Licensee: Northern States Power Co.

Type Reactor: W PWR

Plant: Frairie Island Unit 2

Prepared by J. J. Jablonski
Engineering Support Section
Reactor Construction and Engineering
Support Branch, RIII

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Background and Discussion	1
Summary of Licensee Actions/Statements	1
System Comparison	2
Equipment Evaluation	2 - 4 - 8
Caveat	2
Conclusion	2
Attachments:	
1. Referenced Test Reports	
2. Onsite Inspection Report	
3a. Generic Issues	
3b. Site Specific Issues	
4. Licensee System List	
5. NRR's System List	
6. Category Criteria	
7. LER's	
8. Unresolved Generic - Specific Issues	
9. Concurrence Code	

Introduction

This report is submitted in accordance with TI $2515/41^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for use as input to the Safety Evaluation Report on qualification of Class 1E electrical equipment installed in potentially "harsh" environmental areas at this facility.

Background and Discussion

IE Bulletin No. $79-01^{2/}$ required the licensee to perform a detailed review of the environmental qualification of Class IE equipment to ensure that the equipment would function under (i.e. during and following) postulated accident conditions.

The Technical Evaluation Report (TER) is based on IE's review of the licensee's submittal for conformance with the DOR guildelines or NUREG-0588, a site inspection of selected system components, to verify accuracy of the submittal, and EQB's review of component test reports.

Licensee submittals were received on March 13, 1980, May 12, 1980, May 23, 1980, July 10, 1980 and October 31, 1980.

The site inspection was completed on September 26, 1980. $\frac{4/}{5/}$ Generic and site specific guidance was requested from IE/NRR headquarters.

Summary of Licensee Actions/Statements

Based upon evaluation of Class 1E equipment, licensee believes he has complied with the requirements of Bulletin 79-01B. Licensee believes that with the completion of the action items noted, there will exist no outstanding items which would preclude the continued safe operation of Prairie Island Unit 2. Replacement of solenoid valves, limit switches and other instruments is being accomplished as material is received and scheduled for installation during the next outage. Present schedules call for Unit 2 to be shutdown in February 1981. All modifications will be completed by June 1982.

^{1/} Technical Evaluation Report (TER) On Results Of Staff Actions Taken To Verify Reactor Licensee Response To IEB 79-01B And Supplemental Information.

^{2/} Environmental Qualification of Class 1E Equipment.

^{3/} Attachment 1. 4/ Attachment 2.

^{5/} Attachments 3a and 3b.

System Comparison

A comparison was made between the systems list provided by the licensee. and a similar list provided to IE by NRR during a meeting in Bethesda, MD on September 30, 1980. The following systems were not included in the licensee's submittal.

. Pressurizer Spray

Emergency Power

Control Room Habitability

Safety Equipment Area Ventilation

Equipment Evaluation

Class IE equipment was evaluated, that is, placed into five separate categories. Result of the evaluation follows: (See pages following)

Caveat

Test reports and other documentation which licensees referenced as establishing environmental qualification were reviewed for acceptability by NKR, Environmental Qualification Branch. (Reference Attachment 3a, memorandum dated June 20, 1980 Hayes to Jordan.)

This TER does not include information about seismic of fire withstand capability. It should therefore not be inferred that Category I equipment meets all necessary qualification requirements.

Conclusion

Based on IE's review of the licensee's submittal, the site inspection, and licensee's proposed actions, it cannot be concluded that there is reasonable assurance all components installed at the Prairie Island Unit 2 are environmentally qualified and installation methods of environmentally qualified components would not contribute to the failure of such components during a potential accident.

A positive conclusion cannot be made until:

- All matters referred to IEHQS/NRR have been satisfied. 9/
- 2. The 4 systems missing from the licensee's submittal have been evaluated by NRR. (Page 2)
- The negative equipment evaluations have been reviewed by NRR. (Pages 4, 5, 6, and 8.)
- 6/ Attachment 4. 7/ Attachment 5.
- 8/ Attachment 6.
- 9/ Attachment 8.

Blank

POOR ORGAN

CAT	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION MANUCACTURER MOD/TYPE	HOD/TYPE	Noves	207	OF THE TEMP PRESS RH	1600	PRESS		Spen	-			
Ia	NOW E	Limitorgue	Sm3-00	FW to Stm Gen	Aux		325	74.7		1	N I	4000	40VF / 2 3	1
Ia 4	₩ MoV	Limitorgue	Sm8.00	KCP seal Wat Ret	AUX	69 Hrs	325	74.7	100	1	1	40 %	100	Yes-W
Ia s	V 0W S	Limitorfue	Sm8-00	Excess	CNT	bodays	325	1.401	001	H3803	8 8000	40%		
Ia ,	Mov	Limitorgue	sm8-000	Fan Coll Unit ClyWi	CNT	69415	305	747	100/	4,803	204818	4000	1 4	2
Ta ,1		2)	1094-45017	Fan Co.1 Um.ts	CNT	20 1105.	324	7.49	001	H3803	3 KING	4000	1	
Ia ,3		Joy	69-LL 1009	Dome	CNT	iyr	300	2.68	00/	Paustic	5x107	Q.54r	000	No-L, PO
Ia 14	Sol. Vr.	4300	NP. 8321A1E	*	CNT	30days	300	2.48	100/	43 803 N. O.H	8 1.5×10	4.4%	6	1
Ia 20	1011	Limitorgue .	Sm8-000	Venting	CNT	6 days	325	1.047	100/		20410	06	1 7	
Ia 201	_	Limitorfue	Sm3.000		Soland S	bodayo	325	1.40/	1		2.04y/n	00		1 3
La. 208	Set. Vv.	#500 A	NP. 8320A194E	×	Oder,	30 dup	300	1.58	100	H2803	8 1.5X/0	4.40.4	6	
I. 17	timit Sw	Nameo	E4-170	Ruge (Ind)	_	200hrs	300	1				(2) 6002	93	
I.c. 289	Limit Sw.	Honoquo 11 6	82-38W89942		Drauly.	1 0	+-	5,93	1	1	_	SVrs	43 10.11	No-N
1a 188	Sot. Vv.	#SCO A	NP-8321AIE	Vac. Brkr.	Marily .	30 days	16	2.48	100/		12			No-N,G
Ia 31	MOV	Limi torfue	SmB-0		Aux		3	74.7		43803 NooH	2×10 8	40h	1,2,3	Nos. A
1637	2	mitorgue	SM8-0		Nox	69hrs	335	7.17	100		2 ×10 8	404		1000
4-	Httochment	4 4			1	Pmirie	Telland	7,	1		1			103:11

CAT		DESCRIPTION MANUEACTURER MOD/TYPE		NoTes	207	OF THE		Temp press	24	SPERY	RAD	SPENY RAD AGING	ATT SEFE CONCUR	CONCUR ?
Ia. 3	Press XMtr	Foxboro	EIIGM-SADI	Accum	CNT	24445	318	1.647	100	4,803 NeOH	76x10	1	11	No.FHE
Ia s	MOV ROV	1 mitorgue	SMB-3	Stw. Gen. FW cntmt 1501.	AUX	694115	325	74.7	100	Hz BO3 NaOH	S OIX C	404	1.2,3	Yes. A
Ia 424	RTD	SSTM	119018	RCS Temp Wide	CNT	2 WKS	320	7.08	001	H3 803 N. OH	SXIO	12Yr	21	No-6
	8 RTD	ROREMOUNT	176 KS	RCS Temp Wide	CNT	ZWKS	320	20.7	100	14380s NoOH	5X107	17/6/	17	No-6
Ia 45	Transducer	Fisher Controls	246	sta Gen	HOX		ì	1	1	1	1		8/	Yes-A
Ia m	, Sol. VV.	Asco	NP. 8316E3SE	MSIV	AUX	30days	300	2.68	100	H3808 Na OH	1.5×10	4.445	6	10-6
1	-	1	1	1	1	l	1	1	1	1	1	.1	1	1
La ss	WOV	Limiter goe	5m8 00	AFW Pp	***	64 hrs	Ses	147	004	4802 Na OH	YOUNG	oh	47,3	4-504
Ia se	Limit Sw	NAMCO	XOO ACO	MSIV/(End)	Aux	1	4/14	14.7/8		1	1	*	30	No-0*
Ia ss	Limit Sw	NAMCO	Xoohea	18 & (End)	AUX	1	1/401	14.7/ *	34,5	1	1	*	20	10.01
Ia M	MOV	Limitorgue	5m8-00	Press RIF.	CNT	69hrs	325	7.11.7	100	H,80; N.OH	20000	04	12,3	Yes. A
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
Ix 164	Sol. Vv.	150	AR83654E	Proves all.	CNT	30 days	300	7.68	001	4,80c	1.5X10	4.045	6	No. 6
Ia 81	Sol. Vv.	480	NP 832CM186E	Rx Clot Samp.	CNT	30 days	300	2.48	100	N. 803	8 0/X S.1	4.445	6	10.04
Ia 83	100		NR SSOAMSE	SG Supl Line	CNT	30 days	300	1.48	100	H280,	01X5.1	444	6	9.01
	19 Attochment	ent 9				Prairie	Island	1	14			Page	2 of 7	

"	15	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION MANUEACTURER MOD/TYPE	3441/gow	Nores	207	OF THE	remp	PRESS	3	Speny	RAD	AGING	TEMP PRESS RH SPERY RAD AGING ATT 1 REFE	CONCUR ?
I A	06 7	Notor	M	HSD-P	Class B RHR PPS	AUX	Not (N) ? Requires (B)		NR ?	Ne?	1	24108	40 Yr	12	No.J.N
F1	2 96	MOV	Limitorgue	Sm8-00	ST PP Suct	AUX	69hrs	325	74.7	00/	43808 Na OH	2408	404	1.2,3	Yes.
Ia	47	Mo V	Limitorgue	00-8ws	SI PP - RHP HX	AUX	69615	325	74.7	100	- 1	2 X10 8	40%	112,3	Yes. A
(-) d	100	MO V	Limitorgue	5m8-1	Sump B	AUX	bahrs	325	74.7	100	i	2X108	404	12,3	Yes-A
Ta	101	Now	Lim, torfue	Sm8-00	Res end	AUX	69 4. 5	325	74.7	1001	45805 NooH	8 0/XX	404	12,3	1000
Ia	103	MoV	Lim.torgue	sm8-00	SI to Py Vescel	AUX	8442	375	247	100/	1	2×108	40%	62.3	Yes. A
Ia	103	MoV	Limitorfue	3m8-0	RWST Suct	AUX	69425	325	74.7	180	13803 NaOH	8 0/XE	40%	12,3	Yes. A
Ia	· /0/	MOV	Limitorgue	Sm8-1	HCCUM	CNT	5 days	325	1.401	100	H.BOC NaOH	8 2.00.5	1/04	h'2's	140-8CFW
T_a	50/	mov	Limitorgue	Sm8-00	(-) F/00d+ Cod leg Iny	CNT	bodeyo	305	104.7	001	H,803 NaOH	2.04 x10	40 %	124	No. B.C. F.H.
T_a	901	MOV	Limitorgue	Sm8-1	Lo Head I'm	CNT	bdays	325	104.7	00/	H3 803 N-OH	2.04KIS	40 Vr	124	No-8,C
90		VOM TOI	Limitorgue	Sm8-00	36	CNT	6days	325	1.601	100	4,883 NaOH	204KIO	40 11	12,4	No-8, C
OR	(0)	Mov	Limitorgue.	Sm8-00	Rx VSI Inj (-) Flood	CNT	6 days	325	104.7	100	43803 NoOH	80175	Hop	1,2,4,44	No-8, C
O	80/	mo v	Limitorgue	Sm8-00	ct	AUX	69 1/1 5	325	74.7	00/	1	2,410 8	404	1,2,3	Yes-A
RIC	8	Notor	31	45D-P	SI Pump	AUX	Not (N)? Regulach(R)	NRZ	Ne ?	NRS	1	3X10 8	40 yr	11	No. J.N
TV	116	SolVv	360	WP 8521AIE	Stn & Inst	CNT	Sodeys	300	1 68	001	H2800 NaOH	3 1757	4.4hr	6	40-6
5		1 Attachment	9 to.				Prairie	Islo	Islanda	2			P		-

Island# 4

Page 3 of 7

CAT	DESCRIPTION	MANUFACTURER	MOD/TYPE	NoTES	Loc	OF THE	TEMP	PRESS	RH	SPERY	RAD	AGING	ATTI REFA	covcue ?
Ia 118	MoV	Limitorque	SMB-00	Stm Gen Iso	CNT	6 days	325	104.7	100	H3 BO3 NaOH	2.04×108	4041	12.4	NO- B. C
Īa 120	Fuse Holder	Bussman	HEB-A	MSIVS	AUX	5 Hrs	149	14.7	Imm	_	3.5×10	40yr	23, 13	Yes-A
Ia 121	Lube Oil	Mobil	DTE- HVY MEL	-	AUX	Not (N) ? Required(R)	NR?	NR?	NR?	_'	2×108	NR?	24	No-J, N
Ta 172	Grease	Chevron	SRT-2	_	AUX	Not (N) ? Regured (R)	350	NR?	NR?	NR?	2×108	NR?	25, 7, 26	No-J,N
La 125A	Splice Kit	Okonite	604-92-1571	(-) Flood	AUX/ CNT	3 Mos	346	127.7	100	HS BOS NaOH	2×108	404r	30, 31	No-FH
La 126	Cable, Power	Okonite	_	->F100 d	AUX/ CNT	3 mos	346	127.7	100	4. 00	2×108	40Yr	30	No.F.H.Q
a 127	Cable, Pwr/Cont	Kerite	HTKFFR	(-)Flood	AUXI	3 WKS	320	96.7	100	1 00	2×10 8	4041	1,33,34	No-F.H.Q
	Cable, Inst	BIW		(-) Flood *	AUXI	24 Hrs/ +	316	104.7	100	U. RU.	2 X/0 8		35,36	No-0"FH
b 30	Motor	Elec Mach MPG		CNT SP PUMP	AUX	Not (N)? Regulred (R)	NR?	NR?	NR?		1× 108	4041	12, 13, 45	
J 38	Flow xmtr	Foxboro	EI3DH (MCA)	Stm Gen FW In	AUX	24 hrs	300	74.7	100		_	_	14	No-E
Jr 130	Penetration	D.G. Obrien	SN Pr-110 (MVP)		AUX/ CNT	48 hrs	270	66.7	100	H3 805 # Na OH	3×108	_	37, 39, 38	No-H.R.
L 131	Penetration	D. G. O'brien	SINPr-12(LVA)		AUXI	10 days	270	66.7	100	11.00 1	3×108	_	37, 38, 39	
L-BIA	Penetration	D.G.O'brien	S/N A-2 (TI)		AUN/ CNT	48hrs	270	66.7	100	H3 80,#	3×10 8			No HR.S
a	None			-1	-		_			-				
b- 6	Limit Sw.	NAMCO	EA 180	(-) Flood Lt Dwn Iso	CNT	30 days	340	84.7	100	H3BO3 NaOH	204×18	40 yr	5,6	No-F,H,I
0	าก็ส"ทาง	MINIAI #	Analysis	7.0		Prairie		nd#_0	2	Naon		Page	4 of 7	

Page 4 of 7

PIPTION	DESCRIPTION MANUEACTURER MOD/1 :. PE	3621/gow	Nores	207	LOC OF THE TEMP PRESS RH	remp	PRESS		SPERY	RAD	AGING	SPENY RAD AGING ATT 3 REFU CONCUR	CONCUR ?
Limit SW	NAMCO	XOOLEO	(-) Flood	CNT				1		1	1		NO-FHI
Limit Sw	NAMCO	E4-180	An Had Vamp Ind	CNT	30dayo	340	84.7	09/	H380, NoOH	2.04/10	04	5	Yes-A,T
Init Sw	NAMCO	EA-180	Purg Exh	CNI	30 days	340	248	100	43805 400H	2.04410	04	5	Yes. A.T
Incore Thermo Ref. Junct Box	ETI	K81	Sub Cly Meter	CNT	١	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	Yes-D
Limitsw	NAMCO	EA-180	Par RIF	CNT	30 days	340	84.7	100	H3803 N=0H	2.04 ×10	04	5	Yao-A.T
1 mit Sw	NAMCO	EA-180	Rad Monit	CNT	30 days	340	84.7	100	H3803 NOOH	2.0410	04	5	Yes-AT
Limit Sw	NAMCO	64-180	Strig Inst	CNT	30 days	340	2.48	100	H3803 NaOH	204,00	06	2	Yes-AT
D.C. Dist Pul	Creiger Elec	1	PWR + 0 D.C. Aux	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Yes-D, E
None			1	1	i	}	-	1		1			1
Accelerameter	Enderco	OCMA ELEC	Rif. VV. Monitor	CNT	-	1	1	1	L		1	9/	yes-u
Charge Amp	Unholz - Dickey	23CA-27R	Rif. Vv. Monifor	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	yes-U
Press Xmtr	Foxboro	EIIGH SAEI	Psr Press	CNT	24 hrs	300	74.7	001	43803 NoOH	80145	1	14	Yes-A, V No-W
Press Xmtr	Foxboro	EIIGM (MC)	stm Press	AUX	24hrs	300	14.7	001	1	1	1	ħ1	Yes-AV
Flow XM+r	Barton	332	ST	Aux	Not (N)?	NR	NR	NR	1	27108	1	,	No-J.M.E
Terminal Block Coating	6E	1010H	(-1 F100d *	40x	Not (N) 2*	500°	We?	Wat.	H3803 NaOH	8.6×107	1		No. F.H. O.Y
attochment	9 to				Drairie.	Island	18				Page	7 to 2	

CAT		DESCRIPTION MANUKACTURER MOD/TYPE NOTES	3441/gow		207	OF THE	remp	PRESS	**	SPERY	RAD	AGING	LOC OF TIME TEMP PRESS RM SPRING AGING ATT ! RESA CONCUR ?	CONCUR ?
IVa 124	Terminal Black/Strip	Allon Bradley	1493-003	1	AUX	*	*	+	*	*	*	*	1	No-Y, J*
ILL AST		Foxboro	EIIGM -SAEI	Przr	CNT	24 hrs	300	1.66	00/	HS803 NAOH	8 OXY	-	11	Ves-A, V No - W
Z /8	Sol. Vv.	4500		-2	CNT	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	Ves - D.O.
1 23	Solv	4500	8331A1	4 2	A straight			-	-		-			00 sol
I as	Limit Sw	NAMCO	DZYOOX	PUT STUP	CNT	1	1	1	1		1	1		100-521
卫 28	Limit Sw	1 tmco	DZYOOX	CNT Purg Ind	CNT	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1/25-00
Z 36	Level Xntr	Magnetrul	A-153 FEPVAY	SUMP CONT CALL	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	١	1/20-09
V 420	Acss Xmtr	Foxborn	EIIGH	Aress Press	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	1	Ves- 20
V (2)	Flow Katr.	Barton	384	Stm Flow to Refer !	CM	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	Yes - Do
D W	Level Katr	Foxboro	EI3DH-SAMI	Stm Gen Lev & RePat	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		Yes DO.
K	Limit Sw	NAMCO	X OOKED	Rad Monit	CAST	ı	1	1		1	-			190-00
V 85	Limit Sw	NAMCO	X OOLEQ	Rx Hot Sampl	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Veo- 00
V 9, L	Limit Sw	NAMOO	DZ400 X	2 KX	AUX	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1/20-00
V "12	Sol. Vv.	ASCO	RHT833427	Star AIr	CWT			1	1	1			-	00-53K
I 115	7	NAMCO	D2400 x	Str & Int. Air	ONT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	T	100 sal
-8-	DO Attochment	b to				Prairie 1	Sland	1# 2	١,			Page	6 of 7	

FA	DESCRIPTION	CAT DESCRIPTION MANUEACTURER MOD/TYPE NOTES	3441/gow		207	LOC OP TIME TEMP PRESS RH	remp	PRESS		SPERY	RAD	AGING	SPANY RAD AGING ATTI REFU CONCUR ?	concue ?
. La 34	Sol. VV	4500	NP831654E	CNT	Sapara Sapara	30 days	300	24.7	100	Hs803 NaOH	1.5×10	444	6	No- 6
I Ta 46	Transducer	Fisher ant.	945		Aux	-	1	1	- 1	1	T	1	81	Yes-A
1 Ia 1134	501.11	ASCO	NP.8331A1E	Stng Inst	AUX	30days	300	2.48	100/	H3 803	1.5008	4.44r	6	No. G
Ia 1138	Sol. VV	ASCO	NP-832141E	Streinst	ONT	30 days	300	2.48	100	4,803 NoOH	1.540	4.44	6	No-6
Ia 98	MOV	Limitorgue	SmB-3	Accum	CNT	6 days	325	1947	100/	Hs 803 NaOH	204KB	40	1,2,4	No-8,C,
<u>V</u> 20c	sac Sol. VV.	ASCO	R48-8320-47 Hz Cont		17 males	_	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	Yes- 0.0
V 39c	Limit Sw.	NAMCO	D-2400-X	Cotmt Purge	Distralia,	1	1		ī	1	1	1	-	Yes 0,0
V 50	Sol. Vv.	ASCO	821104	Main & Aux Stu Dung	AUX	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Yer 0,0
7 53	Sol. Vv.	ASCO	HERSHIDH	Main & Aux Standay	AUX	1	1	1	1	1	T	1	1	Ves- 00
VZ SS	Sol. VV.	4500	tane8	Main & Hor Studen	Aux		1	1		1	1			Ves - 00
Z 75	Sol. Vr.	ASC0	831654	Przr PIF	CNT	1	1	ī	1	1	1	1	1	105-DO
Z Z	Sol. Vv.	45C0	RHT-8321A1	Rx Hot Smplg	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Yes-40.
*8 A	Sol. Vv.	ASCO	RWT8330419	Rx Hot Smpla	CNT	1	1	1	1	1	i	1	1	165.40
											T	Γ		
-80-	DOOR OPIO	tochment 9			12	Pranie I	Island	18	1	1	1	Page	2 of Z	

1.	WCAP 7410-L	Motorized Valves
	WCAP 7744	Motorized Valves
	FIRL F-C3271	Motorized Valves
4.		Motorized Valves
5.	ACME Cleveland Test Plan 8-31-77	Limit Switches
6.	Letter 5-12-80 MAD MPG	Limit Switches
7.	WCAP 7829	Fan Motors
8.	Joy MFG X-411	Fan Motors
9.	ASCO Test Report AQS 21678/TR-Rev. A	Solenoid Valves
10.	그리트 마다 이 아이자, 마다마시티아시트 시스 1 시 프랑스 네티트 시스 1	Limit Switch
11.	Engineering Test Lab, Bulletin 6	Limit Switch
12.		Motor
13.		Motor/Fuse Holder
14.		Transmitter
15.		Transmitter
16.	Letter 11-30-79 BSP-B&W WCAP 9157	Accelerometer
	Letter 3/80 NSP-NRC	RTD
	Letter 3/78 MSP-NRC	Signal Converter
20	Letter 7/80 NSP-NRC	Limit Switch
	WCAP 8754	
	WCAP 7410-C	Motor Transmitter
	MIL-STD-202D	Fuse Holler
24.		Lube Oil
25.		Grease
26.		Grease
27.		Epoxy Varnish
28.		Epoxy Varnish
29.		Epoxy Varnish
	74010A Epoxy Resin and 74010 Epoxy	
	Catalyst; Effect of Radiation on	
	Materials	
30.	Okonite Test Procedure	Cable
31.	Letter 8-31-78 Okonite-NSP	Cable
32.	WCAP 7410-L Vol. II	Cable
33.	FIRL F-C2737	Cable
34.	Kerite KPT-LVC-1	Cable
	BIW B901	Cable
36.	BIE B904	Cable
	D.G. O'B.ien C19QA053	Penetration
	Letter 6-20-78 Fluor-Pioneer-WPS	Penetration
	D. G. O'Brien ER-192	Penetration
40.	LOCA Qualification of Kerite 1000v	Splice Kits
	FR Insulated, FR Jacketed Cables 3-10-80	
41.		Splice Kits
	Under Simulated Post-Accident Rx Cnumt	
1	Service Cond. R-C2737 4-15-70	
42.		Limit Switch
43.		Limit Switch
	PINGP's ECCS Actuation Study	Mov
45.	Numerous Tests on Various Ins. Mat'l	Motor

Test Reports ATTACHMENT 1



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD

GLEN ELLYN ILLINOIS 60137

September 26, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: E. L. Jordan, Assistant Director, Division of

Reactor Operations Inspection, IE:HQ

THRU:

G. Fiorelli, Chief, Reactor Construction and

Engineering Support Branch

FROM:

D. W. Hayes, Chief, Engineering Support Section 1

SUBJECT:

SCREENING REVIEW OF LICENSEE RESPONSE TO IEB 79-01B AND SUMMARY OF INSPECTION OF INSTALLED SYSTEMS AT PRAIRIE ISLAND 1 AND 2 - DOCKET NOS. 50-382; 50-306

Frank Jablonski has completed the inspection phase at Prairie Island Units 1 and 2 in response to IEB 79-013. A walkdown was conducted on September 17, 1980 to inspect installed components associated with the systems listed on the attachment.

Observations:

Motor Operated Valves (MOV's)

MOV Nos. MV-32132 and MV-32135 were limitorque type SMB-000 with Reliance motors, Class "B" insulation; MOV No. MV-32068 was limitorque type SMB-00 with a Reliance motor, Class "HP" insulation. The referenced qualification documentation, was Project Number 600456 which qualified motors with "RH" insulation.

MOV No. 32020 was limitorque type SMB-00 with a Reliance motor, Class "B" insulation. Class "B" is suitable outside containment. The referenced qualification documentation was WCAP 7410-L and 7744 which meet or exceed outside containment duty.

In all cases the MOV's were installed in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations. Both power and control cable were installed in flexible metallic conduit.

*Below flood level.

Dome Recirculation Fan

The fan unit was a Joy Axivane series 1000, Model No. 018-1Y-3450, Serial No. SF27974-1, Motor No. 600277-69. The referenced qualification

> Onsite Inspection Report ATTACHMENT 2

documentation was Joy Manufacturing Report No. X-411; that test report was for Motor No. 600277-69. All requirements appear to have been met.

Solenoid Valves

All of the solenoids listed on the attachment were scheduled for replacement, however, discrepancies existed between solenoids listed on the component evaluation sheets and those actually installed. For example, installed, Similar discrepancies existed as RHT832427; type RHT8321A1 and 33441.

In all cases the installation met manufacturer's recommendations, i.e., installation in any position was acceptable. Cable was installed individually or in combination rigid steel/flexible metal conduit. Terminations were made in standard handy boxes, i.e., without gasketed cover; open to atmosphere. (Refer to Terminations, below).

Limit Switches

Limit Switch Nos. CD-3407 and CD-34078 were NAMCO Model EA-180. Qualification reference document was ACME-Cleveland Test Plan, August 31, 1977. The licensee is considering the installation of hermetic sealing units at the interface of the limit switch and flex/rigid conduit.

Component evaluation sheet for switch No. CV-31107, a NAMCO model D2400X, was not shown to be qualified for aging, operating time, or pressure.

Instruments

Instrument Nos. 16796* and 23015, containment sump level and main steam flow respectively, will be replaced. The installed instrument models were Magnetrol A-153FEP/VPXY-TDM and Barton 384.

The incore thermocouple reference junction boxes, ET1 Model K81, used in conjunction with the subcooling meter will be replaced.

E/P signal converter, No. SC35029, used to control a steam generator power operated relief valve (PORV) was identified by the licensee as not being environmentally qualified. The converter was a Fisher Controls type 546, contained in a NEC Class 1, Group D enclosure. The converter for the other power operated relief valve was located on the opposite side of the same room. Based on the information contained on page 2 of licensee

letter to NRC dated March 13, 1980, it could not be concluded that the signal converter for at least one PORV was adequate to effect an orderly cooldown, i.e., survive the specified environment of 210°F, 15.2 psia and 100% RH. 1

*Below flood level.

Terminations

Various component termination devices were opened for inspection. Penetrations were terminated on Alan Bradley No. 1492 terminal blocks installed in large junction boxes with covered panels; with Okonite splices; or covered with what appeared to be Scotch 27 tape. The latter two types were not protected by junction boxes. The Okonite splices were qualified by test.

Other components such as solenoid valves and limit switches were terminated in junction boxes or handy boxes; however, no environmental credit was given to any protection which might be offered by the enclosures. The terminations were stated to have been covered with three layers of Scotch No. 70 tape, three layers of Permasel fiberglas tape and then a repeat of Scotch No. 70 tape.

NOTE: A component evaluation worksheet was not included with the submittal.

Conclusion

Except as reported above, motor insulation, solenoid valves, signal converters and terminations, the equipment descriptions provided by the licensee on the system component evaluation worksheets for the systems identified were complete and accurate.

The licensee was made aware of these discrepancies. A detailed review will be made by the licensee and the response amended.

D.W. Hayes Chief
Engineering Report Section 1

Attachment: As Stated

cc:

J.G. Keppler

G. Fiorelli

C. Fierabend, Res. Insp.

V. D. Thomas, IE:HQ

ATTACHMENT 2

ATTACIMENT

LIST OF COMPONENTS

NUMBER	UNIT	GENERIC NAME	SYSTEM	INSIDE	OUTSIDE
MV-32132	1	Motor Operated Valve	CL	х	
MV-32135	1	Motor Operated Valve	CL	· x	
MV-32068	1	Motor Operated Valve	SI	х	
MV-32020	2	Motor Operated Valve	MS		x
11 (DRF)	1	Dome Recirculation Fan	zc	X	
SV-33374	1	Solenoid Valve	zc	х	
SV-~3377	1	Solenoid Valve	zc	х	
SV-33440	1	Solenoid Valve	ZP	х	
SV-33441	1	Solenoid Valve	ZP	x	
SV-33261	2	Solenoid Valve	MS		x
SV-33265	2	Solenoid Valve	MS		x
CD-34074	1	Limit Switch	zc	х	
CD-34078	1	Limit Switch	zc	х	
CV-31107	2	Limit Switch	MS		х
16796	1	Level Transmitter	cs	х	
23015	1	Flow Transmitter	MS	х	
15456	1	Junction Box	RC	x	
SC35029	2	Signal Converter	MS		x
	1	Terminations	ALL	x	



F ICLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III 796 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN ILLINOIS 60137

July 23, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: E. L. Jordan, Assistant Director, Division of

Reactor Operations Inspection, IE:HQ

THRU:

G. Fiorelli, Chief, Reactor Construction and

Engineering Support Branch

FROM:

D. W. Hayes, Chief, Engineering Support Section 2

SUBJECT:

IEB 79-01B (A/I F03067180)

Attached is a copy of a memorandum dated July 17, 1980 received from Frank Jablonski relative to IEB 79-01B. It is being forwarded for your information and solicited guidance.

The question of identification of safety related systems and components (paragraph No. 1 of the memo) is an old one. I disagree with Frank in that I feel that this identification is a responsibility of the licensee, not the NRC. He must know his plant. I do agree, however, that more guidance is needed for our inspectors in this area. This is especially important for those inspectors that have not had reactor operating experience.

The significant differences in master lists that Frank discusses in paragraph two does raise questions. We can only compare these lists against the SAR. Review and evaluation beyond this is assumed to be an NRR function.

In regard to Frank's question - should we assume the licensee's response to IEB 79-01B to be complete and correct - I have told him yes. Further, that if he identifies significant incompleteness in the response, or incorrect information during his reviews, to bring these to my attention so appropriate action can be recommended.

Comments and further guidance is requested concerning matters discussed in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Frank's memo.

D. W. Hayes, Chief

Sw. Hages

Engineering Support Section 2

Dupe 8 80123100 83

Generic Issues ATTACHMENT 3a Attachment: F. J. Jablonski Memo to D.W. Hayes dtd 7/17/80

cc w/attachment:
J. G. Keppler, RIII
V. D. Thomas, IE:HQ
A. Finkel, RI
R. Hardwick, RII
D. McDonald, RIV
J. Elin, RV
R. F. Heishman, RIII

⇒ F. J. Jablonski, RIII



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

July 17, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: D. W. Hayes, Chief, Engineering Support Section 1

FROM:

F. J. Jablonski, Reactor Inspector

SUBJECT:

FORMULATING TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORTS (TER) -

REVIEW OF IEB 79-018

RE: MEMO TO YOU DATED JUNE 16, 1980 - SAME SUBJECT

Since the review of IEB 79-01B is continual, new discrepancies continue to show up; discrepancies are not necessarily the licensees'. As you know, there is no specific nuclear power plant design required by NRC. Further, the designation of safety related systems is somewhat arbitrary and inconsistent. In fact, the NRC places responsibility for classifying safety related systems on the licensee.

Action Item No. 1 of 79-01B requested each licensee to provide a "master" list" of all ESF systems in their respective plant required to function during a postulated accident. Appendix A to 79-01B lists "typicai" equipment/functions needed for mitigation of an accident. A comparison of master lists was made of four licensees with similar Westinghouse PWRs (see Attachment 1). Arbitrary selection and non-standard nomenclature of systems makes evaluation of the master lists extremely difficult. NRC requested each licensee to submit the information under oath. Should the information therefore be assumed complete and correct?

It is expremely frustrating to review responses which vary so much in attention to detail, depth of review, etc. As stated previously in the draft TER for D.C. Cook, because I as a principal reviewer lack detailed systems/operations experience, further guidance is requested.

Another TER related matter is motorized valves equipped with Limitorque operators (see Attachment 2). As can be seen, each test report is for a specific unit type including motor type and insulation class. Almost all licensees refer to the various test reports as qualification documentation for all series of operator types; never is name plate data provided. For example, test report No. 600456 (SMB-0-40, Reliance Motor with Class RH insulation) may be listed for all operators from series SMB-000 to SMB-5; motor name plate data not provided. Without the name plate data and the basis for extrapolation, a meaningful evaluation cannot be made.

It is requested that this memorandum be forwarded to IE:HQS as an addition to A/I F03067180 with the same copy distribution.

> J. J. Jablanshi F. J. Jablonski Reactor Inspector

Attachments:

- 1. Comparison of Master Lists
- 2. Motor Operated Valve Tests

cc:

- J. G. Keppler
- G. Fiorelli

ATTACHMENT 1

	BCH.
Aux. F.W. X X	
^L ^ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Chem. & Vol. Cont. X 2 X X Cntmt. Air Hndlg. X X X	
Cntmt. Ho Cont. X X	
Cntmt. Sp. X X	
Main Stm. X X	
Aux. Stm. X	
Stm. Dump X Rx Clnt. X X X X Res. Ht. Rm. X X X X	
Res. Ht. Rm. 1 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	
Saf. Inj. X 2 X	
Clg. Water X	
Esnt'l. Serv. Wat.	
Comp. Clg. Wat. 2	
Emerg. Core Clg. 1 X 1	
Aux. Clnt.	
Cntmt. Purge X	
Rx. Bldg. Vent	
Inst. & Prot. X	
Rx. Trip. Act. X	
Rx. Cont. & Prot.	
Rad. Monit. X '	
Rx. Hot Samp. X Stn. & Inst. Air X Stm. Gen.BD X	
Stm. Gen.BD X	
Post Acc. Monit. X	
Rem. Sht. dn. Monit. X	
Cotmt - Isol	
Mn. Stm. Isol.	
Mn. FW Isol.	

ATTACHMENT 2

MOTOR OPERATED VALVES MOV's

There are basically two type series of Limitorque operators:
 SMB and SB. The operators are sized from 000 (smallest) to
 (largest) as follows:

SMB-000
SMB/SB-0
SMB/SB-1
SMB/SB-2
SMB/SB-3
SMB/SB-4
SMB-5

This series may also also include WB
This series may also be suffixed "T"

2. Test Reports include:

Report No.	Date	Unit Type	Environment	Motor Type	Insulation
a. 600198	1-2-69	SMB-0-15*	PWR No Radiation	Reliance	Special Hi Temp
b. 600426 (B-0009)	4-30-76	SMB-0-25*	BWR- 1×10 ⁷ R 340°	Peerless DC	н
c. 600376A FIRL F-C 3441	5-15-76	SMB-0-25*	BWR 2×10 ⁸	Reliance	RH
d. 600456	12-9-75	SMB-0-40*	PWR ₈	Reliance	RH
e. 600461	6-7-76	SMB-0-25*	Outside Cntmt7 2x10	Reliance	В
f. WCAP7410L 7744	12-70 8-71	SMB-00			В

denotes foot pounds of torque only SMB-O has been tested seismically Re: a, b, c



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SSINS #6820

JUL 3 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Z. R. Rosztoczy, Branch Chief, Equipment Qualification

Branch, Division of Engineering, NRR

THRU:

E. L. Jordan, Assistant Director for Technical Programs,

Division of Reactor Operations Inspection, IE

FROM:

V. D. Thomas, Task Manager, Review Group, IEB 79-01B,

Division of Reactor Operations Inspection, IE

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR NRC POSITIONS ON REVIEW QUESTIONS OF IEF-79-01B

LICENSEE RESPONSES

In accordance to our verbal agreement, we would be happy if you would provide positions on the questions noted in the enclosed memoranda.

Since it is essential to establish a uniform approach to the review effort to obviate the questions being generated in the on-going review of licensee responses, we will be happy to meet with your staff to discuss these concerns to expedite resolution of the issues.

Vinent D Thomas

Vincent D. Thomas, Task Manager Review Group, IEB 79-01B

Enclosures:

1. Memo D. W. Hayes to G. Fiorelli, RIII

dated June 20, 1980.

Memo F. Jablonski to D. Hayes, RIII dated Jun 16, 1980.

3. Memo F. Jablonski to D. Hayes, RIII DATED June 10, 1980.

cc: w/enclosures

E. L. Jordan, IE

V. S. Noonan, NRR

G. Fiorelli, RIII

D. W. Hayes, RIII

A. Finkel, RI

R. Hardwick, RII

f. Jablonski, RIII

D. McDonald, RIV

J. Elin, RV

JUL 7 1980

ATTACHMENT 3a



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

June 20, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: E. L. Jordan, Assistant Director, Division of

Reactor Operations Inspection, IE:HQ

THRU:

G. Fiorelli, Chief, Reactor Construction and

Engineering Support Branch

FROM:

D. W. Hayes, Chief, Engineering Support Section 1

SUBJECT:

IEB 79-01B (A/I F03067180)

Attached are two memorandums from one of my inspectors, Frank Jablonski. The first is dated June 10, 1980 and the second June 16, 1980. Both memos raise basic questions for which we require guidance to complete our review of responses to IEB 79-01B.

By this memo i also would like to confirm our understanding that NRR (Environmental Qualification Branch) will review for acceptability all test reports and other documentation which licensees reference as establishing environmental qualification of instrument/electrical equipment. In connection with this, we are sending under separate cover test reports, etc. in our possession to be forwarded to the Environmental Qualification Branch. (We further understand that the IEB 79-01B task group, on a volunteer basis, may agree to review some of these documents).

The status or schedule for site inspections and review/evaluation of the final reports is also attached. Please note that every licensee has asked for some sort of time extension to submit their first report. We understand that the other regions have had similar reporting problems. Assuming that all our licensees meet their extended submittal dates, we should complete our site inspections, reviews, and technical evaluation

Auge 1 8008079232

reports by the end of December 1980. Further delays in the submittals or any unforeseen events will hamper our ability to meet the new February 1, 1981 deadline.

D. W. Hayes, Chief

Engineering Support Section 1

Attachments:

- 1. Memo F. Jablonski to D. Hayes 6/10/80
- 2. Memo F. Jablonski to D. Hayes 6/16/80
- 3. Inspection Status/Schedule
- 4. "Separate Cover" List (Test Reports Sent to IE:HQ)

- Separate Cover: See Attachment 4

cc w/attachments 1, 3, & 4 only:

- J. G. Keppler
- G. Fiorelli
- V. D. Thomas, IE:HQ
- A. Finkel, R1
- R. Hardwick, RII
- D. McDonald, RIV
- J. Elin, RV
- R. F. Heishman



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

June 10, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: D. W. Hayes, Chief, Engineering Support Section 1

FROM:

F. J. Jablonski, Reactor Inspector

SUBJECT:

EFFECT OF PREVIOUS NRR REVIEW ON MATTERS RELATING

TO IEB 79-01B

In almost every licensee response to IEB 79-01B there is a subtle or direct reference to matters apparently reviewed by NRR. Because of the referenced dates it is assumed by me that NRR has given either tacit or direct approval to the references; examples follow:

- All licensees refer to their FSARs for establishing the list of engineered safety feature systems and environmental data such as temperature, pressure, radiation, etc.
- 2. One licensee, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, states that "The AEC, in their "Safety Evaluation of the Kewaunee Plant", Section 7.5, issued July 24, 1972, concluded that our criteria and testing program for environmental qualification were adequate". It is further stated that "Our FSAR, which was approved by the AEC, discusses at length the post accident conditions and required qualifications for applicable equipment. (See Section 7.5 of the Kewaunee FSAR.)"
- 3. Two licensees, American Electric Power and Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, have discussed the effect of components below flood level simply by referencing letters previously submitted to the NRC, or FSAR questions/answers as follows:
 - * AEP Letter dated 9-29-75 from Tillinghast (AEP) to Kniel (NRC); FSAR question 40.10 Appendix Q.
 - * WPSC Letter dated 2-2-76 from James (WPSC) to Purple (NRC).

Dupe of 8008070238

My specific concerns are:

Is it to be assumed that the referenced FSAR parameters, No. 1 above, are correct, i.e. reviewed by NRR?

If the answer is yes, then should it also be assumed that No. 2 above is likewise adequate? (If the answer is no, then none of the licensee responses which reference the FSAR can be assumed to be correct.)

Reference No. 3, even though a component may not be required to operate subsequent to flooding, what effect will short circuits have on containment electrical penetrations? Was this considered by NRR?

I am requesting that these questions/concerns be forwarded to the Assistant Director, Division of Reactor Operations Inspection for resolution.

F. J. Jablonski Reactor Inspector

cc:

J. G. Keppler

G. Fiorelli



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD

GLEN ELLYN. ILLINOIS 60137

June 16, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: D. W. Hayes, Chief, Engineering Support Section 1

FROM:

F. J. Jablonski, Reactor Inspector

SUBJECT:

FORMULATING TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORTS (TER) -

REVIEW OF IEB 79-01B

In accordance with IEB 79-01B, an overall conclusion relative to the qualification of instrument electrical equipment is to be made for each operating plant based on a screening review of all plant systems, and by a detailed review and observation of specific system components. Unresolved concerns previously identified by RIII inspectors during reviews of IEC 78-08 and IEB 79-01 along with subsequently Identified concerns make it difficult for us to formulate meaningful TERs for certain plants. The previous unresolved concerns are documented in the memorandums listed below (1,2,3) and are reiterated in Attachment A to this memo. Subsequently identified concerns are listed in Attachments B, C, and D.

To assure uniform evaluation, guidance is needed for these items. Please forward these concerns to IE:HQ.

- 1. TI 2515/13 Qualification of Safety Related Electrical Equipment Fiorelli to Sniezek, 10/13/78
- Same title as 1., Fiorelli to Klinger, 12/78
- 3. Review Status of Responses to IEB 79-01, Hayes to Jordan, 9/5/79

J. J. Jahlansh. F. J. Jablonski Reactor Inspector

Enclosures: As Stated

J. G. Keppler

G. Fiorelli

V. D. Thomas, IE:HQ

A. Finkel, RI

R. Hardwick, RII

D. McDonald, RIV

J. Elin, RV

ATTACHMENT 3a

8008 070241

8008070241