

50-275

APR 6 1981



The Honorable Alfred E. Alquist
California State Senate
Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Mr. Alquist:

Your February 12, 1981 letter to President Reagan regarding the expediting of the licensing process for nuclear power plants and specifically the licensing of Diablo Canyon, Units 1 and 2 and the San Onofre, Units 2 and 3 has been referred to me for response.

Since the TMI-2 accident, a significant amount of the NRC resources have been concentrated on identifying the lessons to be learned from that accident and the associated requirements that are necessary and sufficient for the continued operation of licensed facilities and for the issuance of new operating licenses. That effort culminated with the issuance of the NRC's TMI Action Plan, approved in June 1980.

The development of that document and the NRC's increased attention to the safety in the 70 operating reactors took so much of our attention and our resources that we were unable to license new plants for a year after the accident. Following the issuance of the Action Plan, new operating licenses were issued to Sequoyah and North Anna units late last summer. Furthermore, low power test authorizations were issued to Salem and Farley. The Commission has recently given the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation approval to issue the full power license for Farley, Unit 2 when all remaining issues are resolved to the staff's satisfaction. We would anticipate Farley 2 and Salem 2 going to full power in the near future.

Currently, the overall picture is one of a licensing process that is returning to predictability at a considerably enhanced level of safety. However, the implementation of this enhanced level of safety has raised a number of potential new issues in the contested hearings for both operating licenses and construction permits around the country. Some of these units were substantially complete at the time of the Three Mile Island accident or have been completed since then. Thus, we do face a situation in which, for the first time, our hearings are or will be continuing for a significant number of plants that will be complete and ready to operate before the hearings conclude.

This situation is an indirect consequence of the TMI accident, which required a re-examination of the entire regulatory structure. We are not satisfied with the present situation and are working to find ways to accelerate the hearings on these plants whose continued idleness prevents a substantial investment from benefiting either the consumers or the operating utilities.

8104220 002

To that end, major improvements in the licensing process are underway or being considered. These improvements include:

- Expedited and rescheduled review by the NRC staff for plants in the short term category--those presently complete and those to be completed in 1981 and 1982.
- Increased efficiency of the hearing process and subsequent Commission and Appeals Board review. The time now being taken between issuance of the supplemental staff evaluation report and initial decisions by licensing boards averages 18 months. The NRC believes it can compress that time to about 10 months by tightening up the times allowed for each part of the prehearing process and by providing firmer time management of the whole process. The Commission is publishing for public comment proposed changes to its rules which would accomplish this.
- Changes in the review process the Commission itself exercises over these cases. The Commission is considering two alternatives to shorten this review period which could save at least two months in each case that has been in hearing.
- Early completion of NRC staff review for plants to be completed in 1983 and beyond. This will require better scheduling of reviews and increased staff resources applied to casework. Some staff resources can be redirected by deferring lower priority work and shifting some work to other NRC offices. Before making such a change, the Commission will carefully review the impact on other essential safety-related activities.

One further step to be considered is legislation to authorize the Commission to issue limited, interim operating licenses before completion of hearings where all applicable safety requirements have been met.

In summary, we are confident the actions we have taken and those we will take will provide major improvements in licensing schedules without compromising the regulatory requirements for safety.

With regard to your concern in the delay in licensing of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, hearings before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board on seismic and security matters were concluded in October 1980 and November 1980, respectively. We expect the Board's findings in the near future. The staff has completed its review of issues related to low power operation of Diablo Canyon. The concerns raised by Governor Brown of California and others might result in another hearing on the matter of issuance of a low power license. This hearing could commence in mid-May 1981. The staff issued supplements Nos. 13 and 14 to Diablo Canyon's Safety Evaluation Report dealing with issues related to full power operation on April 2, 1981.

With regard to your concern in the delay in licensing of the San Onofre Units 2 and 3, the staff has completed the major part of its review. Staff safety evaluations were issued on December 31, 1980, February 6, 1981 and February 25, 1981. The review of the San Onofre Units 2 and 3 by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards was completed early this month. A safety evaluation report addressing issues identified by the ACRS and the remaining outstanding issues is in preparation and is scheduled to be issued in early May. We anticipate the hearings on this project to commence in early July.

We appreciate your interest in the Diablo Canyon and San Onofre projects and understand your frustration at the delays in their licensing. Please be assured that the NRC is taking every reasonable action to expedite the licensing process, consistent with our commitment to ensure the public health and safety.

Sincerely

(Signed) William J. Dircks

William J. Dircks, Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION: SEE NEXT PAGE.

DL:LB#3
BCBuckley
3/19/81

OFFICE	DL:LB#3	DL:AD/L	DL:DIR	DELB	NRR	SCA	EDO
SURNAME	FJM	RL	DGE		HR		WJ
DATE	3/19/81	3/17/81	3/17/81	3/17/81	3/17/81	3/17/81	4/7/81

Docket File 50-275/323

LPDR

NSIC

TERA

LB#3 File

EDO Rdg.

HRDenton

EGCase

DGEisenhut

RPurple

~~OCA (3)~~

GErtter (#10265)

Attorney, OELD

SCavanaugh (#10265)

EHughes

A/D Secy.

FJMiraglia

BCBuckley

JLee

BPaul Cotter, ASLB

IE (3)

SECY (3) (#81-0340)

PPAS

SHanauer

Dross

TMurley

BSnyder

RVollmer

HShapar

bcc: Director of Agency Liaison
Presidential Correspondence
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

FROM California State Senate Congressional Delegation		ACTION CONTROL		DATES		CONTROL NO. 10265	
		COMPL DEADLINE		4-7-81		DATE OF DOCUMENT	
		ACKNOWLEDGMENT				2-12-81	
		INTERIM REPLY				PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE	
		FINAL REPLY				OF	
		FILE LOCATION				<input type="checkbox"/> CHAIRMAN	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
						OTHER:	
DESCRIPTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LETTER <input type="checkbox"/> MEMO <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS			
Urges licensing of Diablo Canyon				REF: EDO 10189 Respond to the general expediting of licenses & specifically Diablo Canyon. TRehm			
CLASSIFIED DATA							
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.				CLASSIFICATION			
NUMBER OF PAGES				CATEGORY			
POSTAL REGISTRY NO.				<input type="checkbox"/> NSI <input type="checkbox"/> RD <input type="checkbox"/> FRD		SECY 81-0340	
ASSIGNED TO:		DATE		INFORMATION ROUTING		LEGAL REVIEW <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> COPY	
Denton, RR		3-17-81		Shapar		NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS	
Blanchard		3/18/81		Case		NOTIFY:	
Kassab		3/18/81		Denton		<input type="checkbox"/> EDO ADMIN & CORRES BR	
Frank		3/18/81		1. VPAS		COMMENTS, NOTIFY:	
				2. Manauer		EXT.	
						EXT.	
						JCAE NOTIFICATION RECOMMENDED: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	

NRC FORM 232
(11-75)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

DO NOT REMOVE THIS COPY

PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

81-0340

No.

~~80-3041~~

Logging Date 3/13/81

NRC SECRETARIAT

TO:

☐

Commissioner

☒

Exec. Dir. Over.

☐

Cong. Liaison

☐

Public Affairs

☐

Date

☐

Gen. Counsel

☐

Solicitor

☐

Secretary

☐

Inspector & Auditor

☐

Policy Evaluation

Incoming:

From:

White House Referral

X Sen Alfred E. Alquist (state Senator)

To: NRC

Date

3/11/81

Subject:

urges Pres Reagan to expedite the lic
process☐ Prepare reply for signature of:☐

Chairman

☐

Commissioner

☐

EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE

☐

Signature block omitted

☐☐ Return original of incoming with response

XXX

For direct reply*

Suspense: April 3

☐

For appropriate action

☐

For information

Remarks:

Rec'd Off. 3/16/81

Date

Time

b111e

For the Commission:

*Send three (3) copies of reply to Secy Correspondence and Records Branch

ACTION SLIP