

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 14, 1981



Mr. L. J. Koch, Vice President Illinois Power Company 500 South 27th Street Decatur, Illinois 62525

Dear Mr. Koch:

CLINTON POWER STATION, UNIT 1 OL ANTITRUST REVIEW; DOCKET NO. 50-461

In order to complete our analysis in determining whether or not there have been any "significant changes" since the construction permit antitrust review, we would appreciate it if you would provide responses to the following questions: (item and page numbers refer to those used in your responses to Regulatory Guide 9.3 dated May 23, 1980)

p. 5, B.1.H.(1) When was interest first expressed, either by Illinos Power Co. (IPC) or the City of Jacksonville, concerning the purchase by IPC of the Cit, 's municipal electric system?

When was the acquisition of the City of Jacksonville's system consummated?

What was the acquisition price paid for the Jacksonville facilities?

Was the acquisition of Jacksonville's system submitted to the City in referendum form?

What was the generating capacity of the City of Jacksonville's system at the time of the acquisition?

What percentage (approximately) of the City of Jacksonville was serviced by IPC? (Retail, industrial, wholesale where applicable.)

- P. 5, (1)(c) What is the present status of the IPC's negotiations involving purchase of the Mt. Carmel Public Utility Co. properties?
- p. 5, (2) Provide copies of the requests (and IPC's responses) for interconnection or wholesale service expressed by Farmer City and the Villages of Chatam and Flora, Illinois.

p. 5, In regards to Western Illinois Power Cooperative, what type of "joint participation" was the City of Springfield, Illinois interested in?

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Argil Toalston, Acting Chief Utility Finance Branch

Division of Engineering Office of Nuclear Reactor

Regulation