

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 HOOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

APR 1 7 1981

Docket No. 50-373 Docket No. 50-374

Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed Vice President Post Office Box 767 Chicago, IL 60690



Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Mr. I. T. Yin of this office on March 30-31, and April 1-2, 1981, of activities at La Salle County Station, Units 1 and 2, authorized by NRC Construction Permits No. CPPR-99 and No. CPPR-100 and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. L. J. Burke and others of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

No items of noncompliance with NRC requirements were identified during the course of this inspection.

Based on the results of this inspection which included a review and evaluation of your corrective actions in response to our Immediate Action Letter dated August 14, 1980, and our subsequent letter dated March 19, 1981, we concluded that Commonwealth Edison Company could resume small bore piping design and installation work at La Salle County Station, Unit 1 and Unit 2. In addition, the special records you were maintaining to document the Unit 2 piping and supports that were worked on, as delineated in our March 19, 1981, letter, are no longer required. We consider this issue resolved.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room, except as follows. If this report contains information that you

or your contractors believe to be proprietary, you must apply in writing to this office, within twenty-five days of the date of this letter, to withhold such information from public disclosure. The application must include a full statement of the reasons for which the information is considered proprietary, and should be prepared so that proprietary information identified in the application is contained in an enclosure to the application.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning his inspection.

Sincerely,

James G. Keppler

a Bert Dans

Enclosure: IE Inspection Reports No. 50-373/81-12 and No. 50-374/81-07

cc w/encl:

J. S. Abel, Director of Nuclear Licensing

L. J. Burke, Site

Construction Superintendent

T. E. Quaka, Quality Assurance Supervisor

R. H. Holyoak, Station

Superintendent

B. B. Stephenson Project Manager

Central Files

Reproduction Unit NRC 20b

AEOD

Resident Inspector, RIII

PDR

Local PDR

NSIC

TIC

Mary Jo Murray, Office of Assistant Attorney General