TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANODGA, TENNESSEE 37401

400 Chestnut Street Tower II

57

February 26, 1981

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director Office of Inspection and Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II - Suite 3100 101 Marietta Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Enclosed is our response to C. E. Murphy's February 3, 1981, letter, Inspection Report Nos. 50-553/80-18 and 50-554/80-16, regarding activities at the Phipps Bend Nuclear Plant which appeared to have been in noncompliance with NRC regulations. If you have any questions, please call Jim Domer at FTS 857-2014.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

L. M. Mills, Manager Nuclear Regulation and Safety

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 26. day of Feb.

My Commission Expires 9-5-84

Enclosure

POOR ORIGINAL

8105110373

ENCLOSURE

FINAL RESPONSE TO NRC LETTER FROM C. E. MURPHY TO H. G. PARRIS DATED FEBRUARY 3, 1981 (Reference Report Nos. 50-553/80-18, 50-554/80-16)

This report responds to the Notice of Violation des. and in Appendix A of the OIE Inspection Report referenced above. This is a final report on the subject noncompliance.

Noncompliance Item - Severity Level V Violation - 50-553/80-18-01, 50-554/80-16-01

A. 10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion V, requires that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed and accomplished in accordance with procedures. TVA procedure OCI C-207 and drawing number 4KE 0401-5K-11B requires that vertical reinforcing bars in concrete pour number KAC01-34, 37, 40, 43, be placed on 12-inch centers with a plus or minus tolerance of 2 inches.

Contrary to the above, in concrete pour number KACO1-34, 37, 40, 43 the vertical reinforcing steel was not placed in accordance with procedure and drawing requirements.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement II.E.).

Response

(1) Admission of Alleged Violation

Tennessee Valley Authority agrees with the findings of the inspection.

(2) Reasons for the Violation

The following two contributing factors were found: (1) incorrect interpretation of the drawing requirements concerning the initiation point of the wall (working line), and (2) several requirements in the Quality Control Instruction C-207 were difficult to interpret (regarding number of bars to be inspected and acceptable bar spacing) and resulted in inconsistent application.

(3) Corrective Steps Taken and the Results Achieved

Engineering Design has determined that the rebar in the subject concrete pours (KACO1-34, 37, 40, and 43) is acceptable as is. No corrective action was necessary.

POOR ORIGINAL

(4) Corrective Steps Taken to Avoid Further Violations

- (a) Inspection personnel assigned to reinforcing inspection were instructed to correctly utilize the necessary drawings and make detailed drawing reviews for areas in reinforced concrete structures where irregularities in the rebar pattern are observed.
- (b) Tennessee Valley Authority Quality Control Instruction C-207 was revised to clarify inspection criteria.
- (c) Quality Control Inspection personnel who are directly assigned to or may be temporarily assigned to reinforcing steel inspection have been reinstructed in the use of the revised Quality Control Instruction C-207 both individually and as a group.
- (5) Date When Full 'ompliance Will Be Achieved

TVA is now in full compliance.